Nonlinear vibration analysis of piezolaminated functionally graded cylindrical shell
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Abstract: In the present work, study of geometric nonlinear vibration analysis of thin piezolaminated functionally graded cylindrical shell is presented. Material properties are graded in the thickness direction of the shell according to power law distribution in terms of volume fraction of the constituents. The shell is modelled using degenerated shell element to predict the deformation under electric voltage and thermal gradient across the thickness of the shell. Modelling is based on the first order shear deformation theory. Second-Piola stress and Green-Lagrange strain tensor are used to perform the large deformation analysis. The accuracy of the developed finite element modelling is validated by comparing numerical results with the published results in the literature. The influence of electric voltage, thermal gradient and gradient index on the vibrational behaviour of cylindrical shell is studied.
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1 Introduction

Today’s industries, such as automotive, aerospace, electronics and telecommunication and defence, demand best of the properties in a material, like the toughness, electrical conductivity, machinability, low density, high strength, high stiffness, and high temperature resistance. In search of materials with these properties, composite materials and fibre reinforced composite materials were developed. However, due to sudden change in the material properties at the interface of two different materials the problem of debonding takes place at high temperatures. Also, due to difference in the thermal expansion of materials residual stresses occurs which may leads to cracks and hence weaker material section. This leads to generation of materials which overcome these problems and are referred as functionally graded materials (FGMs). The concept of FGM was first proposed in Japan in year 1984. FGM’s are isotropic and non-homogeneous and are characterised by the smooth and continuous change of mechanical properties from one surface to the other. FGMs are mostly a mixture of ceramic and metals which are used in thermal environment. Where ceramic constituent provides the resistance to high temperature and the metal (ductile) constituent prevents fracture due to high temperature gradient. The gradation in properties of the material reduces thermal stresses, residual stresses, and stress concentration factors and were exceedingly used as thermal barrier for applications in space planes, space structures, nuclear reactors, turbine rotors, flywheels, gears, and so on (Reddy et al., 2011). Number of researchers has presented analytical and numerical analysis of FGM’s over the decade. Reddy (2000) presented both theoretical and finite element analysis of functionally graded (FG) plates based on third order shear deformation theory which accounts for the thermo-mechanical coupling, time dependency and the von Karman-type geometric nonlinearity. Liew et al. (2001) presented the active control of FGM structures using piezoelectric materials. An efficient finite element formulation based on first-order shear deformation theory for static and dynamic piezo-thermo-elastic linear analysis has been used. He et al. (2002) investigated FGMs integrated with piezoelectric sensors and actuators using finite element method for a doubly-curved FGM shell. Both static and dynamic control of FGM shell was performed showing self-controlling and self-monitoring using piezoelectric material. The effectiveness of displacement-cum-velocity feedback control scheme was presented using finite element methods using piezoelectric. An analytical solution was presented by Vel and Batra (2003) for three-dimensional thermo-mechanical deformations of a simply supported FG rectangular plate subjected to time-dependent thermal loads on its top and/or bottom surfaces. Material properties were taken to be analytical functions of the thickness coordinate. The effective elastic moduli at a point are determined by either the
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Mori-Tanaka or the self-consistent scheme. Chakraborty et al. (2003) developed a beam element to study thermo-elastic behaviour of FG beam structures which is based on first order shear deformation theory and takes into account the varying elastic and thermal properties along its thickness employing both exponential and power law variation. Qian et al. (2004) used meshless local Petrov-Galerkin method to study free and forced vibrations of FG rectangular plate using higher order shear and normal deformable plate theory. Mori-Tanaka homogenisation technique is used to compute effective material properties. The effect of material properties, boundary conditions and thermal loading on the nonlinear dynamic behaviour of FG plate is studied by Woo et al. (2006). The nonlinear partial deferential equations are solved directly with semi analytical method giving solution in terms of mixed Fourier series. A combination of exact and Galerkin method is used for vibration analysis of FG cylindrical shell by Haddadpour et al. (2007).

The material properties are graded using simple power law in thickness direction and are also dependent on the temperature. The equations of motion are obtained from Love’s shell theory and von Karman-Donnell-type nonlinearity. Kordkheili and Naghdabadi (2007) derived a finite element formulation for geometrically nonlinear thermoelastic analysis of FG plates and shells based on a modified linearisation approach which has resulted from decomposition of the second Piola-Kirchhoff stress and Green-Lagrange strain tensors. An explicit through-the-thickness integral scheme is used to evaluate the force vector and stiffness matrices. A large deformation analysis of FG shells is presented by Arciniega and Reddy (2007) which is based on the first-order shear deformation theory with seven independent parameters where no plane stress assumption is required. To eliminate the effect of membrane shear locking, finite element model is developed using high-order Lagrange elements. A geometrically nonlinear analysis of FGM shells using the element-free kp-Ritz method is presented by Zhao and Liew (2009). The formulation is based on a modified version of Sanders’ nonlinear shell theory. The nonlinear system equations are solved using arc-length approach along with the Newton-Raphson method. Zhao et al. (2009) studied the static response and free vibration of FGM shells subjected to mechanical or thermo-mechanical loading using the element-free kp-Ritz method. The material properties vary in the thickness direction according to a power-law assumption. The geometric nonlinear static response and free vibration analysis of FG piezoelectric plates under mechanical and electrical loading were also done by Behjat and Khoshravan (2012) using higher order finite elements.

Uymaz and Aydogdu (2013) studied the effects of different material composition and the plate geometry on the critical buckling loads and mode shapes based on small strain elasticity theory with different boundary conditions. The buckling solution is obtained using the Ritz method with Chebyshev polynomials as assumed displacement functions. The vibration behaviour of FG plate is studied by Reddy et al. (2014) analytically using higher order shear deformation theory. Principle of virtual work is used to derive equation of motion and solution is obtained in closed form using Navier’s technique.

In this paper, geometrically nonlinear vibration analysis of piezolaminated FG cylindrical shell is presented. A finite element formulation governing the geometrically non-linear electro-thermo-elastic behaviour of piezo-laminated FG materials has been derived using the updated Lagrangian approach. The effects of the temperature, actuator voltage and material composition on the natural frequency of FG cylindrical shell are depicted. The vibration control of cylindrical shell under mechanical and thermal loading is also presented, which shows that the vibration in the FG cylindrical shell can be effectively attenuated by applying appropriate electric voltage using various controllers.
2 FG shell

A FG shell consists of local coordinate system \((r, s, t)\) established on the mid surface of the shell. Thickness ‘\(h\)’ of the shell is represented in ‘\(t\)’ direction, where \(t = -h/2\) represents the metal rich surface and \(t = h/2\) represents the ceramic rich surface of the FG shell. In order to obtain the effective properties of the FGMs, the properties are assumed to vary uniformly from bottom surface to top surface through the thickness according to simple power law distribution which can be given as (He et al., 2002)

\[
V = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n
\]

(1)

where \(n\) is non-negative volume fraction index varies from 0 to \(\infty\), \(h\) is thickness of FGM layer.

The effective properties of complete FGM along the thickness can be expressed as

\[
P_{\text{eff}}(z) = P_{T}V_{T} + P_{B}V_{B}
\]

(2)

\[
V_{T} + V_{B} = 1
\]

(3)

where \(P_{T}\) and \(P_{B}\) are properties of top and bottom layers of FGM respectively, \(P_{\text{eff}}\) is effective property of FGM, \(V_{T}\) and \(V_{B}\) are volume fractions of material at top and bottom layers respectively. From equations (2) and (3), the variation of properties can be represented as

\[
Y(z) = (Y_{T} - Y_{B})\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n + Y_{B}
\]

(4)

\[
\rho(z) = (\rho_{T} - \rho_{B})\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n + \rho_{B}
\]

(5)

\[
\alpha(z) = (\alpha_{T} - \alpha_{B})\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n + \alpha_{B}
\]

(6)

\[
d_{31}(z) = (d_{31,T} - d_{31,B})\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n + d_{31,B}
\]

(7)

\[
b(z) = (b_{T} - b_{B})\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{h}\right)^n + b_{B}
\]

(8)

where \(Y\) is Young’s modulus, \(\rho\) is density, \(\alpha\) is coefficient of thermal expansion, \(d_{31}\) is piezoelectric constant, \(b\) is dielectric constant of material. The subscripts \(T\) and \(B\) represent the property of top and bottom layers respectively. \(n\) is volume fraction index, \(h\) is thickness of material.

2.1 Constitutive equations for FGM

The constitutive equations representing direct and converse effect of a piezoelectric material can be written as
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\[
\{S^m\} = [Q]\{e^m\} - [e]\{E^m\} - \{\lambda\} \theta 
\]

(9)

\[
\{D^m\} = [e]^T \{e^m\} + [b]\{E^m\} + \{P\} \theta 
\]

(10)

where \{D^m\}, \{S^m\}, \{e^m\}, \{E^m\} are electric displacement, stress, strain and electric field respectively. \(m\) represents the material coordinate system. \{Q\}, \{e\} and \{b\} are the plane-stress reduced elastic stiffness coefficients, the piezoelectric constant matrices respectively. \{\lambda\} and \{P\} are the thermal expansion coefficients and piroelectric coefficient respectively. \(\theta\) is the temperature rise from the stress free reference temperature. Equation (9) and equation (10) in matrix form is written in Appendix.

3 Finite element formulation

In the present work, the four noded iso-parametric piezolaminated FG degenerated shell element is used as shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 presents various coordinate systems which are used in the finite element formulation. The main feature of this element is that it is independent of any shell theory and is formulated using three dimensional stress and strain conditions. Element description and basic assumptions used are given in Kumar (2007).

Figure 1  Piezolaminated functionally graded shell element (see online version for colours)

Coordinates of any point within the element can be approximated as
\begin{equation}
(11)

\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial (r,s,t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{4} h_k \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial (r,s,t)} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[ \left( -\frac{a_k}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^i}{2} \right) + t^n \frac{l_k^n}{2} \right] h_k \frac{\partial \gamma^k_{nl}}{\partial (r,s,t)}
\end{equation}

where \( h_k \) represents the two dimensional interpolation functions corresponding to node \( k \), given as

- \( h_k = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm r)(1 \pm s) \)
- \( \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial (r,s,t)} \) = global Cartesian coordinates of any point in the element
- \( \frac{\partial x^k_{i}}{\partial (r,s,t)} \) = global Cartesian coordinates of nodal point \( k \)
- \( a_k \) = thickness of shell in \( t \) direction at nodal point \( k \), measured along the vector \( \gamma^k_{nl} \)
- \( l_k^i \) = thickness of layer \( i \) at node \( k \)
- \( t^n \) = natural coordinate of \( n^{th} \) layer through the thickness
- \( m_k \) = the distance at node \( k \) between the element neutral surface and the mid plane of layer \( n \).

Left superscript \( l \) has value equal to zero for initial geometry of the element and equal to one for the deformed element geometry.

The displacement of any point within the shell element in the global Cartesian coordinate system is given as

\begin{equation}
(12)

u_i(r, s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} h_k u^k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[ \left( -\frac{a_k}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^i}{2} \right) + t^n \frac{l_k^n}{2} \right] \left( -\frac{\gamma^k_{i1} a_k + \gamma^k_{i2} \beta_k}{2} \right)
\end{equation}

where \( u^k_i \) are the nodal mid-point displacements in the Cartesian coordinate directions and the \( a_k \) and \( \beta_k \) are the rotations of the director vector \( \gamma^k_{i1} \) about \( \gamma^k_{i1} \) and \( \gamma^k_{i2} \) axes respectively.

In compact form the displacement can be written as

\begin{equation}
(13)

\{u\}_{e} = \{N\}_{e} \{q\}_{e}
\end{equation}

where \( e \) represents the element and details of matrices are given in Appendix.
3.1 Strain-displacement relationship

In this study for nonlinear problem it is considered that the displacement is large but the strain is infinitesimal. Also, the conjugate pair of Green-Lagrangian strains and second Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor is used because the initial configuration is considered as reference configuration. However, if current configuration is considered as reference configuration then the conjugate pairs of Almansi strains and Cauchy stresses should be used. Green-Lagrangian strain is given in Appendix. Strain-displacement relationship can be written in compact form as

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = \{\varepsilon\}_e + \{\eta\}_e \\
\{\varepsilon\}_e = [\mathbf{B}_e] \{\varepsilon\}_e + [\mathbf{B}_N] \{\varepsilon\}_e
\]

(14)

where \(\varepsilon\) and \(\eta\) are the linear and nonlinear strains respectively, \([\mathbf{B}_e] = [\mathbf{B}_0] + [\mathbf{B}_{1}]\), description of these matrices given in Appendix. \(\{\varepsilon\}_e\) has translation and rotational degree of freedom \(\beta_k\) and \(\alpha_k\), rotational degrees of freedom has been defined in local coordinate system. \(\{\varepsilon\}_e\) can be replaced in equation (14) by the following equation (15) in order to convert rotation degree of freedoms from local coordinate system to global coordinate system. Where \([T_0]\) is rotation transformation matrix which is given in Appendix.

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = [T_0] \{\varepsilon\}_e \quad \text{where} \quad \{\varepsilon\}_e = \begin{bmatrix} \{\varepsilon\}_1 \\ \{\varepsilon\}_2 \\ \{\varepsilon\}_3 \\ \{\varepsilon\}_4 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

In the analysis of thin structures, the pure displacement-based plate and shell elements without higher order terms exhibits very stiff behaviour, which is generally referred to as element locking. This stiffness in thin structures is due to the fact that with pure displacement based interpolations the transverse shear strains cannot vanish at all points in the element, when it is subjected to constant bending moment. In order to arrive at efficient non-locking element various procedures has been proposed such as selective and reduced integration, mixed interpolation of displacements and transverse shear strains. Here in this work later procedure is used as given by Dvorkin and Bathe (1984).

Equation (14) can be written after including mixed interpolation of displacement and transverse shear strains as

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = ([\mathbf{B}_e] + [\mathbf{B}_N]) \{\varepsilon\}_e
\]

(16)

substituting equation (15) in equation (16) and equation (13) we get

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = ([\mathbf{B}_e] + [\mathbf{B}_N])[T_0] \{\varepsilon\}_e
\]

(17)

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = [\mathbf{B}_e][T_0] \{\varepsilon\}_e + [\mathbf{B}_N][T_0] \{\varepsilon\}_e = \varepsilon + \eta
\]

(18)

\[
\{\varepsilon\}_e = [N_T][T_0] \{\varepsilon\}_e
\]

(19)
3.2 Electric field

The electric field is assumed to be constant within the element. Also, it is assumed that the electric field acts in the thickness direction of the piezoelectric layers. Such formulation gives one electric degree of freedom per layer per element for the electric field. Electric field inside the $i^{th}$ layer within the element can be given mathematically as:

$$\{E\}_{i} = \{-B_{\phi}\}_{i} \{\phi_{n}\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where

$$\{B_{\phi}\} = \frac{1}{t_{n}} \begin{bmatrix} V_{31} \\ V_{32} \\ V_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$V_{31}$, $V_{32}$ and $V_{33}$ are the direction cosines of normal unit vector $V_{3}$ at node $k$ and $t_{n}$ and $\phi_{n}$ are the thickness and electric potential of $n^{th}$ piezoelectric layer.

3.3 Temperature field

The temperature distribution is assumed to be linear within the element. Using the shape functions, the temperature of any point in the element can be uniquely given in terms of nodal temperature `$\Theta$' and the temperature gradient `$\phi$' of the mid plane as:

$$\{\Theta\}_{e} = [N_{\Theta}] \{\theta\}_{e} + [N_{\phi}] \{\phi\}_{e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where

$$[N_{\Theta}] = \begin{bmatrix} h_{k} & 0 \\ 0 & h_{k}H \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[N_{\phi}] = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta \\ \phi \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

To obtain the electric displacement $\{D\}$ and stress $\{\sigma\}$ using the constitutive equations (9) and (10), the strain, temperature and electric field given by equations (18), (20) and (22) can be used. The constitutive equations defined are in material coordinate system, whereas the strain, temperature and electric field are defined in the global coordinate system. Thus, the constitutive equations must be first transferred into local coordinate system and then to global coordinate system. This can be achieved with the help of transformation matrices which are given in the Appendix.

Therefore, the constitutive equations after transformation into global coordinates can be written as

$$\{\sigma\} = [T_{e}^{T}] [T_{e}^{T}] [\Theta] [T_{e}^{T}] [T_{e}^{T}] \{\phi\} - [T_{e}^{T}] [T_{e}^{T}] [e]^{T} [T_{e}^{T}] \{E\}$$

$$- [T_{e}^{T}] [T_{e}^{T}] [\lambda] [T_{e}^{T}] \{\phi\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)
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\[ \{ \phi D \} = [T_\varphi] \{ e \} [T_e] \{ \phi e \} = \{ T_\varphi \varphi \} \{ e \} + [T_\varphi] \{ b \} [T_b] \{ \phi \} + [T_\varphi] \{ p \} \{ \phi \} \quad (25) \]

In condensed form it can be written as

\[ \{ \phi \sigma \} = [\vec{T}] \{ \phi e \} - [\vec{x}] \{ \phi \} - [\vec{\sigma}] \{ \phi \} \quad (26) \]

\[ \{ \phi D \} = [\vec{\sigma}] \{ \phi e \} + [\vec{\sigma}] \{ \phi \} + [\vec{p}] \{ \phi \} \quad (27) \]

3.4 Potential energy

In a piezolaminated FG shell element the potential energy consists of strain energy and electric energy is given as (Tanveer and Singh, 2010)

\[ U = \int \left( \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^{\text{strain}} + \varepsilon^{\text{electric}}) \frac{E}{E_p} dV \right) \]

where the left subscript represents the configuration w.r.t. which the different variables are defined and the left superscript represents the configuration at which the different variables are to be calculated.

The converse and direct constitutive equations w.r.t. configuration at time \( t + \Delta t \) can be written as

\[ \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} = Q^{\text{strain}} \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} - e \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} - \lambda \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} \Theta \quad (29) \]

\[ \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} = e^{\text{electric}} + b \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} + p \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} \quad (30) \]

Substituting equations (29) and (30) in equation (28) we get

\[ U = \int \left( \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon^{\text{strain}} + \varepsilon^{\text{electric}}) \frac{E}{E_p} \right) dV \]

The strain, temperature and electric field can be divided into two parts, known part and incremental part, i.e.

\[ \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} = \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} + \varepsilon^{\text{incremental}} \]

\[ \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} = \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} + \varepsilon^{\text{incremental}} \]

\[ \Theta = \Theta + \Theta^{\text{incremental}} \quad (32) \]

Also,

\[ \delta \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} = \delta \varepsilon^{\text{strain}} + \delta \varepsilon^{\text{incremental}} \]

\[ \delta \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} = \delta \varepsilon^{\text{electric}} + \delta \varepsilon^{\text{incremental}} \]

\[ \delta \Theta = \delta \Theta + \delta \Theta^{\text{incremental}} \quad (33) \]

Further, the strain tensor can be split into linear and nonlinear part as

\[ \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{\text{linear}} + \varepsilon^{\text{nonlinear}} \quad (34) \]

Taking variation of equation (31) and using equations (32), (33) and (34) we get
\begin{equation}
\delta U = \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{e} d^0 V + \delta \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{e} d^0 V + \delta \dot{e} \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V \right. \\
- \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V - \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 d^0 V + \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \ddot{e} \ddot{e} \ddot{e} d^0 V \\
- \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V - \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 \dot{q}_0 d^0 V \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V + \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V + \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V \\
+ \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V - \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V \\
\left. \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \delta \dot{e}^T \dot{e} \dot{e} d^0 V \\
\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation}

Now substituting from equations (18), (19), (20) and (22) into (35)

\begin{equation}
\delta U = \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
+ \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
+ \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
- \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V - \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
- \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
- \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
+ \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma_v} \left[ (\dot{q})^T \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \left[ q_0 \right] \right] \left[ q \right] \left[ q \right] d^0 V \right) \tag{36}
\end{equation}
\[ \delta U = \{ \delta q \}^T_c \left[ [K_L]_{c e} + [K_{NL}]_{c e} - [H_{NL}]_{c e} + \{ \delta F \}_{c e} \right. \\
\left. - [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \delta \phi_{c e} \} + \{ F_{ww} \}_{c e} + \frac{1}{2} [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \delta \theta_{c e} \} \right] + \{ \delta \phi_{c e} \}_{c e} \left[ - [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \phi_{c e} \} - [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ F_{ww} \}_{c e} - \{ F_{ww} \}_{c e} - \frac{1}{2} [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \phi_{c e} \} \right] \\
+ \{ \delta \theta_{c e} \}_{c e} \left[ \frac{1}{2} [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \phi_{c e} \} + \frac{1}{2} [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \phi_{c e} \} + \frac{1}{2} [K_{ww}]_{c e} \{ \phi_{c e} \} \right] \] (37)

3.5 **Kinetic energy**

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \{ \ddot{u} \}^T \{ \ddot{u} \} \, d^0 V \] (38)

where \( \rho \) is the density. Now by using equation (28) we get

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ T_{\theta} \{ N_{\theta} \} \{ \dot{q} \} + T_{\phi} \{ N_{\phi} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] + \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ M_{sw} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \, d^0 V \] (39)

\[ T = \frac{1}{2} \int \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ M_{sw} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \, d^0 V \] (40)

\[ \delta T = \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ M_{sw} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \] (41)

3.6 **Work potential by the external forces and electrical charge**

\[ W_{ext} = \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ T_{\theta} \{ N_{\theta} \} \{ \dot{q} \} + T_{\phi} \{ N_{\phi} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] + \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ M_{sw} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \, d^0 S + \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ F_{\theta} \{ N_{\theta} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] \, d^0 S \] (42)

where \( \{ F_{\theta} \}, \{ F_{\phi} \}, \{ F_{p} \} \) and \( \{ F_{q} \} \) are body force, surface force, point force and surface electrical charge density respectively. Now using equations (19) and (20) in (42) we get

\[ W_{ext} = \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ T_{\theta} \{ N_{\theta} \} \{ \dot{q} \} + T_{\phi} \{ N_{\phi} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] + \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ M_{sw} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \, d^0 S + \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ F_{\theta} \{ N_{\theta} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] \, d^0 S \]

\[ + \int_{S_T} \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ F_{\phi} \{ N_{\phi} \} \{ \dot{q} \} \right] \, d^0 S \] (43)

\[ W_{ext} = \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ F_{\theta} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} + \{ \dot{q} \}^T \left[ F_{\phi} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \] (44)

\[ \delta W_{ext} = \{ \delta q \}^T \left[ F_{\theta} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} + \{ \delta q \}^T \left[ F_{\phi} \right] \{ \dot{q} \} \] (45)
3.7 Equation of motion

Using the Hamilton’s principle the equation of motion for the piezolaminated shell element can be written as

\[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta T - \delta U + \delta W_{ext}) \, dt = 0 \]  

(46)

where \( T, U \) and \( W_{ext} \) represents the kinetic energy, potential energy and work done by external forces respectively. \( \delta \) is the variational operator, \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are arbitrary time values.

Now substituting (37), (41) and (45) in equation (46) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} & \left[ \left( \delta q \right)_e^T \left[ -\left[ M_{uu} \right]_e \right] q_e + \left[ K_{uu} \right]_e q_e + \left[ K_{QL} \right]_e q_e - \left[ H_{QL} \right]_e q_e + \left[ \delta F \right]_e \right] \\
& \left[ -\left[ K_{ud} \right]_e \phi_e + \left[ F_{ud} \right]_e \right] + \left[ K_{ud} \right]_e \phi_e + \left[ F_{ud} \right]_e \right] \, dt \\
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \left( \delta \phi \right)_e^T \left[ -\left[ K_{uu} \right]_e \right] q_e + \left[ K_{uu} \right]_e q_e + \left[ K_{Q\phi} \right]_e \phi_e - \left[ F_{Q\phi} \right]_e \right] \, dt \\
& = 0 
\end{align*}
\]

(47)

The set of finite element equations for the piezoelectric continuum on the elemental level can be obtained.

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ M_{uu} \right]_e \{ q \}_e + \left[ \left( K_{uu} \right)_e + \left[ K_{QL} \right]_e - \left[ H_{QL} \right]_e \right] \{ q \}_e \\
+ \left[ K_{ud} \right]_e \{ \phi \}_e + \left[ K_{ud} \right]_e \{ \theta \}_e = \{ F_{uu} \}_e - \{ \delta F \}_e - \{ F_{ud} \}_e \\
\left[ K_{uu} \right]_e \{ q \}_e + \left[ K_{Q\phi} \right]_e \{ \phi \}_e + \left[ K_{Q\theta} \right]_e \{ \theta \}_e = \{ F_{uu} \}_e - \{ F_{ud} \}_e - \{ F_{Q\phi} \}_e - \{ F_{Q\theta} \}_e 
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

Thereafter, following the classical nonlinear procedure of finite element method of summing over all the elements, the equations obtained in the compact form can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ q \}_u + \{ \phi \}_u + \{ \theta \}_u = \{ F_u \}_u - \{ \delta F \}_u - \{ F_{ud} \}_u \\
\{ q \}_Q + \{ \phi \}_Q + \{ \theta \}_Q = \{ F_{Q} \}_Q - \{ \delta F \}_Q - \{ F_{Q\phi} \}_Q - \{ F_{Q\theta} \}_Q 
\end{align*}
\]

(49)

(50)

Details of the matrices used are given in Appendix.
4 Geometric nonlinear analysis

In the nonlinear case, deformation is large, so the effects of configurational change have to be taken into consideration. The state of equilibrium is obtained if the following equation is fulfilled.

\[ ^{t}R - ^{t}F_{\text{int}} = 0 \]  

where \(^{t}R\) is the externally applied nodal point force and \(^{t}F_{\text{int}}\) is the nodal point force that corresponds to the elemental stress in the configuration at time \(^{t}\). The equilibrium equation must be satisfied throughout the complete history of load application. This can be effectively carried out using a step-by-step incremental approach as depicted by Bathe (1996). It is assumed that solution for the time \(^{t}\) is known and solution for discrete time \(^{t} + \Delta t\) is required. Hence, at time \(^{t} + \Delta t\) the equilibrium equation is \(^{t}R - ^{t}\Delta F_{\text{int}} = 0\) when applied to a piezoelectric continuum.

5 Validation and numerical results

5.1 Dynamic validation of simply supported FG plate

A piezolaminated FG plate is considered to validate the natural frequency as a function of the volume fraction for simply supported boundary condition. The results are shown in Table 1. The geometric and material properties used are same as Huang and Shen (2001). The slight difference in the natural frequencies (highest being 9.8%) may be due to different shell theories used for finite element formulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present nonlinear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Validation of functional graded capability under thermal load

A square cantilever FG material plate consisting of combined zirconia and aluminium material constituents with continuously varying mix ratios. The bottom surface of the FGM plate is assumed to be metal rich and the top surface to be ceramic rich. The FGM plate has integrated piezoelectric sensor and actuator patches. The G-1195N piezoelectric patches are bonded to both the top and bottom surfaces of the square plates of length 200 mm and thickness 30 mm. The thickness of the piezoelectric layers is 0.1 mm and the relevant material properties for G-1195N and the aluminium are given in Table 2.
Table 2  Material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Aluminium</th>
<th>Zirconia</th>
<th>G-119N</th>
<th>Ti-6Al-4V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus (N/m²)</td>
<td>$70 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$151 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$63 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$105.70e9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (Kg/m³)</td>
<td>2,707</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>4,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of thermal expansion</td>
<td>$23 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$10 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$14 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoelectric constant (m/V)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$254 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric coefficient (F/m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroelectric constant (C/m²k)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.25 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A thermal gradient of 100°C/m is applied to the top surface of the cantilevered square plate and the deflection obtained is in good agreement with referred result of Liew et al. (2001) as shown in Figure 2. In the figure, the centreline deflections of the square plate are shown at various volume fractions. As can be seen from Figure 2 the deflection obtained zirconia is less as compared to aluminium as expected. However, for $n = 5$ the deflection is less than zirconia because the temperature distribution depends on the material properties which leads to smaller deflection.

Figure 2  Centreline deflection along x-axis for FGM plate under thermal gradient of 100°C as presented by Liew et al. (2001) (see online version for colours)

5.3  FGM cylindrical shell

After validating the discussed formulation, a numerical study of large deformation dynamic behaviour of FG piezolaminated cylindrical shell subjected to thermo-electro-mechanical loading is performed. A FG cylindrical shell with 100 mm radius, 200 mm length and the thickness of 1 mm is considered. The angle subtended by cylindrical shell is 60° as shown in Figure 3. The FGM cylindrical shell is composed of zirconia and Ti-6Al-4V and its properties are graded in the thickness direction according to a volume fraction power-law distribution in such a way that bottom surface is ceramic rich and top surface is metal rich. The material properties are given in Table 2.
First the effect of material gradient is studied on the natural frequency of the piezolaminated FG cylindrical shell and is shown in Figure 4. As we can see both first and second frequency increases nonlinearly as the material gradient increases from metal rich state to ceramic rich state. This is as expected because metals have low frequency than that of ceramics and as the material gradient and moves towards the ceramic side frequency increases.

The effect of temperature on the nonlinear frequency behaviour of FG piezolaminated cylindrical shell is also studied at various gradient indexes (0, 1, 5, 10). It can be seen from Figure 5 and Figure 6 as temperature increases the first and second frequency decreases as expected.
Figure 5  Variation of first natural frequency at various temperature gradients (see online version for colours)

Figure 6  Variation of second natural frequency at various temperature gradients (see online version for colours)

Thereafter, the effect of control voltage and thermal environment on the nonlinear vibration analysis of the FG piezolaminated cylindrical shell is studied. In the first case only the effect of temperature is studied and is shown in Table 3 and Table 4 for first two natural frequencies keeping voltage zero. As can be seen from Table 3 and Table 4 the natural frequency decreases nonlinearly as temperature increases. Whereas natural frequency increases with the increase in material gradient. In Table 5 and Table 6, the effect of control voltage can be seen, which shows significant influence on the natural frequency of the structure and by increasing the imposed voltage natural frequency increase in nonlinear manner. It can be seen that the first and second natural frequency of the cylindrical structure increases by increasing the imposed voltage which depicts that by applying appropriate voltage the nonlinear vibration in the structure can be controlled. Also the influence of voltage is higher in the first natural frequency as compared to higher order frequencies which are not that significant in determining the dynamic response of the structure.
Table 3  First natural frequency for various material index and temperature at 0V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp/index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,442.77</td>
<td>1,703.50</td>
<td>1,879.25</td>
<td>1,932.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,441.75</td>
<td>1,700.66</td>
<td>1,874.88</td>
<td>1,927.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,440.72</td>
<td>1,697.83</td>
<td>1,870.54</td>
<td>1,922.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,439.72</td>
<td>1,695.01</td>
<td>1,866.23</td>
<td>1,917.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,438.69</td>
<td>1,692.21</td>
<td>1,861.95</td>
<td>1,913.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Second natural frequency for various material index and temperature at 0V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp/index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,634.63</td>
<td>1,786.20</td>
<td>1,903.38</td>
<td>1,939.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,634.16</td>
<td>1,784.79</td>
<td>1,901.05</td>
<td>1,937.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,633.69</td>
<td>1,783.38</td>
<td>1,898.73</td>
<td>1,934.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,633.24</td>
<td>1,781.99</td>
<td>1,896.43</td>
<td>1,931.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,632.77</td>
<td>1,780.59</td>
<td>1,894.15</td>
<td>1,929.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5  First natural frequency for various material index and temperature at 100V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp/index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,456.84</td>
<td>1,717.74</td>
<td>1,893.57</td>
<td>1,946.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,558.58</td>
<td>1,821.89</td>
<td>1,999.38</td>
<td>2,053.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,649.52</td>
<td>1,915.69</td>
<td>2,094.96</td>
<td>2,149.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,731.89</td>
<td>2,001.03</td>
<td>2,182.11</td>
<td>2,237.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,807.29</td>
<td>2,079.35</td>
<td>2,262.22</td>
<td>2,317.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6  Second natural frequency for various material index and temperature at 100V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp/index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,665.19</td>
<td>1,819.90</td>
<td>1,938.82</td>
<td>1,975.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,892.37</td>
<td>2,073.35</td>
<td>2,208.81</td>
<td>2,250.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2,095.86</td>
<td>2,298.90</td>
<td>2,448.26</td>
<td>2,494.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,280.00</td>
<td>2,502.26</td>
<td>2,663.66</td>
<td>2,713.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2,448.24</td>
<td>2,687.53</td>
<td>2,859.57</td>
<td>2,913.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7  Effect of control voltage, material index and thermal environment on FGM shell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,442.79</td>
<td>1,456.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,441.76</td>
<td>1,558.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,440.74</td>
<td>1,649.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,439.71</td>
<td>1,731.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,438.68</td>
<td>1,807.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7  Effect of control voltage, material index and thermal environment on FGM shell (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp/vol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,879.27</td>
<td>1,893.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,874.90</td>
<td>1,999.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,870.57</td>
<td>2,094.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,866.25</td>
<td>2,182.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,861.97</td>
<td>2,262.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Vibration control of simply supported cylindrical shell

The vibration control of simply supported piezolaminated FG cylindrical shell subjected to mechanical and thermal loading is also performed. A FG cylindrical shell with 1 m radius, 0.5 m length and the thickness of 1 mm is considered. The angle subtended by cylindrical shell is 85°. The FGM cylindrical shell is composed of zirconia and Ti-6Al-4V. The material properties are given in Table 5. Top layer of piezoelectric material act as sensor and the lower layer as actuator both having the thickness of 0.5 mm each. The voltage generated by sensor is amplified by suitable value and fed back to the actuator which develops a counter balancing force and hence used to control unwanted vibration in the structure. To control the vibrations, due to uniformly distributed mechanical load of 10 KN/m², various controllers such as proportional, derivative and proportional derivative (PD) controller, are used as shown in Figure 7. Vibration control due to thermal gradient of 40°C/m is also presented in this study as shown in Figure 8. Only first vibration mode is targeted as control mode as lower modes of vibration have lower energy associated and can be easily excitable. A time step of 0.0025 sec is considered for transient response of vibrating structure in mechanical and thermal case. No structural damping is considered for both cases. As can be seen from Figure 7 and Figure 8 vibration control is best obtained for PD controller as compared to proportional and derivative controller. The structural vibration is damped approximately by 20.98%, 82.71% and 87.65% in case of mechanical loading after 0.025 sec and in case of thermal loading, by 26.82%, 87.80% and 97.56% after 0.025 sec for proportional, derivative and PD controller respectively.

6 Conclusions

Finite element modelling and analysis have been presented to predict the geometric nonlinear vibration under thermal, electrical and mechanical load. Geometric nonlinear vibrations are damped out using various controllers. Numerical results for various material graded index, temperature and voltages were obtained. Numerical results show that material gradient has significant effect on the natural frequency. Voltage and temperature also affect the natural frequency as well and suggests that voltage can be used to control unwanted vibrations. Mechanical and thermally induced vibrations of FG cylinder are controlled using different controllers. The proportional derivative controller is more effective than other controllers.
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Figure 7 Vibration control of FG cylindrical shell using proportional, derivative and PD controller under mechanical loading (see online version for colours)

Figure 8 Vibration control FG cylindrical shell using proportional, derivative and PD controller under thermal loading (see online version for colours)
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**Appendix**

\[
\begin{align*}
S_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & 1 \\ \varepsilon_{22} & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \theta \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & e_{31} \\ 0 & 0 & e_{32} \end{bmatrix} \\
S_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & Q_{66} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_{55} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{12} & 0 \\ \varepsilon_{33} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e_{35} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{36} & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
D_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & e_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_{26} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{22} & \varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_{13} & \varepsilon_{23} \end{bmatrix} \\
D_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{22} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & b_{33} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
P_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} P_3 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \{ \partial \epsilon \} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial \epsilon_{xx} \\ \partial \epsilon_{yy} \\ \partial \epsilon_{zz} \\ \partial \epsilon_{xy} \\ \partial \epsilon_{xz} \\ \partial \epsilon_{yz} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uu}}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uu}}{\partial u} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uv}}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uv}}{\partial v} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uw}}{\partial w} + \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uw}}{\partial w} \right) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ (A3) \]

where

\[ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uu}}{\partial u} = \sum_{k,l} \frac{\partial h_{kl}}{\partial u} - \alpha^k V_{21}^k g_{\alpha} + \beta^k V_{11}^k g_{\alpha} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uv}}{\partial v} = \sum_{k,l} \frac{\partial h_{kl}}{\partial v} - \alpha^k V_{22}^k g_{\alpha} + \beta^k V_{12}^k g_{\alpha} \]

\[ \frac{\partial \epsilon_{uw}}{\partial w} = \sum_{k,l} \frac{\partial h_{kl}}{\partial w} - \alpha^k V_{23}^k g_{\alpha} + \beta^k V_{13}^k g_{\alpha} \]

and so on...
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\[ \begin{bmatrix}
0 h_{k,1} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{1}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{1}^{k} \\
0 & 0 h_{k,2} & 0 & \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{2}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{2}^{k} \\
0 & 0 & 0 h_{k,3} & \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{3}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{3}^{k} \\
0 h_{k,2} & 0 h_{k,3} & 0 & \tilde{g}_{11}^{k} G_{1}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{1}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{21}^{k} G_{1}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{1}^{k} \\
0 h_{k,3} & 0 h_{k,3} & 0 & \tilde{g}_{11}^{k} G_{2}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{2}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{21}^{k} G_{2}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{2}^{k} \\
0 & 0 h_{k,3} & 0 h_{k,3} & \tilde{g}_{11}^{k} G_{3}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{10}^{k} G_{3}^{k} & \tilde{g}_{21}^{k} G_{3}^{k} + \tilde{g}_{20}^{k} G_{3}^{k}
\end{bmatrix} \]

(A4)

where

\[ g_{k}^{k} = -\frac{1}{2} H^{0} V_{2}^{k}, \quad G_{k}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} H^{0} W_{1}^{k} \]

and

\[ 0 G_{k}^{k} = I \left( J_{11}^{-1} h_{k,r} + J_{12}^{-1} h_{k,s} \right). \]

(A5)

where

\[ I_{ij} = \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{ij}^{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} g_{m}^{k} m_{ij}. \]
Thus, the rotational transformation matrix for the four elements may be written as

\[
[T_\theta]_e = \begin{bmatrix}
[t_\theta]_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & [t_\theta]_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & [t_\theta]_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & [t_\theta]_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

The stiffness matrices and force vectors can be defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{\text{NL}} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\text{NL}}^T \delta B_{\text{NL}} \, dV \\
H_{\text{NL}} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\text{NL}}^T \delta B_{\text{NL}} \, dV
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{\phi \phi} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\phi}^T \delta B_{\phi} \, dV \\
K_{\text{mu}} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\mu}^T \delta B_{\mu} \, dV \\
F_{\text{mu}} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\mu}^T \delta \phi \, dV \\
F_{\phi \phi} &= \int_{V} \delta B_{\phi}^T \delta \phi \, dV
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (A8)