



International Journal of Applied Nonlinear Science

ISSN online: 1752-2870 - ISSN print: 1752-2862 https://www.inderscience.com/ijans

Efficient algorithm to study the class of Burger's Fisher equation

Yogeshwari F. Patel, Jayesh M. Dhodiya

DOI: <u>10.1504/IJANS.2022.10049203</u>

Article History:

02 February 2022
11 July 2022
01 June 2022
06 September 2022

Efficient algorithm to study the class of Burger's Fisher equation

Yogeshwari F. Patel*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, P.D. Patel Institute of Applied Sciences, Charotar University of Science and Technology, Changa, Anand, Gujarat-388421, India Email: patel.yogeshwari7@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Jayesh M. Dhodiya

Applied Mathematics and Humanities Department, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology, Surat, Gujarat-395007, India Email: jdhodiya2002@yahoo.com

Abstract: This paper aims to provide an effective analytical approach to study the family of Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation, namely the reduced differential transform method. These equations are well-known in mathematical biology and have a wide range of applications, including population dynamics, combustion theory, genetic propagation, stochastic processes, and a prototype model for a spreading flame. The proposed method's leverage over other analytical approaches is its capability to handle the nonlinear terms without discretisation, perturbation, or calculation of unneeded terms. The obtained results are more precise and reliable and show a high level of agreement with the exact solution. The convergence criteria and error analysis are also addressed in this paper. The straightforward applicability of the proposed method to convert the complex nonlinear partial differential equation into a simple algebraic system makes it a promising computational method. In this paper, we also provide the algorithm which can be easily implemented in MATLAB.

Keywords: Fisher reaction diffusion equation; reduced differential transform method; RDTM; analytical solution; error analysis; convergence method.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Patel, Y.F. and Dhodiya, J.M. (2022) 'Efficient algorithm to study the class of Burger's Fisher equation', *Int. J. Applied Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 3, No. 3, pp.242–266.

Biographical notes: Yogeshwari F. Patel received her PhD in Mathematics at the Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology Surat, Gujarat, India. She is working as an Assistant Professor at the Department of Mathematics, P.D. Patel Institute of Applied Sciences, Changa, Anand, Gujarat, India. Her

area of research is mathematical modelling and computation method. She has published and presented paper in various reputed national and international journals.

Jayesh M. Dhodiya is working as an Associate Professor at the Department of Applied Mathematics and Humanities, SVNIT, Surat. He was awarded a PhD in Mathematics from the Department of Mathematics, Veer Narmad South Gujarat University Surat in 2009. His thesis was concerned with 'Development of mathematical model for optimum cropping pattern and of knowledge management system in crop management'. He has published and presented paper in various reputed national and international journals.

1 Introduction

The Fisher's reaction diffusion equation is a nonlinear partial differential equation which describes a wide class of physical nonlinear phenomena. It was created in order to trigger the gene distribution within a population. The equation is named after Ronald Aylmer Fisher, and it describes natural population propagation, mass transfer, chemical reaction processes, and heat, as defined by Andrey Nicolaevich Kolmogorov. In this paper, we consider the Fisher's equation

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + \alpha \zeta \left(1 - \zeta\right),\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + \alpha \zeta \left(1 - \zeta^\gamma\right),\tag{2}$$

and a nonlinear diffusion equation of the Fisher type

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + \alpha \zeta \left(1 - \zeta^\gamma\right) \left(\zeta - \rho\right), 0 \le \rho \le 1.$$
(3)

where γ , α are the diffusive and reactive constants and $\gamma \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$. Equation (1) was suggested by Fisher as a model for mutant gene propagation, with denoting the density of advantageous mutations. Chemical kinetics (Malfliet, 1992), logistic population growth models (Murray, 1977; Britton, 1986), flame propagation (Frank-Kamenetskii, 2015; Williams, 2018), neurophysiology (Tuckwell, 1988), auto catalytic chemical reactions (Fife and McLeod, 1977; Aronson and Weinberger, 1988), branching Brownian motion processes (Bramson, 1978), gene-culture waves of advance (Aoki, 1987), the spread of early farming in Europe (Ammerman and Cavalli-Sforza, 1971; Ammerman and Avalli-Sforza, 2014), and nuclear reactor theory (Canosa, 1973) all use this equation.

To obtain the analytical and numerical solution of equations (1)–(3) an enormous amount of effort has been made in the last decade. Travelling wave solution was offered by Ablowitz and Zepetella (2014) while Canosa (1973) applied nonlinear eigenvalue problem to obtain the shock-like travelling waves of Fisher's equation. The wavelet-Galerkin method was used by Cattani and Kudreyko (2008) to find the numerical solution of Fisher's equation. A pseudo spectral method was used by Daniel and Shizgal (2006). Gazdag and Canosa (1974) used an accurate space derivative (ASD) approach, while Garey and Shen (1995) used a least-squares FEM. The Sinc collocation approach was used by Khaled (2001). Larson (1978) investigated the solution's transient behavior and examined the nonlinear Fisher's style equations' time-asymptotic convergence. For Fisher's equation, Mickens (1994) created a new class of FDM. Mittal and Jiwari (2009) conducted research by combining the Fisher equation with logistic nonlinearity. Moving mesh technique was used by Qiu and Sloan (1998). Using the model problem FRD equation, Rizwan (2001) investigated the relationship between nodal integral system and FDM. Tan et al. (2007) uses the homotopy analysis approach to obtain a family of travelling waves of the Fisher equation. For the numerical solution of the FRD equation, Sahin and Ozmen (2014) used a B-spline Galerkin method. Tang and Weber (1991) used a Petrov Galerkin FEM to investigate it numerically. Verma et al. (2014) investigated the solution of nonlinear FRD equations using the classical lie symmetry procedure. Nonlinear transformations were introduced by Wang (1988) find the explicit and exact solitary solutions of the generalised FRD equation. Using the Adomian decomposition approach, Wazwaz and Gorguis (2004) were able to obtain exact solutions to FRD type equations. For the FRD equation, Tamsir and Huntul (2021) suggested the extended cubic B-spline differential quadrature approach (EMCB-DQM). For the Fisher equation, Dag et al. (2010) proposed an exponential cubic B-spline algorithm, while Sahin and Ozmen (2014) proposed a quadratic B-spline Galerkin process. Crank-Nicolson (CN) finite difference scheme, ASDs method and discrete singular convolution (DSC) method were proposed by Zhao and Wei (2003). To solve the Fisher's equation, Sahin and Ozmen (2014) used a quintic B-spline collocation method on a uniform mesh, while Mittal and Dahiya (2016) used a quintic B-spline differential quadrature method. For the numerical solution of the nonlinear Fisher equation, Chandraker et al. (2014) suggested a semi-implicit finite difference scheme. Abbas et al. (2014) developed a collocation method based on cubic trigonometric B-spline functions, which they tested using the wave equation. Mickens and Oyedeji (2019) proposed travelling wave solutions to the modified Burgers and diffusionless Fisher's equations, and Agbavon et al. (2019) analysed the Fisher's equation numerically by taking the diffusion term to be smaller than the reaction term. Veeresha et al. (2019) apply q-homotopy analysis transform method to find the solution of nonlinear time-fractional Fisher's equation. Lovinmi and Akinfe (2020) combine two analytical approaches, namely Elzaki transform and homotopy transform perturbation method, to the family of FRD equations in search of an exact solution. Akram et al. (2021) introduce an unconditionally stable and convergent numerical approach to study time-fractional Fisher equation whose result shows an excellent agreement with the exact solution. Many researcher has proposed different numerical approach to study integer and fractional order nonlinear PDE (Adiguzel et al., 2021; Gulbahar et al., 2015; Pankov et al., 2021; Shokri et al., 2018, 2022; Shorki, 2012; Kumar and Verma, 2021; Mandal and Bira, 2021; Yokus and Yavuz, 2021; Yokus et al., 2015; Tamsir et al., 2018a, 2018b; Spiteri and Ruuth, 2002; Sahin et al., 2008; Soori, 2018; Rohila and Mittal, 2018; Onyejekwe, 2018; Mittal and Arora, 2010; Mittal and Jain, 2013; Dag and Ersoy, 2016; Shukla and Tamsir, 2016).

Due to the nonlinearity of PDEs, there is no single best approach for an equation. On some models/problems, some approaches converge quicker to an exact solution, while others do not. That is why nonlinear models require a wide range of analytical, semi-analytical, and computational methods to enhance the integration of the solutions obtained using these methods. This became the motivation behind this investigation. The paper presents the reduced differential transform method (RDTM) to obtain the solution of a one-dimensional nonlinear FRD type equation.

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, a description of RDTM is given, and it also addresses convergence and error analysis of RDTM. The procedure for implementing the RDTM is discussed in Section 3. Section 4 discusses the algorithm for MATLAB coding of Burger's Fisher reaction diffusion equation (BFRDE). In Section 5, numerical results are presented for family of a one-dimension nonlinear Fisher's reaction – diffusion equation with graphical and tabular illustrations. The conclusion of the proposed method is given at the end of Section 6.

2 Preliminaries of RDTM

For better understanding, some fundamental concept of RDTM are discussed in this section. Consider a function $\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)$ of two variables and assume that it can be expressed $\theta_1(\xi) \cdot \theta_2(\Upsilon)$. According to the concept of a one-dimensional differential transform, $\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)$ can be written as follows:

$$\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) = \left(\sum_{\iota=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{1}\left(\iota\right) \,\xi^{\iota}\right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{2}\left(\iota\right) \,\Upsilon^{\iota}\right),$$
$$= \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) \,\Upsilon^{\kappa},$$
(4)

where $\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$ is known as Υ -dimensional spectrum function of $\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)$. The definition of RDTM mention as follow (Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh, 2021):

Definition 1: If the function $\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)$ is analytic and continuously differentiable w.r.t space and time in the domain of interest, then let

$$\Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) = \frac{1}{\kappa!} \left[\frac{\partial^{\kappa}}{\partial\Upsilon}\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right)\right]_{\Upsilon=\Upsilon_{0}},\tag{5}$$

where $\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$ the Υ – spectrum function is the transform function. $\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)$ and $\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$ stands for the original and transform function respectively in this paper.

Definition 2: The differential inverse transform $\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$ is defined as (Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh, 2021):

$$\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) \,\left(\Upsilon - \Upsilon_{0}\right)^{\kappa}.\tag{6}$$

Combining equations (5) and (6), we get

$$\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa!} \left[\frac{\partial^{\kappa}}{\partial\Upsilon} \theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) \right]_{\Upsilon=0} \left(\Upsilon - \Upsilon_0\right)^{\kappa}.$$
(7)

From equation (7), it is clear that the concept of RDTM is derived from two-dimensional differential transform method. To demonstrate the concept of RDTM, consider NLPDE in terms of operator

$$\Im \left[\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right)\right] + \Re \left[\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right)\right] + N \left[\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right)\right] = \phi\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right),\tag{8}$$

with initial condition

$$\theta\left(\xi,0\right) = \varphi\left(\xi\right),$$

where $\phi(\xi, \Upsilon)$ is inhomogeneous term, N represent nonlinear operator, $\Im = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Upsilon} \Re$ is a linear operator which has partial derivative. According to RDTM, we construct following recursive formula:

$$(\kappa+1)\,\Theta_{(\kappa+1)}\left(\xi\right) = \Phi_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) - \Re\left[\Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right)\right] - N\left[\Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right)\right],\tag{9}$$

where $(\kappa + 1) \Theta_{(\kappa+1)}(\xi)$, $\Phi_{\kappa}(\xi)$, $\Re [\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)]$, $N [\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)]$ are reduced transform form of $L [\theta(\xi, y)]$, $\phi(\xi, \Upsilon)$, $\Re [\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)]$, $N [\theta(\xi, \Upsilon)]$ respectively. Applying reduced differential transform to initial condition, we get

$$\Theta_0\left(\xi\right) = \varphi\left(\xi\right).\tag{10}$$

Substituting equation (10) into equation (9) and by straight forward recursive computation, we get the values of $\Theta_{\kappa}(x)$ for different values of κ . The *n*th term approximated solution is given by inverse transformation for the set of $\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$ for $\kappa = 1, 2, 3, 4, ...$ as follows:

$$\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n} \Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) \,\Upsilon^{\kappa}.\tag{11}$$

The exact solution of the stated problem is

$$\theta\left(\xi,\Upsilon\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n} \Theta_{\kappa}\left(\xi\right) \,\Upsilon^{\kappa}.$$
(12)

The fundamental operation performed by RDTM can be easily proved using equations (5) and (6) and are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Fundamental operation of RDTM

Original function	Transformed function
$ heta_1(\xi,\Upsilon)\pm heta_2(\xi,\Upsilon)$	$\Theta_{1}\left(\xi ight)\pm\Theta_{2}\left(\xi ight)$
$\lambda heta \left(\xi, \Upsilon ight)$	$\lambda \Theta_{ ho} \left(\xi ight)$
$\frac{\partial \theta(\xi,\Upsilon)}{\partial \xi}$	$\frac{\partial \Theta_{\rho}(\xi)}{\partial \xi}$
$\frac{\partial \theta(\xi, \Upsilon)}{\partial t}$	$(\vartheta + 1) \Theta_{\vartheta + 1} (\xi)$
$\frac{\partial \theta(\xi,\Upsilon)}{\partial \xi \partial \Upsilon}$	$(\vartheta+1) \frac{\partial \Theta_{\vartheta+1}(\xi)}{\partial \xi}$
$ heta_1\left(\xi,\Upsilon ight) heta_2\left(\xi,\Upsilon ight)$	$\sum_{\eta=0}^{\ell} \Theta_{1,\eta}\left(\xi ight) \Theta_{2,\ell-\eta}\left(\xi ight)$
$\xi^{\mathrm{A}}\Upsilon^{B}$	$\xi^{A}\delta(h-B)$ where $\delta(h-B) = \begin{cases} 1, h = B\\ 0, h \neq B \end{cases}$

2.1 Error and convergence analysis

The convergence and error estimation has been addressed in detail by Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh (2021). The idea of Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh (2021) was to yield the sufficient condition for convergence of the method for NLPDE. We recall the theorems which guarantees the convergence of RDTM for the general operator equation $\Im [\theta (\xi, y)] + \Re [\theta (\xi, y)] + N [\theta (\xi, y)] = \phi (\xi, y).$

Theorem 1: If $\zeta_k(\omega, \tau) = V_l(\omega) (\tau - \tau_0)^l$, then the series solution $\sum_{i=0}^n \zeta_l(\omega, \tau)$ for equation (5) $\forall l \in N \cup \{0\}$.

- 1 is convergent, if there exist $0 < \eta < 1$ such that $\|\zeta_{l+1}\| \le \eta \|\zeta_l\|$
- 2 is divergent, if there exist $\eta > 1$ such that $\|\zeta_{l+1}\| \ge \eta \|\zeta_l\|$.

The truncation error of the series equation (9), which is a specific case of Banach's fixed point theorem (BFPT), is investigated in Theorem 1 (BFPT).

Proof: See Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh (2021) for the proof.

Theorem 2: Suppose $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \zeta_i(\omega, \tau)$ is required series solution, where $\zeta_l(\omega, \tau) = V_k(\omega) (\tau - \tau_0)^l$, converges to $\varepsilon(\omega, t)$. If $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \zeta_i(\omega, \tau)$ is the truncated series used to approximate the solution and then estimated maximum absolute truncated error is as $\|\varepsilon(\omega, t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} \zeta_i(\omega, \tau)\| \leq \frac{1}{\eta - 1} \eta^{j+1} \|\zeta_0\|$ where $\eta = \max{\{\eta_i, i = 0, 1, ..., j\}}$.

Proof: See Moosavi Noori and Taghizadeh (2021) for the proof.

From Theorems 1 and 2, it is concluded that series solution obtained using RDTM for nonlinear equation $\Im [\theta (\xi, y)] + \Re [\theta (\xi, y)] + N [\theta (\xi, y)] = \phi (\xi, y)$, converges to an exact solution when there exist $0 < \eta < 1$ such that $\|\zeta_{l+1}\| \leq \eta \|\zeta_l\|$, for $\forall l \in N \cup \{0\}$. In addition $\|\varepsilon (\omega, t) - \sum_{i=0}^{m} \zeta_i (\omega, \tau)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \eta^{m+1} \|\zeta_0\|$ represents maximum estimated absolute truncated error.

3 Implementation of RDTM to Fisher's reaction diffusion equation

3.1 Case 1

Considering reactive and diffusive constant value, i.e., the parameter values $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 1$ equation (2) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial\varsigma}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial\varsigma}{\partial\xi^2} + \varsigma(1-\varsigma),\tag{13}$$

with initial condition

 $\varsigma\left(\xi,0\right) = \gamma. \tag{14}$

For the exact solution of Case 1 see Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020). Applying RDTM to equations (13) and (14), the transformed recursive formula and initial condition is

$$(\kappa+1)\,\zeta_{(\kappa+1)}\,(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi) - \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa}\zeta_i\,(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}\,(\xi)\,,\tag{15}$$

$$\zeta_0\left(\xi\right) = \gamma. \tag{16}$$

Using the transformed condition equation (16) in equation (15), the coefficient of series solution can be obtained for $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...$

For $\kappa = 0$, equation (15) reduces to

$$\zeta_1(\xi) = \frac{d^2 \zeta_0(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \zeta_0(\xi) - {\zeta_0}^2(\xi).$$
(17)

Substituting equation (16) in equation (17), we get

$$\zeta_1\left(\xi\right) = \gamma - \gamma^2. \tag{18}$$

For $\kappa = 1$, equation (15) reduces to

$$2\zeta_{2}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\zeta_{1}(\xi) - \left(\zeta_{0}(\xi) \zeta_{1}(\xi) + \zeta_{1}(\xi) \zeta_{0}(\xi) \right) \right).$$
(19)

Substituting equations (16) and (18) in equation (19), we get

$$\zeta_2\left(\xi\right) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\gamma - \gamma^2\right) \left(1 - 2\gamma\right). \tag{20}$$

For $\kappa = 2$, equation (15) reduces to

$$\zeta_{3}(\xi) = \frac{1}{3} \left(\zeta_{2}(\xi) - \left(2\zeta_{0}(\xi) \zeta_{2}(\xi) + \zeta_{1}^{2}(\xi) \zeta_{1}(\xi) \right) \right).$$
(21)

Substituting equations (16), (19) and (18) in equation (21), we get

$$\zeta_{3}(\xi) = \left(\frac{1}{12}(\gamma - \gamma^{2})(1 - 2\gamma) - \left(\frac{1}{6}(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3})(1 - 2\gamma) + (\gamma - \gamma^{2})^{2}\right)\right).$$
(22)

For $\kappa = 3$, equation (15) reduces to

$$4\zeta_4(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_3(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \zeta_3(\xi) - \sum_{i=0}^3 \zeta_i(\xi)\zeta_{3-i}(\xi).$$
(23)

Substituting equations (16), (18), (19) and (22) in equation (23), we get

$$\zeta_{4}(\xi) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{12} \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2} \right) (1 - 2\gamma) - \left(\frac{1}{6} \left(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3} \right) (1 - 2\gamma) + \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2} \right)^{2} \right) - \left(\left(\frac{1}{6} \left(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3} \right) (1 - 2\gamma) - \left(\left(\gamma^{3} - \gamma^{4} \right) (1 - 2\gamma) + \left(\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2} \right)^{2} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2} \right) \left(\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2} \right) (1 - 2\gamma) \right) \right) \right\}.$$
(24)

In the same way, the remaining coefficient of the series solution can be obtained using the MATLAB software package. The analytical approximate solution in series form up to fourth approximation using equation (12) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left(\xi,t\right) &= \gamma + \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)t + \frac{1}{4}\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right)t^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{12}\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) - \left(\frac{1}{6}\left(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) + \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)t^{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{1}{12}\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) - \left(\frac{1}{6}\left(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) + \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\ &- \left(\left(\frac{1}{6}\left(\gamma^{2} - \gamma^{3}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) - \left(\left(\gamma^{3} - \gamma^{4}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right) + \left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\left(\left(\gamma - \gamma^{2}\right)\left(1 - 2\gamma\right)\right)\right)\right\}t^{4} + \dots\end{aligned}$$
(25)

Case 2

By considering the parameter values $\alpha = 6$, $\gamma = 1$ equation (2) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + 6\zeta \left(1 - \zeta\right),\tag{26}$$

with initial condition

$$\theta\left(\xi,0\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^2}.$$
(27)

For the exact solution of Case 2 see Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020). Applying RDTM to equations (26) and (27), the transformed recursive formula and initial condition is

$$(\kappa+1)\,\zeta_{(\kappa+1)}\,(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi)}{dx^2} + 6\zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi) - 6\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa}\zeta_i\,(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}\,(\xi)\,,\tag{28}$$

$$\zeta_0\left(\xi\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^2}.$$
(29)

Using transformed condition equation (29) in equation (28), the coefficient of series solution can be obtained for $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...$

For $\kappa = 0$, equation (28) reduces to

$$\zeta_{1}\left(\xi\right) = \frac{d^{2}\zeta_{0}\left(\xi\right)}{d\xi^{2}} + 6\zeta_{0}\left(\xi\right) - 6\zeta_{0}^{2}\left(\xi\right).$$
(30)

Substituting equation (29) in equation (30), we get

$$\zeta_1(\xi) = \frac{10 \, e^{\xi}}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^3}.\tag{31}$$

For $\kappa = 1$, equation (28) reduces to

250 Y.F. Patel and J.M. Dhodiya

$$2\zeta_2(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_1(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + 6\zeta_1(\xi) - 6\sum_{i=0}^1 \zeta_i(\xi)\zeta_{1-i}(\xi).$$
(32)

Substituting values from equations (29) and (31) in equation (32), we get

$$2\zeta_{2}(\xi) = \frac{10 e^{\xi} \left(4e^{2\xi} - 7e^{\xi} + 1\right)}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{5}} + 6\left(\frac{10 e^{\xi}}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{3}}\right) - 12\left(\frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{10 e^{\xi}}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{3}}\right),$$
(33)

$$\zeta_{2}(\xi) = \frac{25 e^{\xi} \left(2e^{\xi} - 1\right)}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{4}}.$$
(34)

For $\kappa = 2$, equation (28) reduces to

$$3\zeta_3(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_2(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + 6\zeta_2(\xi) - 12\zeta_0^2(\xi) - 6\zeta_1^2(\xi).$$
(35)

Substituting values from equations (29), (31) and (34) in equation (35), we get

$$3\zeta_{3}\left(\xi\right) = -\frac{50 e^{\xi} \left(33 e^{2\xi} - 8 e^{3\xi} - 18 e^{\xi} + 1\right)}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{6}} + \frac{150 e^{\xi} \left(2 e^{\xi} - 1\right)}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{4}} - \left(\frac{300 e^{\xi} \left(2 e^{\xi} - 1\right)}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{6}}\right) - 6\left(\frac{10 e^{\xi}}{\left(1 + e^{\xi}\right)^{3}}\right)^{2},$$
(36)

$$\zeta_{3}\left(\xi\right) = -\frac{50 \, e^{\xi} \left(15 e^{2\xi} - 20 e^{3\xi} + 18 e^{\xi} - 5\right)}{3(1 + e^{\xi})^{6}}.$$
(37)

For $\kappa = 3$, equation (28) reduces to

$$4\zeta_4(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_3(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + 6\zeta_3(\xi) - 12\zeta_0(\xi)\zeta_3(\xi) - 12\zeta_1(\xi)\zeta_2(\xi).$$
(38)

Substituting values from equations (29), (31), (34) and (37) in equation (38), we get

$$\zeta_{4}(\xi) = \frac{25 e^{\xi} \left(386e^{2\xi} + 392e^{3\xi} - 575e^{4\xi} + 80e^{5\xi} - 152e^{\xi} + 5\right)}{2(1+e^{x})^{8}} - \frac{75 e^{\xi} \left(15e^{2\xi} - 20e^{3\xi} + 18e^{\xi} - 5\right)}{3(1+e^{\xi})^{6}} + \left(\frac{50 e^{\xi} \left(15e^{2\xi} - 20e^{3\xi} + 18e^{\xi} - 5\right)}{(1+e^{\xi})^{8}}\right) - \left(\frac{1,500e^{2\xi} \left(2e^{\xi} - 1\right)}{(1+e^{\xi})^{7}}\right), \quad (39)$$

$$\zeta_4\left(\xi\right) = \frac{50e^{\xi} \left(506 e^{2\xi} + 32e^{6\xi} - 575e^{-\xi} + 80e^{6\xi} - 12e^{\xi} - 55\right)}{4(1+e^{\xi})^8}.$$
(40)

In the same way, using the MATLAB software package the remaining coefficient of the series solution can be calculate. The analytical approximate solution of equation (26) with initial condition equation (27) is

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\left(\xi,t\right) &= \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^{2}} + \left(\frac{10\,e^{\xi}}{\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^{3}}\right)t + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{25\,e^{\xi}\left(2e^{\xi}-1\right)}{\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^{4}}\right)t^{2} \\ &+ \left(\frac{50\,e^{\xi}\left(15e^{2\xi}-20e^{3\xi}+18e^{\xi}-5\right)}{3\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^{6}}\right)t^{3} \\ &+ \frac{50e^{\xi}\left(506\,e^{2\xi}+32e^{3\xi}-575e^{4\xi}+80e^{5\xi}-12e^{\xi}-55\right)}{4\left(1+e^{\xi}\right)^{8}}t^{4} + \dots \end{aligned}$$
(41)

Case 3

Considering the parameter values $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 6$ in equation (2), it reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + \zeta \left(1 - \zeta^6 \right), \tag{42}$$

with initial condition

$$\zeta(\xi,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)}}.$$
(43)

For the exact solution of Case 3 see Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020). Applying RDTM to equations (42) and (43), the transformed recursive formula and initial condition is

$$(\kappa+1)\zeta_{(\kappa+1)}(\xi) = \frac{d^2\Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + \Theta_{\kappa}(\xi)$$
$$-\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa}\sum_{\iota=0}^{i}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\iota}\sum_{\omega=0}^{\nu}\sum_{\rho=0}^{\omega}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho}\Theta_{\ell}(\xi)\Theta_{\rho-\ell}(\xi)\Theta_{\omega-\rho}(\xi)$$
$$\Theta_{\nu-\omega}(\xi)\Theta_{\iota-\nu}(\xi)\Theta_{i-\iota}(\xi)\Theta_{\kappa-i}(\xi), \qquad (44)$$

$$\zeta_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)}}.$$
(45)

Using transformed initial condition equation (43) in equation (44), the coefficient of the series solution can be calculated.

For $\kappa = 0$, equation (44) reduces to

$$\zeta_1(\xi) = \frac{d^2 \zeta_0(\xi)}{dx^2} + \zeta_0(\xi) - (\zeta_0(\xi))^7.$$
(46)

Substituting equation (45) in equation (46), we get

$$\zeta_{1}\left(\xi\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\left(e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi} - 3\right)}{4\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}}} + \frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}}}.$$
(47)

For $\kappa = 1$, equation (44) reduces to

251

$$2\zeta_{2}(\xi) = \frac{d^{2}\zeta_{1}(\xi)}{d\xi^{2}} + \zeta_{1}(\xi)$$

- $\sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{\iota=0}^{i} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\iota} \sum_{\omega=0}^{\nu} \sum_{\rho=0}^{\omega} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\rho} \zeta_{\ell}(\xi) \zeta_{\rho-\ell}(\xi) \zeta_{\omega-\rho}(\xi) \zeta_{\nu-\omega}(\xi)$
 $\zeta_{\iota-\nu}(\xi) \zeta_{i-\iota}(\xi) \zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi).$ (48)

Substituting equations (45), (47) in equation (48), we get

$$\zeta_{2}\left(\xi\right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 227e^{3\xi} + 13e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi} + 25e^{6\xi} - 25e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi} - 656e^{3\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{2} \\ -344e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{2} - 352e^{6\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{2} \\ +672e^{3\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{4} + 448e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{4} \\ -792e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{2} + 112e^{6\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{4} \\ +448e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi}\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{4} - 128\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{2} \\ +112\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{4} + 16 \\ \hline \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(49)

 $\zeta_3(\xi)$, $\zeta_4(\xi)$ are too long to mention, so they are represented graphical. The analytical approximate solution of equation (42) with initial condition (43) is

$$\zeta\left(\xi,t\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \left(\frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\left(e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}-3\right)}{4\left(1+e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}}} + \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}}}\right)t$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 227e^{3\xi} + 13e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi} + 25e^{6\xi} - 25e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi} \\ -656e^{3\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^2 - 344e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^2 \\ -352e^{6\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^2 + 672e^{3\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^4 \\ +448e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^4 - 792e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^2 \\ +112e^{6\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^4 + 448e^{\frac{9}{2}\xi} \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^4 \\ -128\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^2 + 112\left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^4 + 16 \\ \hline \left(1 + e^{\frac{3}{2}\xi}\right)^{\frac{7}{3}} \end{pmatrix} t^2 + \dots$$
(50)

Case 4

Consider the parameter values $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $0 \le \rho \le 1$, equation (3) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{\partial \xi^2} + \zeta \left(1 - \zeta\right) \left(\zeta - \rho\right).$$
(51)

with initial condition

$$\zeta(\xi, 0) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)}.$$
(52)

or the exact solution of Case 4 see Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020). Applying RDTM to equations (51) and (52), the transformed recursive formula and initial condition is

$$(\kappa+1)\,\zeta_{(\kappa+1)}\,(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + (\rho+1)\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa}\zeta_i\,(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}\,(\xi) - \rho\,\zeta_{\kappa}\,(\xi) -\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\zeta_j\,(\xi)\zeta_{i-j}\,(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}\,(\xi)\,,$$
(53)

$$\zeta_0\left(\xi\right) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)}.$$
(54)

Using transformed initial condition equation (54) in recursive formula (53) the coefficient of the series solution can be calculated.

For $\kappa = 0$, equation (53) reduces to

$$\zeta_1(\xi) = \frac{d^2 \zeta_0(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + (\rho + 1) \left(\zeta_0(\xi)\right)^2 - \rho \zeta_0(\xi) - (\zeta_0(\xi))^3.$$
(55)

Substituting equation (54) in equation (55), we get

$$\zeta_{1}\left(\xi\right) = -\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(2\rho - 1\right)}{2\left(1 + e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)}.$$
(56)

For $\kappa = 1$, equation (53) reduces to

$$2\zeta_{2}(\xi) = \frac{d^{2}\zeta_{1}(\xi)}{dx^{2}} + (\rho + 1)\sum_{i=0}^{1}\zeta_{i}(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi) - \rho\zeta_{1}(\xi) - \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\zeta_{j}(\xi)\zeta_{i-j}(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi),$$
(57)

$$2\zeta_2(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_1(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + (2\rho - 1)\zeta_0(\xi)\zeta_1(\xi) - \rho\zeta_1(\xi).$$
(58)

Substituting value from equations (54) and (56) in equation (58), we get

$$\zeta_{2}\left(\xi\right) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(2\rho - 1\right)\left(2\rho + e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} + 6e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} - 2\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} - 1\right)}{8\left(1 + e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^{4}}.$$
(59)

For $\kappa = 2$, equation (53) reduces to

$$3\zeta_{3}(\xi) = \frac{d^{2}\zeta_{3}(\xi)}{d\xi^{2}} + (\rho+1)\sum_{i=0}^{2}\zeta_{i}(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi) - \rho\zeta_{2}(\xi) -\sum_{i=0}^{2}\sum_{j=0}^{i}\zeta_{j}(\xi)\zeta_{i-j}(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi).$$
(60)

Substituting values from equations (54), (56), and (59) in equation (60), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} (2\rho - 1) \begin{pmatrix}
4\rho - e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} (20 + 2\rho - 12\rho^2) \\
+ e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi} (17 - 10\rho) \\
+ e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} (40 - 20\rho) \\
+ e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} (76 + 12\rho + 8\rho^2) \\
-4\rho^2 - 1
\end{aligned}$$
(61)

For $\kappa = 3$, equation (53) reduces to

$$4\zeta_4(\xi) = \frac{d^2\zeta_3(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + (\rho+1)\sum_{i=0}^3 \zeta_i(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi) - \rho\zeta_3(\xi) -\sum_{i=0}^3\sum_{j=0}^i \zeta_j(\xi)\zeta_{i-j}(\xi)\zeta_{\kappa-i}(\xi).$$
(62)

Substituting values from equations (54), (56) and (59) in equation (60), we get

$$\zeta_{4}\left(\xi\right) = -\frac{\left(2\rho - 1\right)e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{64\left(1 + e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^{8}} - \frac{897e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} - 6\rho + 1137e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi} - 168e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{1432\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} - 2,160e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} + 60e^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-432\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} + 402\rho e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi} + 196\rho e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-44\rho e^{3\sqrt{2}x} + 56\rho e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} - 332\rho e^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-20\rho^{2}e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} + 64\rho^{3}e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} + 148\rho^{2}e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi}}{-20\rho^{2}e^{\sqrt{2}\xi} + 20\rho^{2}e^{3\sqrt{2}\xi} - 56\rho^{3}e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi}} + 16\rho^{3}e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} + 240\rho^{2}e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} + 16\rho^{3}e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{+8\rho^{2}e^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} - 32\rho^{3}e^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\xi} + 12\rho^{2} - 8\rho^{3} + 1\right)}.$$
(63)

In the same way, the remaining coefficient can be evaluate using the MATLAB package. The analytical approximate solution of equation (51) with initial condition (52) is

$$\begin{split} \zeta\left(\xi,t\right) &= \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)} - \left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(2\rho-1\right)}{2\left(1+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)}\right)t \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(2\rho-1\right)\left(2\rho+e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}+6e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}-2\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}-1\right)}{8\left(1+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^4}\right)t^2 \\ &+ \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(2\rho-1\right)\left(\frac{4a-e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}\left(20+2\rho-12\rho^2\right)+e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi}\left(17-10\rho\right)}{+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(40-20\rho\right)+e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\left(76+12\rho+8a^2\right)}{-4\rho^2-1}\right)}{24\left(1+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^6}t^3 \\ &+ \frac{24\left(1+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^6}{-432\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}-6\rho+1,137e^{2\sqrt{2}x}-168e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-432\rho e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}+402\rho e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi}+196\rho e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-44ae^{3\sqrt{2}\xi}+56ae^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}-332ae^{\frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{-20\rho^2 e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}+64a^3e^{\sqrt{2}\xi}+148a^2e^{2\sqrt{2}\xi}}{-88a^2e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}20\rho^2e^{3\sqrt{2}\xi}+16\rho^3e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}{+16\rho^3e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}+240\rho^2e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}+16\rho^3e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}}\\ &+ \frac{16\rho^3e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}+240\rho^2e^{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}+12\rho^2-8\rho^3+1}{64\left(1+e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\xi}\right)^8} \end{split}$$

$$(64)$$

4 Algorithm for RDTM

Algorithm 1 Algorithm to calculate the series solution of BFRDE

begin Input: Parameters values, initial condition Output: Series solution of the (BFRDE) Step 1 Insert Input parameters. Step 2 Compute the coefficient of series solution using the recursive formula associated with the given partial differential equation Create loop for k from 1 to n Initialise A = 0, B = 0, C = 0Note: Create the number of loop required depending on power of ζ . Create loop for i from 1 to k Create loop for j from 1 to i $A = A + \zeta(1:\kappa) * \zeta(1:\kappa-i) + \zeta(1:i) * \zeta(1:i-j) * \zeta(1:\kappa-i)$ end end $\zeta(\kappa+1) = (diff(\zeta(i),\xi,2) + A)/(\kappa * (\kappa+1))$ end Step 3 Compute the series solution unto to n using the coefficient values. Create loop for i from 1 to n $Series(t) = \zeta(1:n) * power(t, \kappa - 1)$ end Step 4 Display the series solution. end

5 Result and discussion

In this section, we present the result for BFRDE for Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4 obtained by RDTM and compare these result via table, convergence plot with the exact solution as well as the with other well known analytical solution available in the literature (Loyinmi and Akinfe, 2020).

The absolute errors at various times (t) for different values of ξ are obtained and compared with the exact solutions in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4. Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 shows 3D plot for Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively for different values of parameters. To study the accuracy of RDTM solution for Case 1, the absolute error up to four term approximation is listed in Table 2. The comparison of results with other analytical method for Case 1 from Table 2 shows that RDTM is more accurate as compare to EHTPM and HPM. From Figure 5 and Table 1, it is clear that the approximate solution converges rapidly to exact solution. From Figure 5, it clear that series solution with less number of approximation shows an excellent agreement with exact solution. The computational analysis of Case 2 is done in the domain $\xi = 1, 2, 3,$..., $0.1 \le t \le 0.5$. Table 3 shows the comparison of the present result with the result obtained by Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020). It is found that the present solution is more accurate than Loyinmi and Akinfe (2020) and shows an excellent agreement with the exact solution. From Figure 5, it is clear that the series solution with ten approximation terms shows an excellent agreement with an exact solution in compared to solution with four and seven approximations, respectively. Table 3 shows comparison of present solution with EHTPM and HPM at different value of ξ and t for Case 3 for $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 6$ and $\rho = 0$. We found that the present solution shows an excellent agreement with the exact solution and is more accurate than EHTPM and HPM, which is clear from Figure 6. Table 4 shows the comparison of present solution with EHTPM and HPM at different value of ξ and t for Case 4 for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 6$ and $0 \le a \le 1$. We found that the present solution shows an excellent agreement with the exact solution and is more accurate than EHTPM and HPM, which is clear from Figure 6. The effect of initial conditions for Cases 1, 2, 3 and 5 can be seen in Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively.

Figure 1 Analytical approximate solution of Case 1 for parameter values $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 1$ (see online version for colours)



Figure 2 Analytical approximate solution of Case 2 for parameter values $\alpha = 6$, $\gamma = 1$ (see online version for colours)



Figure 3 Analytical approximate solution of Case 3 $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 6$ (see online version for colours)



Figure 4 Analytical approximate solution of Case 4 with parameter $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 6$, $\rho = 0.3$ (see online version for colours)







JIUA	.11116	ш	301	uu	on	01	Cube
Absolute error for RDTM	0	2.106×10^{-11}	1.25522×10^{-11}	$8.8542 imes 10^{-11}$	1.76403×10^{-12}	1.23233×10^{-09}	
Absolute error for HPM	0	0.025	0.05000003	0.075000046	0.1000034	0.173202669	
Absolute error for EHTPM	0	1.0×10^{-10}	1.0×10^{-10}	1.0×10^{-10}	$7.1 imes 10^{-9}$	2.741×10^{-7}	
RDTM	0.5	0.524979187478940	0.549833997312522	0.574442516815458	0.598687660201764	0.622459332232332	
HPM	0.5	0.549979188	0.599834	0.649442563	0.698688	0.795662	
EHTPM	0.5	0.524979187	0.549833997	0.574442517	0.598687667	0.622459605	
XACT	0.5	524979188	549833997	574442517	.59868766	.622459331	
Ε		0	0	0	0	0	

Table 2	Comparison of analytic	approximate	solution	of Case	1 with pa	rameters α	= 1, γ	= 1
		15.1						

Absolute error for RDTM	0	3.336×10^{-12}	0	1.0026×10^{-10}	1.10526×10^{-10}	5.44242×10^{-7}	0	3.9765×10^{-12}	2.14867×10^{-11}	3.44899×10^{-12}	0	3.01563×10^{-10}	0	3.86461×10^{-12}	1.39×10^{-12}	3.9765×10^{-10}	2.14867×10^{-11}	3.478×10^{-12}
Absolute error for HPM	0	1.1×10^{-9}	8.8×10^{-9}	8.0×10^{-9}	7.1417×10^{-9}	1.327×10^{-8}	0	$9.0 imes 10^{-12}$	$2.8 imes 10^{-10}$	1.4×10^{-9}	2.6×10^{-9}	2.6×10^{-9}	0	1.0×10^{-11}	2.4×10^{-10}	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	4.0×10^{-11}	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$
Absolute error for EHTPM	0	1.1×10^{-9}	8.8×10^{-9}	$8.0 imes 10^{-9}$	7.14017×10^{-9}	1.327×10^{-8}	0	9.0×10^{-12}	2.8×10^{-10}	1.4×10^{-9}	2.6×10^{-9}	2.6×10^{-9}	0	1.0×10^{-11}	2.4×10^{-10}	2.0×10^{-10}	4.0×10^{-11}	6.0×10^{-10}
RDTM	0.7937005259841	0.142536956596664	0.2500000000000000	0.387455619000260	0.534446645389474	0.668428568541688	0.1420933661861	0.033279071736024	0.072329488128513	0.142536956596551	0.2500000000000000	0.387455618598437	0.00224921344665465	0.005754463476135	0.014209336618610	0.033279071736024	0.072329488128513	0.142536956596522
WdH	0.7937005259841	0.142536957700	0.2500000880	0.387455610900	0.53373262850	0.668428157000	0.1420933661861	0.033279071749	0.0723294884300	0.14253695800	0.2500000260	0.3874556163000	0.00224921344665465	0.00575446349	0.01420933638	0.03327907194	0.07232948819	0.14253695720
EHTPM	0.7937005259841	0.142536957700	0.2500000880	0.387455610900	0.53373262850	0.668428157000	0.1420933661861	0.033279071749	0.0723294884300	0.14253695800	0.2500000260	0.3874556163000	0.00224921344665465	0.00575446349	0.01420933638	0.03327907194	0.07232948819	0.14253695720
Exact	0.7937005259841	0.1425369566	0.2500	0.387455618900	0.53444664550	0.668428024300	0.1420933661861	0.03327907174	0.0723294881500	0.14253695660	0.25000000000	0.3874556189000	0.00224921344665465	0.00575446348	0.01420933662	0.03327907174	0.07232948815	0.14253695660
t	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
x	-						Ч						m					

Table 3 Comparison of analytic approximate solution of Case 2 with parameters $\alpha = 6$, $\gamma = 1$

1 0 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.567146427334617 0.56715 0.1 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.652580439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750439 0.6845750449 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.79370120 0.56104112020 0.56104112020 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.407256671 0.1 0.256714642710 0.565714642710 0.5	x	t	Exact	EHTPM	MAH	RDTM	Absolute error for EHTPM	Absolute error for HPM	Absolute error for RDTM
0.1 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6258048411 0.6558048411 0.6558048411 0.6558048411 0.6558048411 0.6558048411 0.655804845750439 0.65579 0.7793705565025 0.361969333853025 0.36194971200 0.65714642710 0.655714642710 0.	-	0	0.567146427334617	0.567146427334617	0.567146427334617	0.567146427334617	0	0	0
0.2 0.6845750433 0.6845750439 0.79370 0.5 0.5 0.8399823314 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.83999823312 0.8351995 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.40725650129 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.55714442710 0.557144 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144110 0.25149183560	0	0.1	0.6258048411	0.6258048411	0.6258048411	0.625804841005771	0	0	9.4229×10^{-11}
0.3 0.7412818057 0.7412818056 0.7412818056 0.7412818056 0.4 0.7937005266 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.793703554 0.5 0.8399823314 0.83998233120 0.8399823312 0.83995 0.5 0.361969333853025 0.361969333853025 0.36199 0.3619 0.1 0.4072565019 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.1 0.4072565019 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.4072 0.4072 0.2 0.361940112000 0.51040112020 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.4072 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.51040112020 0.5504 0.25144 0.4 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.62575 0.4 0.55714612710 0.55714642710 0.55714642710 0.5574 0.5 0.62581164111 0.62578164110 0.62578 0.22149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.1 0.22149183570 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560	0	0.2	0.6845750433	0.6845750439	0.6845750439	0.684575053564968	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.0265×10^{-08}
0.4 0.793700526 0.7937005264 0.7937005264 0.793705264 0.5 0.8399823314 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.5 0.8399823314 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.1 0.361969333853025 0.361969333853025 0.36199 0.1 0.4072565019 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.2 0.45684907950 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.2 0.45684907950 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.2 0.456714642710 0.56714642710 0.567146 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51146112020 0.5573 0.4568 0.4 0.55714642710 0.55714642710 0.557146 0.22530976246631 0.22223 0.1 0.2514918356 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.25144 0.1 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.2223 0.25144 0.1 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.25144 0.2 0.2514918	0	0.3	0.7412818057	0.7412818056	0.7412818056	0.741282017163646	$1.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$1.0 imes 10^{-10}$	2.11464×10^{-07}
0.5 0.8399823314 0.83998233120 0.8399823312 0.8399823312 0.83998 2 0 0.361969333853025 0.361969333853025 0.3619 0.1 0.4072565019 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.472 0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.3 0.51040112020 0.51040112020 0.5104112020 0.5104112020 0.3 0.55114642730 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.4 0.557309976246631 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.25144110 0.62573 0.1 0.2514918356 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.25144110 0.62574 0.1 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.25144183560 0.25144 0.25144 0.1 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144183560 0.25144 0.25144 0.2 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144	0	9.4	0.793700526	0.79370052640	0.7937005264	0.793701438427354	$4.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$4.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$9.12427 imes 10^{-07}$
2 0 0.361969333853025 0.361969333853025 0.3619 0.1 0.4072565019 0.40725650250 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.5104 0.5104 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.5104 0.5671 0.4 0.56714642730 0.516714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.5 0.557309976246631 0.222309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.222330 0.22234 0.22149183560 0.22144631 0.22234 0.22144631	0	0.5	0.8399823314	0.83998233120	0.8399823312	0.83996392012000	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.84113×10^{-05}
0.1 0.4072565019 0.4072565025 0.4072565025 0.4072 0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.5104 0.5104 0.4 0.56714642730 0.51040112020 0.5104 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.5575 0.5 0.5571464211 0.65581164111 0.6257309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.222330976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.225149183560 0.25144 0.1 0.25149183570 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.2223309976246631 0.22234039163 0.225149183560 0.25144 0.1 0.25149183570 0.25149183560 0.284293339620 0.2842293339620 0.284229	2	0	0.361969333853025	0.361969333853025	0.361969333853025	0.361969333853025	0	0	0
0.2 0.4568490786 0.45684907950 0.4568490795 0.4568 0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.5104112020 0.5104 0.4 0.56714642730 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56771 0.5 0.5571464211 0.65581164111 0.6557309976246631 0.222309976246631 0.2223109976246631 0.22231 0.22231 0.1 0.225149183570 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.222149183560 0.25144 0.1 0.225149183570 0.25149183560 0.25149183560 0.25144 0.222149183560 0.25144 0.2 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429	0	0.1	0.4072565019	0.40725650250	0.4072565025	0.407256501777420	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.2258×10^{-10}
0.3 0.51040112000 0.51040112020 0.5104112020 0.5104112020 0.5104 0.4 0.56714642730 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.5677575 0.5 0.6258048411 0.6258116411 0.62581164110 0.62575 0.22230976246631 0.222330976246631 0.222330976246631 0.222330976246631 0.222330976246631 0.222330976246631 0.225149183560	0	0.2	0.4568490786	0.45684907950	0.4568490795	0.456849077483068	$9.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$9.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.11693×10^{-09}
0.4 0.56714642730 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.56714642710 0.567757575757575 0.62581164110 0.62581164110 0.625875 0.222330976246631 0.222330976246631 0.2222330976246631 0.2222330976246631 0.2222330976246631 0.2222330976246631 0.225149183560 </td <td><u> </u></td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.51040112000</td> <td>0.51040112020</td> <td>0.51040112020</td> <td>0.510401061152851</td> <td>$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$</td> <td>$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$</td> <td>5.88471×10^{-08}</td>	<u> </u>	0.3	0.51040112000	0.51040112020	0.51040112020	0.510401061152851	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	5.88471×10^{-08}
0.5 0.6258048411 0.6258116411 0.62581164110 0.62575 3 0 0.222309976246631 0.222309976246631 0.22233 0.1 0.22149183570 0.2514918356 0.22514 0.22514 0.1 0.25149183570 0.2514918356 0.2514 0.2514 0.1 0.25149183570 0.2514918356 0.2514 0.2514 0.2 0.28429339640 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.2842 0.3 0.32103175570 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429 0.3 0.3210317529 0.32103175290 0.3210 0.36196926590 0.36196 0.40725650130 0.4072565013 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130	<u> </u>	9.4	0.56714642730	0.56714642710	0.56714642710	0.567145572933122	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$8.54367 imes 10^{-07}$
3 0 0.222309976246631 0.222309976246631 0.2223 0.1 0.25149183570 0.25149183560 0.2514 0.1 0.25149183570 0.25149183560 0.2514 0.2 0.25149183570 0.25149183560 0.2514 0.2 0.28429339640 0.2842933962 0.28429 0.2842 0.3 0.2103175570 0.2810317529 0.32103 0.3210 0.4 0.36196933390 0.3619692659 0.36196925590 0.3619 0.5 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130	<u> </u>	0.5	0.6258048411	0.6258116411	0.62581164110	0.6257991819957520	$6.8 imes 10^{-6}$	6.8×10^{-6}	$5.6591 imes 10^{-06}$
0.1 0.25149183570 0.2514918356 0.25149183560 0.2514 0.2 0.28429339640 0.2842933962 0.28429339620 0.2842 0.2 0.28429339640 0.2842933962 0.28429339620 0.2842 0.3 0.32103175570 0.3210317529 0.3210 0.3210 0.4 0.36196933390 0.3619692659 0.3619692659 0.3619 0.5 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130	ŝ	0	0.222309976246631	0.222309976246631	0.222309976246631	0.222309976246631	0	0	0
0.2 0.28429339640 0.28429339620 0.28429339620 0.28429 0.3 0.32103175570 0.3210317529 0.3210 0.3210 0.4 0.36196933390 0.3619692659 0.3619692659 0.3619 0.5 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.40725650130	<u> </u>	J.1	0.25149183570	0.2514918356	0.25149183560	0.251491835921418	$1.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$1.0 imes 10^{-10}$	2.21418×10^{-10}
0.3 0.32103175570 0.3210317529 0.32103175290 0.3210 0.4 0.36196933390 0.3619692659 0.36196926590 0.3619 0.5 0.40725650190 0.40725650130 0.40725650130 0.4072	<u> </u>	0.2	0.28429339640	0.2842933962	0.28429339620	0.284293396280718	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.19282×10^{-10}
0.4 0.36196933390 0.3619692659 0.36196926590 0.3619 0.5 0.40725650190 0.4072565013 0.40725650130 0.4072	0	9.3	0.32103175570	0.3210317529	0.32103175290	0.321031747034672	$2.8 imes 10^{-9}$	$2.8 imes 10^{-9}$	8.66533×10^{-09}
0.5 0.40725650190 0.4072565013 0.40725650130 0.4072	0	9.4	0.36196933390	0.3619692659	0.36196926590	0.361969159462556	$6.8 imes 10^{-8}$	$6.8~ imes~10^{-8}$	$1.74437~ imes~10^{-07}$
	_	0.5	0.40725650190	0.4072565013	0.40725650130	0.40725466224225	6.0×10^{-10}	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	1.83966×10^{-06}

Table 4 Comparison of analytic approximate solution of Case 3 with parameters $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 6$

Absolute error for RDTM	0	8.9565×10^{-11}	2.40143×10^{-10}	4.4039×10^{-11}	5.1158×10^{-11}	1.75206×10^{-10}	0	$3.3544 imes 10^{-10}$	4.12019×10^{-11}	2.3592×10^{-11}	2.29445×10^{-10}	1.29478×10^{-10}	0	2.61871×10^{-10}	2.2364×10^{-10}	4.10602×10^{-10}	2.23242×10^{-10}	4.5587×10^{-11}
Absolute error for HPM	0	$7.0 imes10^{-10}$	$8.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$9.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	0	0	$2.1 imes10^{-9}$	$2.1 imes10^{-9}$	$2.2~ imes~10^{-9}$	$1.8 imes 10^{-9}$	0	$1.8 imes10^{-9}$	$1.9 imes10^{-9}$	$2.1 imes10^{-9}$	$1.6 imes 10^{-9}$	1.2×10^{-9}
Absolute error for EHTPM	0	$7.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$8.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$9.0 imes 10^{-10}$	$6.0 imes 10^{-10}$	0	0	$2.1 imes 10^{-9}$	$2.1 imes 10^{-9}$	$2.2~ imes~10^{-9}$	1.8×10^{-9}	0	1.8×10^{-9}	$1.9 imes 10^{-9}$	$2.1 imes 10^{-9}$	$1.6 imes 10^{-9}$	1.2×10^{-9}
RDTM	0.66976154326657	0.6741700548104350	0.6785479547401430	0.6828947003559610	0.6872097630511580	0.6914926344752060	0	0.8075569887354400	0.8106460584412020	0.8136969821235920	0.8167098631294450	0.8196848172705220	0.892958198534830	0.8948549058618710	0.8967218919236400	0.8985594852106020	0.9003680155234240	0.9021478137544130
MdH	0.66976154326657	0.6741700556	0.6785479553	0.6828947010	0.6872097639	0.6914926349	0.804429682506957	0.8075569884	0.8106460605	0.8136969842	0.8167098651	0.8196848192	0.89295819853483	0.8948549074	0.8967218936	0.8985594869	0.9003680169	0.9021478150
EHTPM	0.66976154326657	0.6741700556	0.6785479553	0.6828947010	0.6872097639	0.6914926349	0.804429682506957	0.8075569884	0.8106460605	0.8136969842	0.8167098651	0.8196848192	0.89295819853483	0.8948549074	0.8967218936	0.8985594869	0.9003680169	0.9021478150
Exact	0.66976154326657	0.6741700549	0.6785479545	0.6828947004	0.6872097630	0.6914926343	0.804429682506957	0.8075569884	0.8106460584	0.8136969821	0.8167098629	0.8196848174	0.89295819853483	0.8948549056	0.8967218917	0.8985594848	0.9003680153	0.9021478138
: t	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
×							2						ξ					

Table 5 Comparison of analytic approximate solution of Case 4 with parameters $\alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $\rho = 0.3$



Figure 6 Convergence plot of Cases 3 and 4 respectively (see online version for colours)

6 Conclusions

In this research, we represent an application of the RDTM by handling the class of reaction-diffusion equations, namely the family of fisher's differential equation. Several conclusions can be drawn from this research:

- It easily handles the nonlinear terms and complex initial conditions and overcomes the disadvantage of computing the unwanted terms and complex calculations.
- Highly nonlinear PDEs can easily handle by the RDTM, and its straightforward applicability makes it easier for users to code in any software language.
- It does not require discretisation, perturbation parameter, or linearisation; instead, it converts the complex differential equation into an algebraic formula that is easy to handle.
- The capability of the method to convert the complex differential equation and initial condition to a simple recursive formula is a massive advantage to researchers as it helps in programming the approach.
- Using MATLAB code, the computation time is reduced if anyone requires a solution by considering more than 30 terms.

We strongly recommend using the proposed RDTM to solve models in various fields, including fluid flow and fluid mechanics, engineering, nonlinear dynamics, acoustics, convection-diffusion, and advection-diffusion models. Furthermore, this approach is versatile enough to be used in the classroom to provide theoretical solutions to equations like the Fisher, Burgers-Huxley, and other nonlinear partial differential equations.

References

- Abbas, M., Majid, A.A., Ismail, A.I.M. and Rashid, A. (2014) 'The application of cubic trigonometric B-spline to the numerical solution of the hyperbolic problems', *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 239, No. 1, pp.74–88.
- Ablowitz, M. and Zepetella, A. (2014) 'Explicit solution of Fisher's equation for a special wave speed', *Bull. Math. Biol.*, Vol. 41, No. 6, pp.835–840.
- Adiguzel, R.S., Aksoy, U., Karapinar, E. and Erhan, I.M. (2021) 'On the solutions of fractional differential equations via Geraghty type hybrid contractions', *Applied and Computational Mathematics*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp.313–333.

- Agbavon, K.M., Appadu, A.R. and Khumalo, M. (2019) 'On the numerical solution of Fisher's equation with coefficient of diffusion term much smaller than coefficient of reaction term', *Advances in Difference Equations*, Vol. 2019, No. 1, pp.1–33.
- Akram, T., Abbas, M. and Ali, A. (2021) 'A numerical study on time fractional Fisher equation using an extended cubic B-spline approximation', J. Math. Comput. Sci., Vol. 22, No. 1, pp.85–96.
- Ammerman, A.J. and Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. (2014) The Neolithic Transition and the Genetics of Populations in Europe, Princeton University Press, USA.
- Ammerman, A.J. and Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. (1971) 'Measuring the rate of spread of early farming in Europe', Man, Vol. 6, No. 4, pp.674–688.
- Aoki, K. (1987) 'Gene-culture waves of advance', *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp.453–464.
- Aronson, D.G. and Weinberger, H.F. (1975) 'Nonlinear diffusion in population genetics, combustion, and nerve pulse propagation', in *Partial Differential Equations and Related Topics*, pp.5–49, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Bramson, M.D. (1978) 'Maximal displacement of branching Brownian motion', Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 31, No. 5, pp.531–581.
- Britton, N.F. (1986) *Reaction-Diffusion Equations and their Applications to Biology*, Academic Press, London, UK.
- Canosa, J. (1973) 'On a nonlinear diffusion equation describing population growth', *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp.307–313.
- Cattani, C. and Kudreyko, A. (2008) 'Multiscale analysis of the Fisher equation', *Part I. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer, Berlin, Vol. 5072, pp.1171–1180.
- Chandraker, V., Awasthi, A. and Jayaraj, S. (2015) 'A numerical treatment of Fisher equation', *Proceedia Engineering*, Vol. 127, pp.1256–1262.
- Dag, I. and Ersoy, O. (2016) 'The exponential cubic B-spline algorithm for Fisher equation', *Chaos, Solit. Fract.*. Vol. 86, pp.101–106.
- Dag, I., Sahin, A. and Korkmaz, A. (2010) 'Numerical investigation of the solution of Fisher's equation via the B-spline Galerkin method', *Numer: Methods Partial Differ: Equ.*, Vol. 26, No. 6, pp.1483–1503.
- Daniel, O. and Shizgal, B.D. (2006) 'A pseudospectral method of solution of Fisher's equation', J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 193, No. 1, pp.219–242.
- Fife, P.C. and McLeod, J.B. (1977) 'The approach of solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations to travelling front solutions', *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, Vol. 65, No. 4, pp.335–361.
- Frank-Kamenetskii, D.A. (2015) *Diffusion and Heat Exchange in Chemical Kinetics*, Princeton University Press, NJ.
- Garey, G.F. and Shen, Y. (1995) 'Least-squares finite element approximation of Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation', *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ.*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp.175–186.
- Gazdag, J. and Canosa, J. (1974) 'Numerical solution of Fisher's equation', J. Appl. Probab., Vol. 11, No. 3, pp.445–457.
- Gulbahar, S., Yokuş, A. and Kaya, D. (2015) 'Numerical solutions of Fisher's equation with collocation method', in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, AIP Publishing LLC, September, Vol. 1676, No. 1, p.20099.
- Khaled, K.A. (2001) 'Numerical study of Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation by the Sinc collocation method', J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 137, No. 2, pp.245–255.
- Kumar, A. and Verma, S.R. (2021) 'Modified Taylor wavelets approach to the numerical results of second order differential equations', *International Journal of Applied Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.136–155.

- Larson, D.A. (1978) 'Transient bounds and time-asymptotic behavior of solutions to nonlinear equations of Fisher's type', SIAM J. Appl. Math., Vol. 34, No. 1, pp.93–103.
- Loyinmi, A.C. and Akinfe, T.K. (2020) 'Exact solutions to the family of Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation using Elzaki homotopy transformation perturbation method', *Engineering Reports*, Vol. 2, No. 2, p.e12084.
- Malfliet, W. (1992) 'Solitary wave solutions of nonlinear wave equations', American Journal of Physics, Vol. 60, No. 7, pp.650–654.
- Mandal, H. and Bira, B. (2021) 'Power series solution and conservation laws of fractional Whitham-Broer-Kaup equation', *International Journal of Applied Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.77–88.
- Mickens, R. and Oyedeji, K. (2019) 'Traveling wave solutions to modified Burgers and diffusionless Fisher PDE's, *Evolution Equations & Control Theory*, Vol. 8, No. 1, p.139.
- Mickens, R.E. (1994) 'A best finite-difference scheme for Fisher's equation', Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ., Vol. 10, No. 5, pp.581–585.
- Mittal, R.C. and Arora, G. (2010) 'Efficient numerical solution of Fisher's equation by using B-spline method', *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, Vol. 87, No. 13, pp.3039–3051.
- Mittal, R.C. and Dahiya, S. (2016) 'A study of quintic B-spline based differential quadrature method for a class of semi-linear Fisher-Kolmogorov equations', *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, Vol. 55, No. 3, pp.2893–2899.
- Mittal, R.C. and Jain, R.K. (2013) 'Numerical solutions of nonlinear Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation with modified cubic B-spline collocation method', *Math. Sci.*, Vol. 7, No. 12, pp.1–10.
- Mittal, R.C. and Jiwari, R. (2009) 'Numerical study of Fisher's equation by using differential quadrature method', *Int. J. Inform. Syst. Sci.*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp.143–160.
- Moosavi Noori, S.R. and Taghizadeh, N. (2021) 'Study of convergence of reduced differential transform method for different classes of differential equations', *International Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2021, No. 1, pp.1–16.
- Murray, J.D. (1977) Lectures on Nonlinear-Differential-Equation Models in Biology, Clarendon Press.
- Onyejekwe, O.O. (2018) 'A hybrid numerical treatment of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations with memory: a prototypical Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piskunov equation', *International Journal of Applied Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp.1–20.
- Pankov, P.S., Zheentaeva, Z.K. and Shirinov, T. (2021) 'Asymptotic reduction of solution space dimension for dynamical systems', *TWMS Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp.243–253.
- Qiu, Y. and Sloan, D.M. (1998) 'Numerical solution of Fisher's equation using a moving mesh method', J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 146, No. 2, pp.726–746.
- Rizwan, U. (2001) 'Comparison of the nodal integral method and nonstandard finite-difference scheme for the Fisher's equation', *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, Vol. 22, No. 6, pp.1926–1942.
- Rohila, R. and Mittal, R. (2018) 'Numerical study of reaction diffusion Fisher's equation by fourth order cubic B-spline collocation method', *Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp.79–89.
- Sahin, A. and Ozmen, O. (2014) 'Usage of higher order B-splines in numerical solution of Fisher's equation', *International Journal of Nonlinear Science*, Vol. 17, No. 3, No. 2, pp.241–253.
- Sahin, A., Dag, I. and Saka, B. (2008) 'A B-spline algorithm for the numerical solution of Fisher's equation', *Kybernetes*, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp.326–342.
- Shorki, A. (2012) 'The symmetric P-stable hybrid Obrenchkoff methods for the numerical solution of second order IVPS', *TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp.28–35.
- Shokri, A., Saadat, H. and Khodadadi, A.R. (2018) 'A new high order closed Newton-Cotes trigonometrically-fitted formulae for the numerical solution of the Schrodinger equation', *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.111–129.

- Shokri, A., Mehdizadeh Khalsaraei, M. and Molayi, M. (2022) 'Nonstandard dynamically consistent numerical methods for MSEIR model', *Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp.196–205.
- Shu, C. (2000) Differential Quadrature and its Application in Engineering, Athenaeum Press Ltd., Great Britain.
- Shukla, H.S. and Tamsir, M. (2016) 'Extended modified cubic B-spline algorithm for nonlinear Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation', *Alexandr. Eng. J.*, Vol. 55, No. 3, pp.2871–2879.
- Soori, M. (2018) 'The variational iteration method and the homotopy perturbation method to the exact solution of the generalized Burgers-Fisher equation', *Zenodo*, Vol. 5, No. 8, pp.19–26.
- Spiteri, J.R. and Ruuth, S.J. (2002) 'A new class of optimal high-order strong stability preserving time stepping schemes', *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp.469–491.
- Tamsir, M. and Huntul, M.J. (2021) 'A numerical approach for solving Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation via a new kind of spline functions', *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp.3157–3165.
- Tamsir, M., Dhiman, N. and Srivastava, V.K. (2018a) 'Cubic trigonometric B-spline differential quadrature method for numerical treatment of Fisher's reaction-diffusion equations', *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, Vol. 57, No. 3, pp.2019–2026.
- Tamsir, M., Srivastava, V. K., Dhiman, N. and Chauhan, A. (2018b) 'Numerical computation of nonlinear Fisher's reaction-diffusion equation with exponential modified cubic B-spline differential quadrature method', *International Journal of Applied and Computational Mathematics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp.1–13.
- Tan, Y., Xu, H. and Liao, S.J. (2007) 'Explicit series solution of travelling waves with a front of Fisher equation', *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, Vol. 31, No. 2, pp.462–472.
- Tang, S. and Weber, R.O. (1991) 'Numerical study of Fisher's equation by a Petrov-Galerkin finite element method', *J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B Appl. Math.*, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp.27–38.
- Tuckwell, H.C. (1988) Introduction to Theoretical Neurobiology: Volume 2, Nonlinear and Stochastic Theories, No. 8, Cambridge University Press, Victoria.
- Veeresha, P., Prakasha, D.G. and Baskonus, H.M. (2019) 'Novel simulations to the time-fractional Fisher's equation', *Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.33–42.
- Verma, A., Jiwari, R. and Koksal, M.E. (2014) 'Analytic and numerical solutions of nonlinear diffusion equations via symmetry reductions', *Adv. Diff. Eqs.*, Vol. 2014, No. 1, pp.214–229.
- Wang, X.Y. (1988) 'Exact and explicit solitary wave solutions for the generalized Fisher's equation', *Phys. Lett. A.*, Vol. 131, Nos. 4/5, pp.277–279.
- Wazwaz, A.M. and Gorguis, A. (2004) 'An analytic study of Fisher's equation by using Adomian decomposition method', *Appl. Math. Comput.*, Vol. 154, No. 3, pp.609–620.
- Williams, F.A. (2018) Combustion Theory, CRC Press, California, USA.
- Yokus, A. and Yavuz, M. (2021) 'Novel comparison of numerical and analytical methods for fractional Burger-Fisher equation', *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, Vol. 14, No. 7, p.2591.
- Yokus, A., Durur, H., Kaya, D., Ahmad, H. and Nofal, T.A. (2021) 'Numerical comparison of Caputo and conformable derivatives of time fractional Burgers-Fisher equation', *Results in Physics*, Vol. 25, No. 1, p.104247.
- Zhao, S. and Wei, G.W. (2003) 'Comparison of the discrete singular convolution and three other numerical schemes for solving Fisher's equation', *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp.127–147.