

---

## Editorial

---

### Narendar V. Rao

Department of Accounting, Business Law and Finance,  
Northeastern Illinois University,  
Chicago, IL 60625-4699, USA  
Email: nvrao13@gmail.com

### K.S. Reddy\*

School of Management,  
Xi'an Jiaotong University,  
28 Xianning West Road,  
Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China  
Email: srinivasareddy@mail.xjtu.edu.cn  
Email: cssrinivasareddy@live.com  
\*Corresponding author

**Biographical notes:** Narendar V. Rao is a full-time Professor in Corporate Finance area at the Department of Business, Finance and Accounting, Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago. His academic interests include investment finance, capital budgeting, mergers and acquisitions, and corporate valuation. He has published academic articles in a wide-range of management and finance journals, such as *Journal of Financial and Strategic Decisions*, *Eurasian Business Review*, *Cogent Business & Management*, and *Asia-Pacific Management Research and Innovation*.

K.S. Reddy is a full-time Senior Researcher at the School of Management, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an City. He especially focuses on mergers and acquisitions, leveraged buyouts, valuation modelling, and journal metrics. He had been a Handling Editor for special issues of journals like *Global Business and Economics Review*, *Management Research*, *Journal of Strategy and Management*, and *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, among others.

---

## 1 Theoretical background

The research theme 'The market for corporate control and firm performance' has been received a great attention from economics and management scholars, especially since the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) report on 'Transnational corporations and investment patterns across the world'. For example, according to McKinsey reports, the worldwide market value for local and overseas mergers and acquisitions (M&A) has significantly increased from US\$3 trillion in 1999 to US\$4.5 trillion in 2007, then further rose by US\$5 trillion in 2015. Though, it is important to note that the 2007–2009 global financial crisis has a significant negative

effect on the cross-border M&A market across countries, continentals and industries (see Rao and Reddy, 2015). At the same time, emerging economics such as Brazil, Russia, India and China (BRIC) have reported not only inward direct investment but also outward direct investment through acquisitions (Reddy et al., 2015). This immense market response is mainly due to ongoing institutional policy reforms, local market development, and globalisation of government-controlled industries (Bruton et al., 2015; Lebedev et al., 2015). Regarding performance effects, M&A have significant impact on various aspects of firm performance, including accounting performance, marketing, operational excellence and human capital. Extant reviews have argued that corporate acquisitions destroy firm's value while benefiting the target firm shareholders, and this will apply even more when a firm participates in a cross-border deal (Ferreira et al., 2014; Haleblan et al., 2009; Martynova and Renneboog, 2008; Reddy, 2014).

A common argument is that developed countries have better legal, economic and accounting practices while developing countries have not. Studies have found that a country with a liberalising environment usually attracts a higher flow of investments from the rest of the world due to better investor governance and capital protection measures (Bris and Cabolis, 2008; Erel et al., 2012). A friendly, superior institutional environment not only improves economic performance but also determines the market for corporate control activities such as M&A (North, 1990; Peng, 2003). On the other hand, lower economic development and poor quality of formal institutions in the country have adverse effects on the inflow of overseas capital flows, in which the country reports a smaller amount of investments (Lucas, 1990). Therefore, it is logical to study the impact of formal institutional environment on the market for corporate control and firm performance.

Yet, the impact of quality of formal institutions on the market for M&A (negotiation and post-merger stages) is missing in the current literature. In a modest way, recent studies have drawn attention to economic nationalism and political environment in cross-border acquisitions representing both developed and emerging markets (Ketkar, 2012; Scott-Kennel, 2013; Wan and Wong, 2009; Witt and Lewin, 2007; Zhang and He, 2014). Scholars argue that formal institutional characteristics such as legal framework, judicial system, and political factors have serious impacts on the success of negotiations, especially in the international setting. Motivated by these factors, the special issue aimed to study the relationship between institutional role and the performance of firms participating in local and foreign deals.

## **2 Special issue papers**

With this theoretical background, the special issue welcomed scholars to submit their research on various themes in the market for corporate control, including institutions, market for corporate control and firm performance, public administration role in corporate control transactions, cross-border acquisitions and firm performance, role of private equity firms in acquisitions, institutional role and the internationalisation process, and diversification, corporate governance and firm performance, among others. The special issue call for papers has received a good response from finance and strategy researchers globally. Following double blind review system, we have accepted seven papers for the Issue in 2017. A summary of papers in this special issue is presented below.

Miah and Uddin in their paper titled, 'Hostile takeover in Japan: institutional constraints and change' critically analyse a takeover battle between Livedoor and Fuji TV in Japan. The authors explore how does a merger/acquisition conflict between two key players in the industry affect national corporate governance and takeover institutional policies. They suggest that although the takeover battle has insignificant effect on the ownership and governance of industry peers, it has a considerable impact on takeover policies during post-Livedoor era in Japan, especially the policies pertaining to acquisition of local companies by foreign firms.

Duppati, Rao and Locke in their paper titled, 'Are the Indian corporates creating value through acquisitions in the US and European markets?' examine short-term (average abnormal returns) and long-term effects (cumulative abnormal returns, buy-and-hold abnormal returns) of cross-border acquisition announcements by Indian firms. Although overall results show positive effects around acquisition announcements, specific firm-level observations indicate mixed results in the short-term. Then, the authors especially analyse four cases that reported differing outcomes, such as Tata Steel, Hindalco, Dr. Reddy's and Wockhardt. The announcement and post-acquisition operating performance results suggest that Indian corporates acquired competitive ownership advantages through the outward foreign direct investment related M&A deals.

Bhatia and Thakur in their paper titled, 'Choice of diversification strategies in an emerging market environment: an empirical evaluation' empirically test the nature, extent and pattern of diversification of local companies with firms having international operations. To do so, the authors apply Jacquemin-Berry entropy index to a sample of 536 Indian manufacturing firms over three intervals, 2001, 2006 and 2011. Findings suggest that firms with purely domestic operations are more likely to adopt a forward-pattern of diversification, and local and multinational firms are likely to opt for related diversification over extreme choices of diversification, though local firms represent larger extent of diversification.

Sinha in his paper titled, 'Public sector general insurance companies in India: potential gains from merger' examines the potential consequences of merger of two public sector general insurance companies in India using data envelopment method. Results derived from efficiency gains and decomposition effects indicate that the overall potential gain from the merger is negative due to the presence of negative scale effect. The paper contributes to institutional transitions in India and how these institutional changes affect corporate consolidation strategies such as M&A in government-dominated general insurance industry.

Singh and Kansil in their paper titled, 'Impact of foreign shareholdings on corporate governance score: evidence from Bombay Stock Exchange, India' empirically test the impact of foreign shareholdings on corporate governance of firms listed on Bombay Stock Exchange, India. Using a sample of 201 firms, the authors find that the impact of market capitalisation, size and age on corporate governance score is significant for firms with non-controlling stake of foreign shareholders. However, the non-controlling stake of foreign shareholdings affects corporate governance as against the controlling stake. This suggests that when foreign shareholders buy larger stakes, they favour weak governance because it allows them to exploit minority equity stakes.

Falahat and Migin in their paper titled, 'Export performance of international new ventures in emerging market' study the relationship between international market orientation and export performance of international new ventures. Drawing insights from

resource-based view, the authors examine a sample of 223 international new ventures in Malaysia. Key findings indicate that firm-level development strategies such as low cost and differentiation have positive and mediating effects on export performance, while international market orientation has insignificant effect.

Mishra in the paper titled, 'Volatility and calendar anomaly through GARCH model: evidence from the selected G20 stock exchanges' analyses the day of the week pattern of market returns and volatility in selected G20 stock markets during the period 2009–2014. Using GARCH models, the author finds that some calendar anomalies are persistent in the stock return pattern, and the day of the week effect shows positive results for emerging stock markets. In case of volatility patterns, a few markets reveal that volatility is greatly increased after a negative return. This suggests that investors require being more cognisant about the negative news than the positive news in the market.

### **3 Future research themes**

There are several avenues for improving the current literature on the market for corporate control and firm performance in developed and emerging economies. For example, do changes in the ruling political party and new government formations favour the market for corporate control deals? How does a bilateral trade agreement between the host and home countries affect direct international investments and acquisitions? Are friendly relations, equal economic status, similar cultural attributes and sharing the same continent really an issue in border-crossing investments? Especially, studies that examine 'general elections, new government formation and the market for corporate control, role of regulatory and political factors that affect the success of foreign deals, and international equity joint ventures and corporate performance' in emerging economies would add significant knowledge to the current literature (see Zhu and Zhu, 2016). Further, studies that conduct comparative analysis and longitudinal case analysis relating to the institutional theme are most sought (see Reddy, 2015) for conducting case study research in emerging markets using archival sources).

### **Acknowledgements**

The guest editors would like to thank the Editor-in-Chief (Leo Dana) and Journal Manager (Barbara Curran) for the guidance and suggestions in making a success of the *IJBG*'s special issue. Special thanks are due to prospect authors and reviewers for timely responding to our *IJBG*'s special issue call for papers, review process and contributing their research.

### **References**

- Bris, A. and Cabolis, C. (2008) 'The value of investor protection: firm evidence from cross-border mergers', *Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp.605–648.
- Bruton, G.D., Peng, M.W., Ahlstrom, D., Stan, C. and Xu, K. (2015) 'State-owned enterprises around the world as hybrid organizations', *Academy of Management Perspectives*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp.92–114.

- Erel, I., Liao, R.C. and Weisbach, M.S. (2012) 'Determinants of cross-border mergers and acquisitions', *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 67, No. 3, pp.1045–1082.
- Ferreira, M.P., Santos, J.C., de Almeida, M.I.R. and Reis, N.R. (2014) 'Mergers & acquisitions research: a bibliometric study of top strategy and international business journals, 1980–2010', *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 67, No. 12, pp.2550–2558.
- Haleblian, J., Devers, C.E., McNamara, G., Carpenter, M.A. and Davison, R.B. (2009) 'Taking stock of what we know about mergers and acquisitions: a review and research agenda', *Journal of Management*, Vol. 35, No. 3, pp.469–502.
- Ketkar, S. (2012) 'Institutional development, economic liberalisation and firm internationalisation', *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp.412–430.
- Lebedev, S., Peng, M.W., Xie, E. and Stevens, C.E. (2015) 'Mergers and acquisitions in and out of emerging economies', *Journal of World Business*, Vol. 50, No. 4, pp.651–662.
- Lucas, R.E. (1990) 'Why doesn't capital flow from rich to poor countries', *American Economic Review*, Vol. 80, No. 2, pp.92–96.
- Martynova, M. and Renneboog, L. (2008) 'A century of corporate takeovers: what have we learned and where do we stand?', *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 32, No. 10, pp.2148–2177.
- North, D.C. (1990) *Institutions, Institutional Change, and Economic Performance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York.
- Peng, M.W. (2003) 'Institutional transitions and strategic choices', *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 28, No. 2, pp.275–296.
- Rao, N.V. and Reddy, K.S. (2015) 'The impact of the global financial crisis on cross-border mergers and acquisitions: a continental and industry analysis', *Eurasian Business Review*, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp.309–341.
- Reddy, K.S. (2014) 'Extant reviews on entry-mode/internationalization, mergers & acquisitions, and diversification: understanding theories and establishing interdisciplinary research', *Pacific Science Review*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp.250–274.
- Reddy, K.S. (2015) 'Beating the Odds! Build theory from emerging markets phenomenon and the emergence of case study research – a 'test-tube' typology', *Cogent Business & Management*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.1–25.
- Reddy, K.S., Li, Y. and Xie, E. (2015) 'Economic transition and cross-border mergers & acquisitions: the Indian experience among BRICs', *Journal of Comparative International Management*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp.23–53.
- Scott-Kennel, J. (2013) 'Models of internationalisation: the New Zealand experience', *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.105–136.
- Wan, K.M. and Wong, K.F. (2009) 'Economic impact of political barriers to cross-border acquisitions: an empirical study of CNOOC's unsuccessful takeover of Unocal', *Journal of Corporate Finance*, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp.447–468.
- Witt, M.A. and Lewin, A.Y. (2007) 'Outward foreign direct investment as escape response to home country institutional constraints', *Journal of International Business Studies*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp.579–594.
- Zhang, J. and He, X. (2014) 'Economic nationalism and foreign acquisition completion: the case of China', *International Business Review*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp.212–227.
- Zhu, H. and Zhu, Q. (2016) 'Mergers and acquisitions by Chinese firms: a review and comparison with other mergers and acquisitions research in the leading journals', *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp.1107–1149.