Hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm for industry based applications

R. Sekar, N. Manikanda Devarajan, G. Ravi, B. Rajasekaran, S. Chidambaram

Hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm for industry based applications

R. Sekar

Department of ECE,
Presidency University,
Bangalore, India
Email: shekharmohith09@gmail.com

N. Manikanda Devarajan

Department of ECE,
Malla Reddy Engineering College,
Secunderabad, Telangana, India
Email: nmdeva@gmail.com

G. Ravi*

Department of ECE,
Sona College of Technology,
Salem, India
Email: Raviraj.govind@gmail.com
*Corresponding author

B. Rajasekaran

Department of ECE,
Vinayaka Missions Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College,
Salem, India
Email: rajasekaranb80@gmail.com

S. Chidambaram

Department of ECE,
Christ University,
Bangalore, India
Email: chidambaram.s@christuniversity.in

Abstract: Image reconstructions are a challenging task in MRI images. The performance of the MRI image can be measure by following parameters like mean squared error (MSE), peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), and structural similarity index (SSIM). Compromising the above parameters and reconstructing the MRI image leads to false diagnosing. To avoid the false diagnosis, we have combined sparse based compressive sensing and block-based compressive sensing algorithm, and we introduced the hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm (HSBCS). In

compressive stage, however, image reconstruction performance is decreased, hence, in the image reconstruction module, we have introduced convex relaxation algorithm. This proposed algorithm is obtained by relaxing some of the constraints of the original problem and meanwhile extending the objective function to the larger space. The performance is compared with the existing algorithm, block-based compressive sensing algorithm (BCS), BCS based on discrete wavelet transform (DWT), and sparse based compress-sensing algorithm (SCS). The experimentation is carried out using BRATS dataset, and the performance of image compression HSBCS evaluated based on SSIM, and PSNR, which attained 56.19 dB, and 0.9812.

Keyword: MRI image; block compressive sensing; sparse compressive sensing; image reconstruction.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Sekar, R., Devarajan, N.M., Ravi, G., Rajasekaran, B. and Chidambaram, S. (2024) 'Hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm for industry based applications', *Int. J. Enterprise Network Management*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp.44–59.

Biographical notes: R. Sekar received his PhD in Information and Communication Engineering from Anna University, Chennai, India in 2022. He completed two Master degrees in Power Electronics and Drives and Applied Electronics from affiliated to Anna University since 2008 and 2016 respectively. He completed his Bachelors degree in Electronics and communication engineering from affiliated to Periyar University in 2003. Bangalore. His research interests include the fields of compressive sensing in image processing. He is a Life Time Member of Institution of Engineers.

N. Manikanda Devarajan received his BE degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Periyar University in 2003, ME degree in Computer and Communication from Anna University in 2007 and completed his PhD in Information and Communication Engineering under Anna University in 2019. His area of research is machine learning, AI, OFDM and channel estimation. He has more than 16 years of teaching and research experience and published 30 research papers in peer reviewed journals. He is currently working as an Associate Professor in Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Malla Reddy Engineering College, Telangana.

G. Ravi received his PhD in Information and Communication Engineering from Anna University, Chennai, India in 2016. He completed his Master of Engineering in Sona College of Technology (Anna University), Salem and Bachelor of Engineering in V.L.B. Janaki Ammal College of Engineering and Technology (Bharathiyar University), Coimbatore in 2007 and 2002. His research areas are wireless communication, wireless ad hoc networks, wireless sensor networks and optical communication.

B. Rajasekaran received his BE degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Madurai Kamaraj University in 2001, ME degree in Advanced Communication Systems from Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation during the year 2006 and obtained his Ph.D. Degree in Electronics and Communication Engineering from St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai in 2021. His area of research includes digital systems and communication networks. He has more than 18 years of teaching and research experience and published 15 research papers in peer reviewed journals. He also presented various academic as well as research-based papers

at several national and international conferences. Currently, he is working as an Associate Professor in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering at Vinayaka Mission's Kirupananda Variyar Engineering College, Salem, Tamilnadu.

S. Chidambaram is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology at Christ University, Bangalore, India. He completed his BE in Electronics and Communication Engineering from Bharathidasan University, India in 2002 and ME in Power Electronics and Drives from Anna University, India in 2005. He did his PhD from Anna University with the specialisation of Hyperspectral Image Processing and awarded in 2019. His research interests lay in the field of hyperspectral image processing, satellite image processing such as enhancement, classification, compression algorithms, statistical signal processing, bio-signal processing, deep learning and computer vision.

1 Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are regularly corrupted during the information acquisition process. The removal may include confusing data trouble because of investigative, quantisation impacts, and different sources of disturbance. The reason for MRI reconstruction is to evaluate the first picture from the corrupted information. Applications go from clinical imaging, cosmic imaging, to scientific science, and so on. Frequently the advantages of improving MRI quality to the most significant conceivable degree far exceed. MRI recovery is not the same as an MRI upgrade in that the last is intended to underscore highlights of the MRI that make the MRI all the more satisfying to the onlooker, yet not really to deliver practical information from a logical viewpoint (Han et al., 2019; Vidya et al., 2015). In many signs handling issues, MSE has been the favoured decision since it is more advancement foundation, usability and fame, regardless of the idea of signs engaged with the issue. The story is not diverse for picture reclamation assignments. Systems are created and enhanced to produce the yield picture that has the least MSE as for the objective picture. In any case, MSE is not the best decision with regards to picture quality evaluation and sign guess assignments. Ii is need to be iterated the improvement measure in turn to increase the visual quality. SSIM is very effective for accomplishing prevalent IQA execution (Ota et al., 2017; Zafar, 2019). It is undeniable from the maps that SSIM plays out better employment in foreseeing apparent picture quality. In particular, the outright blunder map is consistent over space. However, the surface locales in the boisterous picture have all the earmarks of being substantially less noisy than the smooth areas. The SSIM map is increasingly steady and perception is more. The expansions of SSIM file have discovered a breadth assortment of utilisations, drawn from picture/video coding, i.e., H. 264 video coding standard usage, picture grouping, rebuilding, and combination to watermarking, denoising and biometrics (Christilin and Mary, 2018; Yang et al., 2010). In most existing works, be that as it may, SSIM has been utilised for quality assessment and calculation correlation purposes as it were. SSIM has many attractive numerical properties, making it simpler to be utilised in streamlining assignments than other best in class perceptual IQA measures. However, considerably less has been done on utilising SSIM as a streamlining foundation in the structure and advancement of picture handling calculations and frame works. Picture

reclamation issues are individually compelling to picture handling scientists, not just for their viable esteem, yet additionally, because they give a fantastic proving ground to picture displaying portrayal, and estimation hypotheses. When tending to general picture reclamation issues with the assistance of Bayesian methodology, a picture earlier model is required. Customarily, the issue of deciding reasonable picture priors has been because of a nearby perception of ordinary pictures (Zhu et al., 2013). Untangling suspicions, for example, spatial smoothness, low/max-entropy, or sparsity in some premise set. As of late, another methodology has been created for learning the earlier dependent on scanty portrayals. A lexicon is gained either from the debased picture or a top-notch set of pictures with the supposition that it can meagerly speak to any regular picture. Subsequently, this learned lexicon embodies the earlier data about the arrangement of characteristic pictures. Such strategies have demonstrated to be very fruitful in performing picture reclamation undertakings, for example, picture denoising and picture super-resolution (Shinde et al., 2019). The main objective of the proposed HSBCS algorithm is to improve the quality of images after image acquisition and image reconstruction using MR images and improve the speed of operation after image acquisition and image reconstruction using MR images.

The main contributions of the paper are summarised as follows.

1   Designed hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm (HSBCS)

2   The HSBCS system flowchart is presented

3   The performance of HSBCS is evaluated using an existing algorithm.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the different type's image reconstruction method that is used in the MRI image. Section 3 presented the flowchart of the proposed HSBCS algorithm and summarised the flow of work. Section 4, we have evaluated the proposed system performance against the existing method. Finally, we have concluded in Section 5 with future scope.

2   Related work

Chen et al. (2020) proposed a sparse representation framework for the utilisation of CS-MRI. The proposed technique applied two sorts of TF-based change to build up a blended standard regularisation model, which can misuse the upside of the wavelet TF-based change and curve let TF-based change space, simultaneously. The procedure of the proposed technique was given by replacing iterative design. Different experimental outcomes exhibit that the proposed DTF-MRI can accomplish an effective presentation in detail clarity and request suppression from the goal and abstract visual assessment (Chen et al., 2020). Rehman et al. (2012) combined picture loyalty estimation with ideal scanty sign representation with regards to picture denoising and picture super-goals to improve two cutting edge methods in these regions. The proposed method to fathom for the ideal coefficients for insufficient and redundant lexicon in maximal SSIM sense. PSNR/MSE method can be replaced by SSIM.

Zhu et al. (2019) compared the block-compressive sensing method, and mistake examination was included the iterative remaking procedure to accomplish the least mistake between the recreated signal and the first sign in the uproarious foundation. The trial results appear that the altered adaptive algorithm on block-compressive sensing can

improve the picture quality in both quiet and uproarious fundamentals, particularly in the improvement of a remade picture's composite file under an uproarious foundation.

Van Chien et al. described block compressive sensing of still pictures and video that can recapture pictures with outstanding performance. For compressive imaging, the altered, delayed Lagrangian infinite variation with a multi-square angle process and nonlocal Lagrangian multiplier are utilised to create an underlying recuperated picture. The key edges are reproduced to have improved quality and used to make preliminary renditions of non-key edges. Therefore, non-key edges are refined by fix based sparsifying change supported side data regularisation (Rajini and Bhavani, 2019). Zhang et al. introduced to improve the testing proficiency under change based block compressed sensing system. At that point, a novel BCS-MP strategy is researched. Modernisation results show that the novel BCS-MP approach gets a critical addition of PSNR of the recreated pictures contrasted and the current stage based ones and conventional non-change ones at the expense of somewhat expanding the encoding time (Van Chien et al., 2017).

Hong et al. (2019) proposed an optimised structured sparse sensing matrix for compressive sensing that is hearty to revealing representation mistake that broadly exists for viable signals and can be productively implemented to detect signals. A rotating minimisation-based algorithm is utilised to take care of the ideal plan issue, whose convergence is thoroughly examined. The reforms exhibit the favourable performance of the proposed organised meager detecting framework about signal regeneration accuracy for engineered information and positive images (Zhang et al., 2019).

Hemalatha et al. (2015) proposed compressed sensing-based image transmission to decrease the energy intake significantly with a satisfactory image feature. The proposed encoding design consumes less energy and has a superior pressure ability contrasted and the current strategies. PCS accomplishes a 98.83% and 81.56% decrease in vitality utilisation by and large, when contrasted and the TC and CCS methods with DCT and the LLM-based DCT usage, separately (Hong et al., 2019). Song et al. reported compressed sensing image reconstruction using intra prediction. The higher the picture goals, the better the molecular pathologies can be analysed. In this manner, it is the objective of imaging a decent quality sweep by utilising traditional conventions (Hemalatha et al., 2015).

Zheng and Xiangyang (2020) presented a CS-based technique for reproducing beginning weight dissemination pictures on luminal cross-areas from scanty EPAT estimations guaranteed the two numerical showings to be accord with those in an actual trial situation. The reenactment parameters are deftly balanced, so the numerical shows would 7 be able to recreate different imaging targets and exploratory situations (Song et al., 2015).

Sabor (2020) discussed a technique for taking care of the sparsity issue is called gradient invulnerable based sparse signal reconstruction algorithm for compressive sensing (GISSRA-CS) technique. The possibility of GISSRA-CS depends on implanting the slope nearby the pursuit technique into the transformative procedure of the resistant calculation. At that point, the MOP sparsity issue is isolated into subproblems for taking care of the issue of weight factors, and these subproblems are upgraded (Zheng and Xiangyang, 2020).

Wei et al. (2015) proposed a compressive sensing recovery algorithm based on sparse Bayesian learning for sparse block signals. It utilises an Expectation-Maximisation strategy to get learning rules for hyper parameters. All these cause the calculation to can

recoup inadequate square signs when the square structure is known with better execution. CluSS method, which disregards the intra-square connection, is utilised for examinations with conventional BP calculation and OMP calculation. The recreation results show that this calculation altogether outflanks different calculations in signal recuperation, particularly in uproarious conditions and with not many estimations (Prakash et al., 2019).

3 Hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing

We combined sparse based compressive sensing and block-based compressive sensing algorithm (BCS) and introduced a HSBCS. Figure 1 illustrates the flowchart of the proposed HSBCS for image reconstructions.

Figure 1   Flowchart of the hybrid sparse and block-based compressive sensing algorithm

Compressed sensing theory is a developing structure that it grants under certain conditions, compressible signs can be examined at sub-Nyquist rates through non-versatile straight projection onto an irregular premise while empowering precise remaking at high likelihood. Besides, signals that can be all around approximated short description, for example, discrete cosine change (DCT), wavelet change, or a prepared lexicon, can be detected at a much lower rate than twofold their official data transfer capacity, as required by the Shannon-Nyquist testing hypothesis.

Right now, it will give a depiction of the proposed reweighted twofold scanty model. Right off the bat, to additionally control the computational multifaceted nature and memory prerequisite, we use the BCS to separate the first picture y into non-covered patches spoke to by m_n, $1 \leq n \leq M$ of size A^2. MH forecast technique is utilised to recoup the picture at first. At that point, right now, isolate the underlying recuperation y^{int} into B covered patches spoke to by y_n, $1 \leq n \leq B$, where Y^2 is the fix size. This dividing procedure is planned as

$$yn = Cn \, y^{int} \tag{1}$$

C_n denotes generic constant, y_n used to convert y^{int} into the area. We think about the lingering between each fix and the right mix of its comparative patches since the leftover displays more grounded sparsity. Taking into account that various residuals have extraordinary sparsity, we utilise the method for reweighting. At the same time, the sparsity of the picture fix itself is considered in the model of this paper, and we upgrade sparsity by reweighted $\ell1$ minimisation. Along these lines, the reweighted twofold meager imperative model is communicated as

$$y = minimum \frac{1}{2}\|x - Gy\| + \gamma_1 \sum_{n=1}^{C} |\ \|Z1(y_n - u)\|\|1 + \gamma2 \sum_{n=1}^{C} |\ |Z_2(y_n - u)\|1 \tag{2}$$

$\gamma1$ and $\gamma2$ are denoting the standardisation factor, x denotes comparing estimation, Z_1 and Z_2 are reweights and refreshed iteratively, u is the straight mix of the comparable patches, and G is a grid whose components on the exchange are the estimation ¥ of size $K \times R2$. The declaration of G is composed as

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} ¥ & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & ¥ & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ¥ \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

The remaining model $\| Z_1 (y–u) \|1$misuse the nonlocal likeness and the $\ell1$ regularisation term of picture fix $\| Z_2 (y–u) \|1$misuse the nearby self-likeness. Z_1 is utilised for weighting distinctive leftover coefficients discriminatively; Z_2 is utilised to reweight $\ell1$ minimisation. Sparsity can be further upgraded by consolidating Z_1 and Z_2. In the proposed model, the nonlocal closeness and the nearby self-similarity are joined adequately to improve the recreation quality additionally. Next, we will present the model in detail, including the remaining model, reweighted inadequate portrayal, also, weight approximation.

Right now, patches are looked inside a $J \times J$ search window focused at the area of the fix y_n. We select B related patches dependent on likeness – the most comparative B

patches, meant by p_k, i, $1 \le i \le B$. The similitude between patches is estimated by utilising the MSE, which has a statement of

$$Mean\ squared\ error(MSE) = (y_n, p_n, i) = \frac{1}{R^2} \big|\ |y_n - P_{n,i}|_2^1 \tag{4}$$

where R^2 is the size of the picture fix. The remaining of the picture fix y_n is gotten from the first estimation of the fix and the straight mix of its comparable patches p_n, i, $1 \le i \le B$ and can be communicated as

$$S(y_n) = y_n - \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_n, P_{n,i} \tag{5}$$

β_n can be represented as a scale factor for areas. What is more, legitimately speaks to the precision of the comparable patches. The patches that are increasingly like the picture fix y_n ought to be appointed more prominent weight. Along these lines, β_n, i is corresponding to the closeness between comparable patches p_n, i also, picture fix y_n, that is

$$\beta_{n,i} = \frac{\exp\big(-MSE\big(\beta_{n,i}, P_{n,i}\big)/g\big)}{\sum_{n=1}^{B} \exp\big(-MSE\big(\beta_{n,i}, P_{n,i}\big)/g\big)} \tag{6}$$

where g is steady, by the equation (6), we can ascertain the weight of comparative patches to mirror the likeness proficiently.

3.1 Reweighted sparse image

The sparsity of the sign remaining in some area is all around acknowledged and received. At present, there are different spare change strategies, for example, Fourier change, wavelet change, Gabor change, etc. In this paper, we utilise the DCT change to meager the lingering and the picture fix for its high proficiency and low unpredictability. The statement of the inadequate change of the remaining by the DCT is

$$\varphi(y_n) = \varphi(y_n) = \varphi\bigg(y_n - \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_{n,i}, p_{n,i}\bigg) = y_n - \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_{n,i}, p_{n,i} \tag{7}$$

where Q means the DCT premise, Q^T is the rearrange of Search Results Web results Discrete cosine transform premise, and $y_n = Q\ y_{n,\ i}, p_{n,i}$. The lingering is compelled by weighting, and the Inadequate leftover articulation got by joining equation (7) is written

$$T_S(yn) - Z_{1,n}\varphi(yn) = Z_{1,n}\varphi \not= (yn) = Z_{1,n}\bigg(yn - \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_{n,i}p_{n,i}\bigg) - yn - \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_{n,i}p_{n,i} \tag{8}$$

where $Z_{1,n}$ is a corner to corner framework with $Z_{n,1}, \ldots Z_n, T^2$ on the corner to corner, and zero somewhere else. Through equation (8), we can get the reweighted meager portrayal of the leftover. The computation of the weight will be depicted in detail in the following subdivision.

3.2 Weight estimate

In every emphasis, we have to refresh the loads. The loads are utilised to compel every lingering esteem $Q(y_n)$. The more comparable the patches $p_{n,i}$ are to the objective fix p_n,

the more prominent the weight it takes. Along these lines, the weight $Z_{1,n}$ in equitation (8), is conversely relative to the size of the lingering esteem. Right now, we can figure the weight from the accompanying condition.

$$Z_{n,i} = \frac{1}{\wp(y_n) + 1} = \frac{1}{|y_n + u_n| + 1} \tag{9}$$

$u_n = can\ calculate = u_n = \sum_{n=1}^{B} \beta_{n,i}, p_{n,i}.$ For the picture fix x_k, the statement of its Reweighted inadequate denoted is

$$R(y_n) = Z_{2,n}\wp(y_n) = Z_{2,n}\wp(y_n) = Z_{2,n}y_n \tag{10}$$

where $Z_{2,n}$ is an askew lattice which is like $Z_{1,n}$ whose components are $Z_{1,n} \ldots Z_{n,T}^2$ on the askew. We utilise the picture fix x_k to ascertain the weight by

$$W_{n,j} = \frac{1}{|yn| + 1} \tag{11}$$

3.3 Compressive sensing image reconstructions

The stage of image reconstructions using compressive sensing algorithm is summarised as follows. After collecting MRI image, break down unique sign utilising wavelet parcel for making it meager. Since there are two conditions, for example, sparsely and disjointedness under which recuperation is conceivable. Decide a best foundation of wavelet packet in line all small frequency coefficients. Pick an appropriate arbitrary estimation lattice, and make an estimation for encoding on all the high recurrence coefficients in line dependent on wavelet parcel, and acquire the deliberate coefficients. We need to recreate the first sign to all low and high recurrence coefficients utilising wavelet bundle reverse change and Create the projection for the recreated signal image On account of inadequate information, an iterative technique, for example, FBP or MLEM or MBIR, drawing closer for the improved lack of care toward commotion and ability of reproducing an ideal picture. In the event of genuine MR pictures it isn't so natural to isolate important data from the clamor. Along these lines, non-straight iterative reproduction is expected to accomplish the ideal harmony between the garbled sub-inspecting and sparsity. However, it may be insignificant picture data and It should be more taken care that not be expelled the important picture data. For our situation of apple, on the off chance that we centre around complete sparsity then we will accomplish a full dark picture with no significant data about the apple as we would have evacuated all the data about the apple. Also, in the event that we overweigh information consistency, we sift through too little clamor and the picture quality won't be improved. In this manner, the iterative procedure incorporates adjusting both the viewpoints and it assists with acquiring the predictable picture with however much clamor decrease as could reasonably be expected and balances the obtaining velocity and nature of the picture. This procedure goes on until the picture acquired is inside the adequate limit and it at long last produces a less commotion, great picture with higher procurement speed.

| Algorithm CS image reconstruction	
Input	$\phi\alpha$, X
Output	Y
1	Pull through the each image chunk Y_j based on the detected value X based on some predefined sparsity basis through some optimisation method, y_j symbolises the resultant retrieval value.
2	Compute the odd image block Y_j by its nearby pixels in MRI pattern and its calculation rate is indicated by y^z_{predx}, where z is the number of prediction modes.
3	Choice the finest mode, let zb be the best designated mode.
4	Measure the prediction image block y^z_{predx}, and compute the $x_{resij} = x_j - \phi_\alpha y^z_{predx}$
5	Improve the calculation residual Y_{resij}
6	Rebuild the image block by $yj = y^z_{predx} + Y_{resij}$
7	Recreate the even image chunks in the same step 2–6
8	Output recovery image Y

In our suggested method, the image is divided into non overlapping blocks. Even if each image block Y_j can be recreated by the size vector X_j autonomously based on the over-all sparsity basis DWT, the quality of rebuilding image can be further improved by integrating our proposed CS image constructions algorithm. Let y^z_{predx} be prediction block by the z_{th} forecast mode and y_j is the rebuilding block by direct reconstruction algorithm, the best mode Z_b is selected by the following function

$$Zb = \min \left\| y^z_{predx} - Y_{resij} \right\| \tag{12}$$

The aftereffect of differed types of compressive sensing for picture recreation is looked at and broke down measurably dependent on the last image quality with evaluating parameters, for example, MSE, peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), and structural similarity index (SSIM).

4 Result and discussion

This section presents the implementation results of the proposed Hybrid for image reconstruction techniques. The MATLAB implements the proposed system with machine configuration as Processor: Intel Core i7, OS: Windows 7, CPU speed: 3.20 GHz, RAM: 8GB. The model parameters are set as follows: the picture fix size is 64, the size of the looking window is 20×20, and the remainder of the parameters will be given in detail. Performance of proposed compressive sensing algorithm in terms of various SNR metrics like MSE, PSNR, and SSIM using High-Resolution MR images. Higher PSNR implies that the remade quality is better, and the first picture is recreated. The lower PSNR demonstrates that the remade quality is poor, and there are issues, for example, edge obscuring. SSIM is utilised to reflect the likeness between the first picture and the recreated picture in structure. The trial results show the PSNR and SSIM of picture recreated by the proposed calculation and the other four calculations. All test standard greyscale pictures are given in Figure 2.

Figure 2   All experimental images

4.1   The complexity of search window size

An enormous looking through size makes it conceivable to discover patches that are increasingly like the objective fix, so a more exact model could be gotten. Be that as it may, it too achieves higher computational multifaceted nature. In the explore, we tried the computation time of picture Boat at various proportions.

4.2   Effect of regularisation constraints

Right now, test the impact of regularisation parameters γ_1 and γ_2 to the presentation of the reproduced picture. To contemplate the impact of regularisation parameters on the presentation, we have to test them for various values, independently. γ_1, γ_2 will influence the presentation of the model simultaneously; in this manner, we have to decide one

parameter and test the other parameter. Also, for various pictures, the impact of γ_1, γ_2 to the PSNR appears consistency, that implies, there are ideal regularisation parameters γ_1 and γ_2 that make the exhibition of the calculation best under the state of various pictures. Right now, set $\gamma_1 = 2.5e{-}3$, $\gamma_2 = 2.5e - 4$.

**Figure 3** PSNR comparison of image-1 (see online version for colours)


Figure 4 PSNR comparison of image-2 (see online version for colours)

The PSNR execution for the above experimental setup is plotted in Figures 3, 4, and 5 for image1, image2, and image 3. To assess our proposed strategy thoroughly, distinctive examining proportions are tried from 0.0 to 0.6. We can find that the improved exhibition at the high testing proportion is more than at the low inspecting proportion. The significant explanation is that the reproduction pixels at high inspecting proportion utilised in intra forecast is progressively precise than at low inspecting proportion and can give careful expectation to the anticipated squares.

Moreover, there are contrasts in reproduction execution for various test pictures. The accomplished increase for test pictures with the complex surface is not precisely the

others. For example, the mandrill test picture, even in barely any most pessimistic scenarios, the exhibition of proposed HSBCS remaking technique is higher than the BCS, SCS, and BCS-DCT.

Figure 5   PSNR comparison of image-3 (see online version for colours)


Figure 6 shows the comparison of SSIM of different methods like BCS, SCS BCS-DWT, and HSBCS for image-1, image-2, and image-3. Contrasted and different calculations, the image-1 recreated by the proposed method has excellent quality both in execution markers and abstract vision. Including multimode sifting has improved the presentation of the over eight BCS method. While contrasting the relating information (SR = 0.4) in Figure 6, the explanation is that the versatile examining paces of the latter two methods are both identified with the change (the more fluctuation, the additional examining rate), and SSIM is identified with both the change and the covariance. Furthermore, to sift through the high-recurrence commotion, the separating procedure will likewise lose some high-recurrence segments (a commitment to the improvement of SSIM) of the sign itself. In this manner, the latter two methods will diminish the estimation of SSIM for pictures with a great deal of high-recurrence segments (SSIM estimation of image-2 and image-3 estimation of SSIM is improved.

Figure 6   Comparison of SSIM of the different method (see online version for colours)

HSBCS gives 1.8, 2.3 and 2 or the image-1, image-2 and image-3. Figure 7 depicts the MSE of a different method for the image-1, image2, and image 3. From Figure 7, we can understand that the proposed HSBCS method provides less MSE than BCS, BCS-DWT, and SCS. The proposed method combined the advantage of BCS and image reconstruction scheme. We have used the sparse compressive sensing algorithm.

Table 1 illustrated the comparative discussion of the existing BCS, BCS-DWT SCS and the t HSBCS based compressive sensing based on PSNR and SSIM parameters by varying the compression ratio. The maximum performance measured by proposed HSBCS based compressive sensing.

Table 1   Comparison of Existing compression algorithms

Methods	PSNR(dB)	Normalised SSIM
BCS	45.99	0.890
BCS-DWT	51.87	0.910
SCS	55.75	0.923
Proposed HSBCS	56.19	0.980

Figure 7   Comparison of MSE of a different method (see online version for colours)

5 Conclusions and future enhancement

Medical disease diagnosing filed image quality assessment plays a significant part. We proposed HSBCS for image reconstructions of MRI images. The proposed HSBCS algorithm is used to improve the image quality of MRI images than BCS, SCS, and BCS-DWT. HSBCS method gives SSIM98.2, 97.2,98 for the MRI image-1, image-2 and image-3. Experimental results show that the proposed HSBCS method provides better performance in terms of MSE, SSIM, and PSNR. Later on, this work further can be enhanced by adding intra forecast modes and coordinated in our Hybrid MRI image reconstructions method to improve its execution additionally.

Reference

Chen, Z., Huang, C. and Lin, S. (2020) 'A new sparse representation framework for compressed sensing MRI', *Knowledge-Based Syst.*, Vol. 188, p.104969.

Christilin, D.M.A.B. and Mary, M.S. (2018) 'Image reconstruction using compressive sensing techniques-a survey image reconstruction using compressive sensing techniques', *A Survey,* February, Vol. 5, pp.72–78.

Han, G., Niu, Y., Zou, Y. and Lin, B. (2019) 'Reconstruction of undersampled atomic force microscope images using block-based compressive sensing', *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, April, Vol. 484, pp.797–807.

Hemalatha, R., Radha, S. and Sudharsan, S. (2015) 'Energy-efficient image transmission in wireless multimedia sensor networks using block-based compressive sensing', *Comput. Electr. Eng.*, Vol. 44, pp.67–79.

Hong, T., Li, X., Zhu, Z. and Li, Q. (2019) 'Optimized structured sparse sensing matrices for compressive sensing', *Signal Processing*, Vol. 159, pp.119–129.

Ota, J., Umehara, K., Ishimaru, N. and Ishida, T. (2017) 'Application of sparse-coding super-resolution to 16-Bit DICOM images for improving the image resolution in MRI', *Open J. Med. Imaging*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp.144–155.

Prakash, P., Suresh, R. and Kumar, D.P.N. (2019) 'Smart city video surveillance using fog computing', *International Journal of Enterprise Network Management*, Vol.10, Nos. 3–4, pp.389–399.

Rajini, N.H. and Bhavani, R. (2019) 'Automatic detection and classification of brain tumours using k-means clustering with classifiers', *International Journal of Enterprise Network Management*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp.64–77.

Rehman, A., Rostami, M., Wang, Z., Brunet, D. and Vrscay, E.R. (2012) 'SSIM-inspired image restoration using sparse representation', *EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process*, Vol. 2012, No. 1, https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-6180-2012-16.

Sabor, N. (2020) 'Gradient immune-based sparse signal reconstruction algorithm for compressive sensing', *Appl. Soft Comput. J.*, Vol. 88, p.106032.

Shinde, R.C., Potnis, A.V., Durbha, S.S. and Andugula, P. (2019) *Compressive Sensing Based Reconstruction and Pixel-Level Classification of Very High-Resolution Disaster Satellite Imagery using Deep Learning,* pp.2639–2642.

Song, Y., Cao, W., Shen, Y. and Yang, G. (2015) 'Compressed sensing image reconstruction using intra prediction', *Neurocomputing*, Vol. 151, No. P3, pp.1171–1179.

Van Chien, T., Dinh, K.Q., Jeon, B. and Burger, M. (2017) 'Block compressive sensing of image and video with nonlocal Lagrangian multiplier and patch-based sparse representation', *Signal Process. Image Commun.*, March 2016, Vol. 54, pp.93–106.

Vidya, L., Vivekanand, V., Shyamkumar, U. and Mishra, D. (2015) 'RBF-network based sparse signal recovery algorithm for compressed sensing reconstruction', *Neural Networks*, Vol. 63, pp.66–78.

Wei, W., Min, J. and Qing, G. (2015) 'A compressive sensing recovery algorithm based on sparse Bayesian learning for block sparse signal', *Int. Symp. Wirel. Pers. Multimed. Commun. WPMC*, January, Vol. 2015, No. 1, pp.547–551.

Yang, F., Wang, S. and Deng, C. (2010) 'Compressive sensing of image reconstruction using multi-wavelet transform's, *Proc. – 2010 IEEE Int. Conf. Intell. Comput. Intell. Syst. ICIS 2010*, Vol. 1, pp.702–705.

Zafar, W. (2019) 'Resolution, SNR, signal averaging and scan time in mri for metastatic lesion in spine: a case Report in a 74 years old patient', *Clin. Radiol. Imaging J.*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp.1–4.

Zhang, B., Liu, Y., Zhuang, J., Wang, K. and Cao, Y. (2019) 'Matrix permutation meets block compressed sensing', *J. Vis. Commun. Image Represent*, Vol. 60, pp.69–78.

Zheng, S. and Xiangyang, Y. (2020) 'Image reconstruction based on compressed sensing for sparse-data endoscopic photoacoustic tomography', *Comput. Biol. Med.*, Vol. 116, p.103587.

Zhu, Y., Liu, W. and Shen, Q. (2019) 'Adaptive algorithm on block-compressive sensing and noisy data estimation', *Electronics*, Vol. 8, No. 7, p.753.

Zhu, Z., Wahid, K., Babyn, P., Cooper, D., Pratt, I. and Carter, Y. (2013) 'Improved compressed sensing-based algorithm for sparse-view CT image reconstruction', *Comput. Math. Methods Med.,* Vol. 2013.