Editorial

Georgia Ayfantopoulou*

Hellenic Institute of Transport (HIT), Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), 6th km Charilaou – Thermi Rd., 57001, Thermi, Thessaloniki, Greece Email: gea@certh.gr *Corresponding author

Jesus Gonzalez-Feliu

Centre de Recherche en Intelligence et Innovation Managériales (CERIIM), Excelia Business School, 102 Rue de Coureilles, 17000 La Rochelle, France Email: gonzalezfeliuj@excelia-group.com

Boris Delibašić

Faculty of Organizational Sciences, University of Belgrade, Jove Ilica 154, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia Email: boris.delibasic@fon.bg.ac.rs

Biographical notes: Georgia Ayfantopoulou is a Research Director and Deputy Director of HIT/CERTH, assigned as the Head of the Infrastructure, Networks, Mobility and Logistics Department. She specialises in the design and management of transport systems, with postgraduate studies in informatics, and transportation planning and engineering, and a PhD in Civil and Transportation Engineering. Her professional experience and research expertise spans over the last 25 years and covers a wide range in subjects related to operations research, transport systems planning, management and optimisation, and decision support for on-demand maritime, land and combined transport. She has more than 40 papers in peer reviewed journals and numerous presentations in conferences.

Jesus Gonzalez-Feliu is a Full Professor and Director of the Purchasing, Project and Supply Chain Department of Excelia Business School since 2020. Before that, he has hold teaching and research positions at Mines Saint-Etienne and CNRS. He is also adjunct scholar at Universidad Nacional, Colombia, and TU Dortmund, Germany. His main research field is decision support in urban logistics and humanitarian logistics, mainly on proposing decision support models and methods on a group, interactive planning vision. He has more than 50 papers in peer reviewed journals, two monographic and three collaborative books and has coordinated nine special issues in international peer reviewed journals.

318 *G. Ayfantopoulou et al.*

Boris Delibašić is a Full Professor at the Faculty of Organisational Sciences, University of Belgrade, Serbia. His research interests lie in business intelligence, data science, machine learning, multi-criteria decision analysis, and decision support systems. He serves in editorial boards of several international journals. He is a coordinator of the EURO working group on decision support systems. He obtained his PhD in Organisational Sciences from the University of Belgrade in 2007. He served as a Guest Lecturer on the Friedrich Schiller Uniersity of Jena from 2006 to 2011. He was awarded with the Fulbright Visiting Scholar Grant in 2011, which he conducted in the Temple University at the Center for Data Analytics and Biomedical Informatics. From 2012, he is the Chief of the Center for Business Decision Making at the Faculty of Organisational Sciences.

The continuous demand for good's distribution and people's mobility consists of one of the most important issues in the transport sector that must be solved. In order to satisfy these needs, decision support tools, methodologies and applications must be developed to achieve the optimal results. The issue proposes a forum to present the latest developments on the field and also address the main challenging topics of research regarding decision support systems (DSS) and transport. Further, a presentation by the guest editors that set the context and introduce the papers of the special issue is given.

Decision support tools or DSS refer to a wide range of computer-based tools (simulation models, and/or techniques and methods) developed to support decision analysis and participatory processes. Many innovative, computer-assisted ideas have been proposed to increase customer satisfaction in transportation, provide safer and more reliable transportation services, reduce costs and improve profitability of transportation activities, bring more satisfaction to employees of transportation companies, improve transportation infrastructure, better match supply and demand in the transportation sector, and many others.

The current trend in constructing the transportation-oriented DSS is to equip it in a wide range of computationally efficient tools and methods developed in scientific fields of decision sciences (DS) such as operations research (OR), statistical decision theory (SDT), system thinking (ST) or computer sciences fields such as artificial intelligence (AI) or machine learning (ML), among others. Those methods are designed to solve specific transportation problems, such as fleet assignment, vehicle routing and scheduling, fleet composition, crew assignment and scheduling, fleet replacement, fleet maintenance, terminal location, traffic assignment or modal choice, among others, and are often used for both passenger and freight transport, mainly in urban areas (Gonzalez-Feliu et al., 2018). Moreover, although classical optimisation tools aim to find an optimal or close-to optimal solutions to those problems (Zak, 2010), in last years, other approaches, mainly related to satisfaction problem solving (Ackoff, 1978), are developed, mainly using system thinking, data-driven or collaborative decision-making approaches (Gonzalez-Feliu et al., 2016).

Nowadays, transport planners cannot use traditional methods for solving ever developing problems. Instead, contributions from other fields of science, such as artificial intelligence, combinatorial optimisation, multi-actor and group decision making and advanced statistical approaches, in addition technologies, such as GIS, intelligent transport systems (ITS), internet of things (IoT) or advanced information systems (IS), among others, are the necessary tools for the transport planners (Ocalir-Akuna, 2016). All

Editorial

these techniques and models can be added in the general concept of DSS which are important for the decision in the transport sector.

The issue contains researches directly related to decision support tools, methodologies and applications which imply to solve transportation problems. The issue counts six papers belonging to different contexts and disciplines, dealing with transport issues.

The first paper proposes a multi-objective vehicle routing problem (VRP) model for the delivery within the last mile of a mega city exemplified in perishable fruits. This proposal to manage perishability is that of continuous loss, to the extent that the amount of food that deteriorates is proportional to the amount transported and to the time in which it is transported, which is also affected by the distance travelled, the average speed of travel and the time spent at each stop. The paper first introduces VRP methodology and its application in food transportation. After that, it presents the methodology for data acquisition and analysis for this specific case in Bogotá-Colombia. Then, the results of the methodology application to the case study are shown, i.e., the dominant perspectives identified and a discussion of the main findings. Finally, conclusions and recommendations for further research are provided.

The second paper proposes a methodology to identify the best possible locations of intermodal terminals in order to integrate different transportation modes into the same network. To solve such a problem, taken the city of Bogota, Colombia as an exemplary case study. The approach is evaluated in terms of efficiency and efficacy, so the benefits of the application are highlighted. Although a case study is presented in this paper, the methodology can be generalised to be applied elsewhere helping the decision-making process. Firstly, this paper introduces the decision-making approach in the past and present. It also presents the location problem theory. After that, the methodology of multi-criteria decision-making is presented. This methodology (MCDM) implied in the city of Bogota-Colombia which are used as a case study. Finally, conclusions and future research are provided.

The third paper use general morphological analysis (GMA) as a theoretical framework that could support policymakers to make better decisions for a successful transition of transport to new electrical technology. The more generalised form of morphological analysis first introduced by Fritz Zwicky in the 1940s (Zwicky, 1948). It extended already successful morphologies in various fields to the ability to represent, "categorise and investigate the total set of relationships contained in multi-dimensional, non-quantifiable problem complexes" (Ritchey, 2006). The term evolved to GMA since several other scientific disciplines use this term for specific areas of study (Ritchey, 2011). As an example, the authors provide a theoretical morphological matrix, demonstrating the main paths that can be formed at different levels of abstraction. The article illustrates how GMA could make more manageable the complexity of the problem, and it concludes with recommendations on how computational calculations could further illustrate the advantages of GMA.

Another important decision support tool is the dynamic expert system. According to Liao (2015), "Expert systems provide powerful and flexible means for obtaining solutions to a variety of problems that often cannot be dealt with other more traditional and orthodox methods". Expert systems are very useful when there is a need for sophisticated multi-parameter complex and domain-specific knowledge (Giarratano and Riley, 2005), e.g., the case of shipping in the current study. The fourth paper proposes the development of a dynamic expert system which utilises highly interpretable data mining

techniques. The main objective of the system is maritime accident cause classification (human vs. non-human cause), a task achieved with high accuracy by the C5 decision tree algorithm. The research team used official maritime accident data with a timespan of over 15 years for training and testing of models. Furthermore, this paper presents the results of the developed model and discussion about those results. Finally, conclusion is provided.

The fifth paper try to identify road links and axes within an urban network, which, if selected for pedestrianisation, the overall traffic and accessibility of them would result the minimum impact. A two-level optimisation method applied in order to do so. The method is based on a unified network performance measure for calculating the criticality of network links, using the total network demand and the difference in travel time as a consequence of a link closure. This paper first introduces a literature review on pedestrinazation and the motives of their implementation. Furthermore, it presents the existing methodologies and approaches for the selection of road links subject to pedestrianisation and the methodological approach followed within this paper. Also, the outcomes of the proposed method are presented for a case study in Thessaloniki, Greece. Finally, the conclusion of the conducted research and proposed directions on future steps in the field of optimally selecting candidate pedestrian links of road networks are provided.

The sixth paper presents a three-step methodology for defining solutions of Cypriot taxi industry. This developed model is based in the third step of the traditional four-step transport model (Ortuzar and Willumsen, 1991): the mode choice. Based on the results of the abovementioned steps, the paper concludes with the development of alternative viability assessment methodologies for taxi industry and some proposals for the re-formation of taxi services including also pricing policies in Cypriot environment. Firstly, this paper presents an introduction about the operation of taxis within urban environment and then more specifically about the operation in Cyprus. Furthermore, the data acquisition for this research is presented. The methodology's framework and the developing of the model are also analysed. Finally, conclusions and policy implications are provided.

As shown above, the special issue contains a set of diverse papers on challenging topics related to decision support tools, methodologies and applications and its relationship with transport. Papers show also the importance of these DSS in all aspects of transport sector and its necessity in order to maximise the efficiency of its system.

References

- Ackoff, R.L. (1978) The Art of Problem Solving: Accompanied by Ackoff's Fables, Wiley, New York.
- Giarratano, C.J. and Riley, G. (2005) *Expert Systems: Principles and Programming*, 4th ed., PWS Publishing Co., Boston.
- Gonzalez-Feliu, J., Goodchild, A. and Guerrero, D. (2016) 'Data-driven innovations in policyoriented freight transport models and planning methods', *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp.1–3.
- Gonzalez-Feliu, J., Pronello, C. and Grau, J.M.S. (2018) 'Multi-stakeholder collaboration in urban transport: State-of-the-art and research opportunities', *Transport*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp.1079–1094.

- Liao, S. (2005) 'Expert system methodologies and applications a decade review from 1995 to 2004', Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 28, pp.93–103, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.eswa.2004.08.003.
- Ocalir-Akunal, E.V. (2016) 'Decision support systems in transport planning', *Procedia Engineering*, Vol. 161, pp.1119–1126.
- Ortuzar, J.d.D. and Willumsen, L.G. (1991) Modelling Transport, John Wiley, New York, USA.
- Ritchey, T. (2006) 'Problem structuring using computer-aided morphological analysis', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 57, No. 7, pp.792–801.
- Ritchey, T. (2011) 'Wicked problems-social messes: decision support modelling with morphological analysis', *Series Risk, Governance and Society*, Vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Zak, J. (2010) 'Decision support systems in transportation', in Jain, L.C. and Lim, C.P. (Eds.): Handbook on Decision Making. Intelligent Systems Reference Library, Vol. 4, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Zwicky, F. (1948) 'Morphological astronomy', The Observatory, Vol. 68, pp.121-143.