Foreword

Eduardo Bueno Campos

Departamento de Contabilidad y Organización de Empresas Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales Edif. Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales Módulo VIII/Despacho 303 Campus de Cantoblanco Ctra. de Colmenar, Km. 15 28049 Madrid, Spain E-mail: eduardo.bueno@uam.es

Patricia Ordóñez de Pablos*

Dept. Administración de Empresas y Contabilidad Universidad de Oviedo Facultad de Ciencias Economicas Avd del Cristo, s/n 33.071 Oviedo – Asturias, Spain E-mail: patriop@uniovi.es *Corresponding author

Margaret A. Peteraf

Tuck School at Dartmouth College 100 Tuck Hall Hanover, NH 03755, USA Fax: (603) 646–1698 E-mail: mpeteraf@dartmouth.edu

Biographical notes: Eduardo Bueno Campos is Chair of Business Administration at Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (Spain). He is also Director of the Knowledge Management Research Area of the Science Park of Madrid and Director of the Knowledge Society Research Center (Universidad Autónoma of Madrid).

Patricia Ordóñez de Pablos is Professor of Business Administration in the Department of Business Administration and Accountability at the University of Oviedo (Spain). Her teaching and research initiatives focus on the areas of strategic management, knowledge management, intellectual capital measuring and reporting, organisational learning and human resources management. Her papers are published in leading journals and books.

Margaret A. Peteraf is Professor of Strategic Management and Organization at the Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth. She is best known for her work on resource-based view. More recently, she has been working on the topic of dynamic capabilities and strategy process. Her book on this topic, called *Dynamic Capabilities: Understanding Strategic Change in Organizations*, with Helfat, Finkelstein, Mitchell, Singh, Teece, and Winter is currently being printed (Blackwell).

Establishing relationships between concepts is a key component of systematic inquiry. However, determining those relationships can be very difficult when definitions of concepts are murky and the proximity of their boundaries is nebulous. In the literature, there are uncertainties regarding the alignment, overlap and proximity of Human Resource Development (HRD), workforce development and continuing professional education.

The debate over the definition of HRD and its parameters has meandered about without the prospect of closure in clear sight. Scholars continue to debate on the definition of HRD with different objectives in mind. Some authors seek precision and clarity with their definitions. As Roth (2004, p.13) states, "if preferences be known, they would probably like to apply a global positioning system to HRD rhetoric to pinpoint its exact location within a galaxy of scholarship". Others find comfort in the ambiguity of the term HRD for the flexibility that ambiguity affords (Winter, 1995). For both sets of scholars, however, it is important to determine what falls within or outside the boundaries of HRD.

In an attempt to shed light on this matter, this Special Issue focuses its attention on the boundaries of HRD. The paper presents a collection of papers addressing this issue of the boundaries in the field.

We would like to thank both the Guest Editors of the Special Issue, Dr. Thomas Garavan and Dr. Michael Morley, from the University of Limerick in Ireland, and the authors for making this issue a reality.

References

Roth, G.L. (2004) 'CPE and HRD: research and practice within systems and across boundaries', *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, Vol. 6, No. 1, February, pp.9–19.

Winter, S. (1995) 'Four Rs of profitability: rents, resources, routines, and replication', in C.A. Montgomery (Ed.) *Resource-Based and Evolutionary Theories of the Firm*, Boston, MA: Kluwer, pp.147–158.