

---

## **Greece on a sustainable future: reviewing constraints and practices regarding forest and water resources management, flora and fauna biodiversity**

---

Panagiotis P. Koulelis\*,  
Alexandra D. Solomou and  
Vassilia P. Fassouli

Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems,  
Hellenic Agriculture Organisation Demeter,  
Terma Alkmanos, Ilisia  
11528 Athens, Greece  
Email: pkoulelis@fria.gr  
Email: solomou@fria.gr  
Email: vasfas@aua.gr  
\*Corresponding author

**Stefanos Tsiaras**

Department of Environmental Sciences,  
School of Technology,  
University of Thessaly, Greece  
Email: stsiaras@uth.gr

**Panos V. Petrakis**

Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems,  
Hellenic Agriculture Organisation Demeter,  
Terma Alkmanos, Ilisia  
11528 Athens, Greece  
Email: pvpetrakis@fria.gr

**Abstract:** The forest policy in Greece and the current regulatory framework is not efficient in supporting the implementation of sustainability at a satisfactory level. The main scope of this study is to review and present constraints and practices across the sectors of forest and water resources management, flora and fauna biodiversity. The hypothesis is that common practices in the forest field combined with inefficient and obsolete legislation are responsible for delays in the implementation of a national forest policy, which will promote sustainability. A systematic reviewing methodology was applied so to ensure a rigorous and repeatable method of sustainability constraints identification and evaluation. The identification of the constraints can promote the improvement of legislation, the revision of common practices concerning the forest sector and finally can help the forest managers to better understand how to work effectively within legal, regulatory and operational environments deriving from forest policy.

**Keywords:** forest management; biodiversity; constraints; sustainability; SDGs; Greece.

**Reference** to this paper should be made as follows: Koulelis, P.P., Solomou, A.D., Fassouli, V.P., Tsiaras, S. and Petrakis, P.V. (2022) 'Greece on a sustainable future: reviewing constraints and practices regarding forest and water resources management, flora and fauna biodiversity', *Int. J. Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology*, Vol. 18, Nos. 1/2, pp.38–54.

**Biographical notes:** Panagiotis P. Koulelis is an Associate Researcher at the Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems, in the Laboratory of Forest Management and Forest Economics (Athens, Greece). His field of expertise focuses on the forest sector modelling, the timber industry and trade, the sustainable forest management and the climate change implications. He has published several scientific papers. He has joined several academic departments (Universities and Technological Educational Institutes-TEI) in Greece since October 2002 obtaining teaching and research experience related to his field. He has also worked as a researcher (2015–2016) at the Environmental Change Institute at the School of Geography and the Environment/University of Oxford, UK. His research involved investigating and understanding the links between the forest sector trade and the ecological footprint.

Alexandra D. Solomou is an Associate Researcher in the Laboratory of Forest Ecology in the Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems (ELGO DIMITRA) (Athens, Greece). Her interests are focused on the monitoring and evaluation of plant diversity using biodiversity indicators in Mediterranean forest ecosystems. She has published 28 articles in international peer-reviewed journals and more than 30 articles in national and international conferences. She is also a member of the National Committee of Protected Areas 'NATURE 2000' and a National Focal Point of FAO organisation for Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture.

Vassilia P. Fassouli is an Agricultural Engineer and a Researcher (external) at the Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems, specialised in the field of adaptive water management, indicators modelling, extreme hydroclimatic events and water deficiencies (droughts, desertification). She has 14 years of working experience in various EU funded research projects (FP6, FP7, HORIZON, SEE) focusing on the fields of agro-technical modelling of water resources, social quantitative research and water quantity indicators, water-energy-food (WEF)-NEXUS and datasets (DESIRE, LOLAqua, DMCSEE, CORADO, SIM4NEXUS). She has authored more than ten journals, conference papers and technical reports. Finally, she has also a four years' experience working as an environmental consultant in environmental impact assessments.

Stefanos Tsiaras holds a BS in Forestry and Natural Environment, a MSc in Forest Policy and a PhD in Forest Policy from Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece, School of Forestry and Natural Environment. He completed his postdoc research in the Forest Research Institute of Thessaloniki in 2015. In 2018, he received an MSc in Business Administration. He is a teaching associate in the University of Thessaly, Department of Environmental Sciences. He has more than 30 published papers in international journals, and proceedings of international conferences. His research interests include forest policy, environmental policy, and sustainable development.

Panos V. Petrakis is a Research Director at the Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems, Laboratory of Entomology which is a part of the Hellenic Agricultural Organisation – Dimitra. He has over 25 years' experience in designing and conducting research in the field of entomology of the natural environment. His research focuses on insect biosystematics and biogeography employing research in semiochemicals especially those that shape insect-plant associations. He worked in the National Centre for Science Research – Demokritos as a Research Scientist in Entomology of the Olive Tree Pests, and the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Directorate of Informatics as a biometrician and data analysis specialist with computer science skills in all major computer programming languages (e.g., R, C++), libraries, and techniques (e.g., GIS). He has developed several specialised computer packages (e.g., HyperBase) oriented in entomology and plant secondary metabolites. His research interest is all also in the field of organismal evolution and chemometrics.

This paper is a revised and expanded version of a paper entitled 'Sustainability constraints in Greece. Focusing on forest management and biodiversity' presented at 9th International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies in Agriculture, Food and Environment (HAICTA 2020), Thessaloniki, Greece, 24–27 September 2020.

---

## 1 Introduction

Sustainable development goals (SDGs) were set by the United Nations (UN) in 2015, when 193 countries adopted an agenda, comprising of 17 Goals and 169 Targets, which are “integrated and indivisible and balance the three dimensions of sustainable development: the economic, social and environmental” (UN, 2015). The Goals and Targets encourage global, national and local level actions to be taken over 2030, affecting all critical areas of humanity. They entail challenges regarding coordination, responsibility, planning, arrangement, expertise and commitment (Allen et al., 2018). Forests and biodiversity are vital for achieving the SDGs, as they are significantly interlinked with food, energy production, health, water, economy, climate, etc. In the SDGs, forests are mentioned in specific targets of Goal 6 (clean water and sanitation) and Goal 15 (life on land). More precisely, SDG 6 mentions the protection of forests in Target 6.6, which focuses at “protecting and restoring water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, etc.” (UN, 2015). SDG 15 focuses on “*the protection, restoration and promotion of sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems and sustainable forest management as well as halting and reversing the loss of biodiversity and land degradation*”. To accomplish Goal 15, UN members have to achieve 12 targets, from which three refer directly to forests (15.1, 15.2 and 15.B) and seven to biodiversity (15.1, 15.4, 15.5, 15.7, 15.8, 15.9, 15.A, 15C) (Table 1). The progress of the targets achievement may be measured through the use of pertinent indicators (UN, 2017; 2020).

According to the Sustainable Development Goals Report 2019 (UN, 2019), biodiversity loss is critical, with approximately one million species facing extinction. Concerning the implementation of the Agenda, the report depicts an unavailability of implementation means and financing (UN, 2019). Concerning forests and biodiversity in Goal 15, globally, there are some promising trends, portraying the increase of terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity protection (plus financial assistance) and the decrease of

forest loss. On the other hand, biodiversity loss continues, and efforts for protection and restoration of ecosystems and species, are frustrated due to invasive species and poaching and trafficking of wildlife. A beating alarm, clearly portrayed in the Report (UN, 2019), is the deterioration of the Red List Index (risk of extinction), from 0.82 in 1993 to 0.73 globally in 2019 (a value of 1 indicates no threat, and a value of 0 indicates that all species are extinct).

The significance of mountain ecosystems is underlined in the Sustainable Development Goals Report 2019 (UN, 2019), where it is stated that healthy mountain ecosystems ensure the provision of ecosystem services to local communities. In the 2030 Agenda for the sustainable development (UN, 2015) the conservation of mountains is highlighted in the Declaration of Transforming our world, while their role is mentioned in Target 6.6 of SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation) and in targets of SDG 15 (life on land). In Goal 15 Target 15.1 maintains that ‘By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements’, and Target 15.4 ‘By 2030, ensure the conservation of mountain ecosystems, including their biodiversity, in order to enhance their capacity to provide benefits that are essential for sustainable development’. According to article 18 of European Union’s regulation 1257/99, mountains are defined as areas characterised by significant limitations of land use (EC, 1999). The criteria for less favoured mountainous areas that were established by the European Commission (EC, 1999) brought out the difficulties that these areas face (Nastis and Papanagiotou, 2009).

### *1.1 Forest and less favored areas (LFAs) management*

Based on Table 1, more concern is given by the SDGs in conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, particularly forest ecosystems, aiming to promote the implementation of sustainable management, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and increase afforestation and reforestation. Target 15B underlines the crucial need for stable financial support to sustainable forest management. According to Spanos et al. (2015), the main forest management approaches in Greece are wood and non-wood production, like resin, honey, wild plants, livestock, etc. Additionally, attention is given to the social uses like wildlife, recreation and hunting. Greece, as other Mediterranean countries, is a net importer of wood and wood products (Koulelis, 2019). The geographical and the climate conditions in Greece are not allowing important production of wood and wood products (Koulelis, 2016). Most of the forest area is located at high mountains and slopes and the production is limited. Although, Mediterranean forest products and services have represented a fundamental factor in the life of local inhabitants for centuries. Traditionally, the forest sector, including all economic activities that mostly depend on the production of goods and services from forests, makes a significant contribution to necessary social changes and sustainable economic development of the rural community (Koulelis, 2019). Considered that more than 63.5% of the forests are state-owned, 12% are owned by local communities and the rest 22.5% are privately-owned (by monasteries or individuals, etc.), the major stakeholder of the Greek forests is the state (FAO/FRA, 2015). Thus, Greek authorities are responsible for the long-term implementation of SDGs and for the implementation and the updating of the legislation and practices that are common in the forest sector. Even with the management of private owned forests, the

final management plan must be authorised by the local forest service, considering that same laws are applied in public and in private forests. This type of administration seems to be very state-centered, followed by weaknesses like bureaucracy or slow decision-making. On the other hand, this administration offers a relative security in terms of strict law enforcement and the protection of the forests. Many propose that the forest owner association of Greece, even that at national level represents a small private forestry sector, must play a more active role in policy and decision making. Even though, Greek construction industry has been severely struck by the recent financial crisis (Koulelis, 2016), the more effective promotion of domestic tree species and the competitive and certified timber production should be adopted, as tools that can facilitate the implementation of the latter scope.

Under the climate change concept, regional and global climate simulations, project (medium confidence) an increase in duration and intensity of droughts in Central and Southern Europe and the Mediterranean up until the UK for different definitions of drought (Feyen et al., 2012; Gao and Giorgi, 2008; Koutroulis et al., 2010; Tsanis et al., 2011; Vidal and Wade, 2009). Even in regions where summer precipitation is expected to increase, soil moisture and hydrological droughts may become more severe as a result of increasing evapotranspiration (Wong et al., 2011). Projected changes in the length of meteorological dry spells show that the increase is large in Southern Europe (Kovats et al., 2014) an increasing risk of wildfires over next decades. It's well known in Greece that the past large wildfires had both socio-economic (including loss of human lives, properties and other infrastructures) and ecological (degradation of forest cover and loss of biodiversity) consequences. The future of forest ecosystems, under the changes of climate and the characterisation of the Mediterranean basin as a climate change 'hotspot' demands important deep changes and redefinition of the institutional balance between state and private initiatives.

Concerning less favoured areas (LFAs), their development is a top priority for the European Union (Eliasson, 2010). For Greece in particular, LFAs are of great importance, since many regions of the Greek countryside can be classified as such. Greece follows the legal framework of the European Union regarding Less favoured areas, determined by Council Directive No 1698/2005 (EC 2005) which replaced the previous Council Directive No 1257/1999 (EC 1999). The criteria for LFA designation were first established in European legislation in 1975 (Directive 75/268 EEC and accompanying measures). The vast majority of less favoured areas (LFAs) in Greece is situated in mountainous areas, since Greece is a mountainous country, almost 80% of the country is covered with more than 300 mountains. Post 2020 common agricultural policy (CAP) of the European Union plans to support LFAs only with funding that directly targets environmental gains, excluding compensation for farming in areas with natural disadvantages (EC, 2019). Oxouzi et al. (2012) proved that compensatory allowances promote the profitability in mountainous less favoured areas; however, the production of more competitive and better quality goods is suggested as a way forward for LFAs in the absence of adequate financial support. Moreover, mountainous agricultural food products, such as olives and olive oil, wine, cherries, etc., are an additional income source for the residents of LFAs (Nastis and Papanagiotou, 2009).

Tsiaras and Spanos (2017) found that forestation (either for timber, production of fruits and nuts or environmental services) through tree planting that takes into consideration the special characteristics of each area can contribute to the sustainable development of mountainous less favoured areas of Greece. The role of local conditions

to the development of LFAs in Greece was also pinpointed by Kizos et al. (2011), while the role of local stakeholders in pursuit of sustainable development in less favoured areas of Greece was also well documented (Koutsouris, 2009; Tsiaras and Andreopoulou, 2015).

**Table 1** Targets aiming to forests and biodiversity conservation, derived from Goal 6 and Goal 15

<i>Goal 6. Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all</i>
6.6 By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes.
Goal 15. Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss.
15.1 Ensure conservation, restoration and sustainable use.
15.2 Promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests.
15.4 Ensure the conservation of mountain ecosystems, including their biodiversity.
15.5 Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity.
15.7 Take urgent action to end poaching and trafficking of protected species of flora and fauna.
15.8 Introduce measures to prevent the introduction and significantly reduce the impact of invasive alien species on land and water ecosystems and control or eradicate the priority species.
15.9 Integrate ecosystem and biodiversity values into national and local planning, development processes.
15.A Mobilise and significantly increase financial resources from all sources to conserve and sustainably use biodiversity and ecosystems.
15.B Mobilise significant resources from all sources and at all levels to finance sustainable forest management.
15.C Enhance global support for efforts to combat poaching and trafficking of protected species, including by increasing the capacity of local communities to pursue sustainable livelihood opportunities.

Source: UN General Assembly (2015)

## 1.2 Flora and fauna biodiversity

The Greek constitution (Article 24) defines that the protection of the natural and cultural environment is a state obligation and a citizen's right. There are also various legislation initiatives, covering various environmental aspects, some of which are: Forest management (forest code of Greece), species protection, conservation of indigenous farm animal breeds, structural environment and protected areas.

Greece is considered as a 'hot spot' concerning biodiversity, because more than 7,000 native plant taxa established there, with 20% being endemic. The vascular flora of Greece comprises 5,885 species and 2,000 subspecies (native and naturalised), representing 6,760 taxa, belonging to 1,087 genera and 184 families. The flora of Greece is unique in Europe for its richness and its large analogy of endemic species in relation to its size (Dimopoulos et al., 2013; 2016; Solomou and Sfougaris, 2021). A large number of plants has found their ideal habitats due to the large diversity of biotopes and ecosystems, responsible for this phenomenon. The geographical setting of Greece as a

“meeting point” of three continents (Europe, Asia, Africa), its mild Mediterranean climate, intense relief, vast lacy beaches, its numerous islands and of course its rich palaeogeographical history, have resulted in key and unique habitats for Europe and the planet (CBD 2010, EC 2019).

Greek fauna embrace 23,130 species of land and freshwater animals, of which 3,956 are endemic and 3,500 marine species (Fauna Europaea Web Service, 2004; Legakis and Maragkou, 2009). The large diversity of the Greek flora is justified by an equally large diversity of its fauna. In the first place, during the Ice Ages the Hellenic territory posed as a refuge for the animals from N. Europe. Another important issue is the large variety of biomes found even in relatively small areas where several habitats can be observed. This fact has contributed to the formation and development of different isolated populations of wildlife, which accordingly have evolved into new species/subspecies, creating endemism (CBD 2010, EC 2019).

In summary, in terms of biodiversity and its conservation, Law 3937 has existed since 2011 (Greek OJ number 60/A of 31.3.2011) and its status is constantly monitored by the Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change. In 2014 a National Strategy for biodiversity was approved to be implemented until 2029 (Greek OJ number 2383 of 8.11.2014), (Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, 2014), energy and climate change (Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, 2014). In 2017, Law 4495/2017 was approved for the Control and Protection of the Structured Environment (Greek OJ number 167/A of 3.11.2017), and JMD 50743/2017 for the Revision of the national catalog of areas falling under the European Ecological Network Natura 2000 (Joint Ministerial Decision number 4432/B of 15.12.2017).

In the context of climate change and fauna, forest health and adaptation of forest management are closely linked. Forest trees are increasingly affected by a multitude of factors directly related to climate change. The recent increase of the international trade is expected to increase or facilitate the invasions of numerous insects and other arthropods, vertebrates, and pathogens (fungi, bacteria, viruses). The invaded ecosystem, comprises plants and animals not equipped with the proper defense mechanisms to confront the invading taxa (Ramsfield et al., 2016; Trumbore et al., 2015). In effect, the climate change is expected to cause an issue more noticeable in the animal biodiversity of the landscapes but the outcome is not simple (Jactel et al., 2019). For instance, while most of the responses of insects to climate change effects are beneficial (shorter generation times, more food for herbivores, higher fecundity, higher survival, which allows pest species to cause greater damage during the growing season) there are other hostile factors like the increase in number and efficiency of natural enemies, phenological mismatch between an insect and its plant or insect food (Ramsfield et al., 2016). All these phenomena will be accelerated because of the geographic expansion of many insects and other animal pests.

### *1.3 Water resources management as concerned to forests and biodiversity*

Sustainable development goals are intertwined as, for example, forests and biodiversity are directly connected to water. Access to safe water, sanitation and hygiene are still lacking in many places in the world and this challenge becomes an urgency in cases as COVID-19 pandemic. Ensuring access to water has been a priority for a long time, but nowadays it is more than essential that all countries sustainably manage their water (UN, 2015). In this regard, by 2030, sustainable development goals on water resources management require the achievement of access to safe water, sanitation and hygiene,

improvement of water quality, reuse and recycling, water resources management integration at all levels and finally international cooperation and support (UN, 2015). Water resources management of a country highly affects its forests and biodiversity, as droughts or floods may directly result in related irreversible impacts. For example, droughts are responsible for increased tree mortality (Colangelo et al., 2018; Schuldt et al., 2020) or species migration (Dale et al., 2001; Hanson and Weltzin, 2000). Significant progress has been made towards the set targets, but still there is a lot to accomplish to reach the set goals worldwide.

The water framework directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament (WFD - 2000/60/EC) and of the European Council of 23 October 2000 are establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy, is incorporated into the Greek national legislation through the enforcement of Law 3199/9-12-2003 (Greek OJ number 280A of 9.12.2003) on “water protection and the sustainable management of the water resources”. River basin water management and water pricing are set into force to protect and restore deteriorated water resources, to reduce groundwater pollution and to prevent its further deterioration. Furthermore, Law 3199/03 encompasses actions towards the mitigation of flood and drought impacts and enforces for all water resources the ‘polluter pays principle’ and the aim of a ‘good ecological status’. Concerning transboundary water Law 3199/03 introduces transnational cooperation approaches as well. Concerning drinking water, EU Directive 98/83/EC sets drinking water quality standards and as reported by Karavoltzos et al. (2008) Greece and especially Athens and Thessaloniki, where more than half of the Greek population lives, present very good water quality. In other Greek areas, problems in water quality arise and they are mainly caused by the very old or poorly maintained distribution systems (Karavoltzos et al, 2008). Another problem to deal with in water resources management in Greece is the high freshwater abstraction for public water supply, where Greece, among the EU Member States, over-abstracts water (with 157 m<sup>3</sup> of water per inhabitant in Greece, to a low of 30 m<sup>3</sup> per inhabitant in Malta) (EUROSTAT, 2021). Finally, according to the voluntary national review on the implementation of the 2030 agenda for sustainable development, which was reported to EU in July 2018 (UN, 2018), by the Greek government, there is some progress achieved towards SDG 6, target 6.6 (related to protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes). More precisely, policy and legislative frameworks were developed at national and European level towards the sustainable management of ecosystems, forest land and natural resources (water). Greece’s natural vegetation and wild species are very rich, thus measures to combat desertification and conventions on climate change and biological diversity are made to promote conservation and biodiversity. In addition, in order to improve forest sustainability, restoration and maintenance, actions are taken to boost investments in the development of forest areas.

## **2 Materials and methods**

Aiming to a systematic research to discover the necessary knowledge through describing the sustainability context at national level -across the mentioned sectors – a document-reviewing analysis was adopted. Document analysis is a systematic procedure for reviewing or evaluating documents—both printed and electronic (computer-based and Internet-transmitted) material, which requires that data are examined and interpreted to

elicit meaning, gain understanding, and develop empirical knowledge (Corbin and Strauss, 2008; Rapley, 2007). As Bowen (2009) reported, documents that may be used for systematic evaluation as part of a study, take a variety of forms. The approach of this study mostly follows public records of legislation, papers, books and journals which are related to forest and water management and biodiversity. Following that, the study employs literature reviewing, personal practical knowledge and statements of the authors as well, to achieve convergence and corroboration.

### **3 Results and discussion**

SDG Target 15.B points towards financing sustainable forest management and mobilising significant resources. In contrast, Spanos et al. (2015) reported the issue of the weak financing in forestry in general and the lack of investment in the exploitation of the potential of forest resources. The issue of poor financing seems to be listed also at the national forestry strategic plan (2018) (from now on NFS) (Article 7). In addition, Kazana et al. (2015) included the lack of funds in forest management plan studies and Koulelis (2011) referred that the motives offered for private investments in the timber sector are deficient. Targets 15.1 and 15.2. promote the implementation of sustainable forest management of all types of forests. Spanos et al. (2015) mentioned that in Greece the logging system is problematic, with deficiencies in the organisation of wood harvesting in forests, and significant negative effects, both on forestry working operations and the forest. They claimed that practices like substantial supervision by foresters play their negative role. Furthermore, inefficient and outdated management practices make it difficult to continue logging operations and forest production especially in environmentally sensitive areas (Natura 2000 Network, National Parks, Aesthetic Forests). The high cost and the outdated methods of harvesting and production, the highland terrain of the Greek mountains and the low level of automation in harvesting increase production and transportation costs (Koulelis, 2016). Kazana et al. (2015) also added the exploitation system of public forest and the outdated forest management plans standards in the weaknesses factors of a SWOT analysis for sustainable forest management and monitoring in Northern Greece. Moreover, deficiencies in the information system and statistical forestry, an administration system of forestry services not performing well and incomplete support for forestry research are reported by Spanos et al. (2015). The lack of know-how (new technologies and tools) and inefficient forestry legislation are referred by Kazana et al. (2015), while complicated forest legislation is reported by Solomou et al. (2015). Incomplete support for forestry research is also underlined by Spanos et al. (2015). The authors' view on this issue converges. Forest research enhances the protection and exploitation of the national forest resources at the same time. Inadequate standardisation of forest products, problematic exploitation of timber and other forest products, lack of modern systems in quality certification of wood and forest management, are some expressions of the common observed constraint of the lack of a national forest products certification system (Georgiadis and Cooper, 2007; Kazana et al., 2015; Koulelis, 2011; Spanos et al., 2015).

At the same time, Tsiaras (2018) underlined the lack of a common forest policy framework within the European Union as a major constraint for the forest sector in Greece and therefore for the sustainability of mountainous less favoured areas. Furthermore, the absence of a strategic plan at national level for less favoured areas in

Greece is also an obstacle for the sustainable development of LFAs (Tsiaras and Andreopoulou, 2015). Micha et al. (2015) designated that people living in Less Favoured Areas of Greece perceive as corrupted the implementation of policies regarding rural development. The same study indicated that psychological constraints are also important to local population of LFAs and have as a result a cautious attitude towards governmental policies.

According to Soulis et al. (2018) climate variability is another serious constraint for the sustainable development of LFAs in Greece and its importance increases taking into consideration the effects of climate change in forests in mountains of Greece (Zindros et al., 2020). However, Zindros et al. (2020) attributed the significant increase of forest cover in Olympus mountain in the last 60 years to climate change, asserting that warmer summers caused an upward shift to the tree line.

Finally, the above-mentioned constraints may be validated, as recently, in 2018, a National Strategy for Forests was established in Greece (NFS 2018–2038) to address them through respective actions.

Before the latest strategy, like other countries in Mediterranean region, Greece had engaged in a National Forest Programme process aiming to sustainable forest management, improve forest governance and develop more cross-sectoral and participatory approaches for the development, implementation and evaluation of the forest policy. The future seems more promising, due to that new forest strategy is more focused to objectives like:

- a The forest management contribution to socio economic development and the promotion of forest goods and services.
- b The promotion of resilience under global changes.
- c The enhancement of capacities and the mobilisation of resources.

Regarding biodiversity constraints, Solomou (2013) reported that due to insufficient scientific data on the individual components of biodiversity and their characteristic trends, appropriate measures should be taken, such as optimising the boundaries of protected areas. Dimopoulos et al. (2006) indicated that as there is a lack of monitoring data, we cannot hope for a fruitful decision-making which is vital for the implementation of conservation strategies. Moreover, Solomou and Sfougaris (2011) have underlined the need for distinguishing spatial-temporal biodiversity changes, through monitoring for a better designation of conservation efforts and overall assessment of the progress towards the relevant targets. In addition, Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change (2014) focused on the partial or non-partial implementation of the existing institutional framework, which so far, is unfortunately unable to prevent certain illegal activities (poaching, overfishing, arsons, etc.). Another serious drawback is the lack of environmental awareness in general and more specifically of those engaged in agro-productive activities, who do not always make environmentally friendly choices. Besides, there are some more impediments harming the biodiversity cause:

- a the non-integration of sustainability principles in productive activities
- b the lack of stable funding causing protected areas to be understaffed, without solid management structures

- c the lack of specialised and adequately staffed services (both central and regional) (EKBY, 2020; Nature and biodiversity of Greece, 2020; Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, 2014; Solomou, 2013; Solomou and Sfougaris, 2011).

It is important to be mentioned that despite the provision of the contract for biodiversity and the fact that Greece has ratified the Convention since 1994 (Law 2204/1994), it took 20 years to complete and adopt the National strategy for biodiversity (Joint Ministerial Decision number 40332/2014). The national strategy has a duration of 15 years. The duration starts practically in the middle of the UN Decade on Biodiversity, and covers almost the entire UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration, as it will be formally completed in 2029. Its structure follows the structure of the strategic framework of the Convention on Ecological Biodiversity and the EU 2020 Strategy. Also, it includes a long-term vision for 2050 and a general goal for fifteen years, with an intermediate milestone for 2026. It is noteworthy that the adoption of the first National Biodiversity Strategy is an important milestone in national biodiversity conservation policy precisely because it focused more to objectives such as the halting of biodiversity loss and the degradation of the ecosystem functions of Greece until 2026, their restoration, where necessary and possible, the promotion of biodiversity as a national asset, as well as the intensification of Greece contribution to preventing the biodiversity loss worldwide (The Green Tank, 2020).

The strategy of the policy makers to address forest health issues and potential dangers is controversial. While aerial spray of biocides and other substances is stopped, the treatment of arthropod pests with sprayed chemicals is a common practice in agricultural land parcels neighbouring forest areas. Even in urban forests the insecticide application is a common practice. The usual implementation of the pest control measures is the political decision for the “exception of the arthropod pest incidence from the exclusion of spray in urban areas”. The case of *Metcalfa pruinosa* (Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha) in the urban trees of the city of Corfu is such a case. The problem is that the affected organisms in such ‘exceptional’ cases are the arthropods that collectively configure the high biodiversity of Greek landscapes. The lepidopteran insects on Mt Parnitha were a few years ago more or less 60 species. Among them *Parnassius mnemocyne*, *Zerynthia polyxena*, *Iphiclides podalirius*, and *Aporia crataegi* are IUCN listed as LC (Least Concern) (Van Swaay et al., 2010). These butterflies became locally extinct or extremely rare after the aerial sprayings (now abolished by law) and the introductions of the honey dew producing pine scale (*Marchallina hellenica* [Hemiptera, Sternorrhyncha, Coccoidea]) by bee keepers (Petrakis et al., 2010). The introduction of *M. hellenica* in previously unoccupied places caused an overall reduction in the biodiversity mainly due to the exploitation of food resources on the pine tree (mostly *Pinus brutia* and *P. halepensis*) and environmental factors. The sprayings with insecticides in urban places in order to stop the progression of the insect on pine trees in forests is now abolished by a decision of the Greek supreme court (Greek Supreme Court, 2006). The spread of the pine scale was previously financed by the Ministry of agriculture with ~2.5 M \$ / 1997. The recipients of the funds were university departments, institutes and beekeeper cooperatives. After the aforementioned decision of the supreme court and the disapprovals of many citizens’ associations Greek state stopped funding of the pine scale spread. However, the practice of introductions of the insect in many pine forests is continued by individual beekeepers (Petrakis et al., 2011). Finally, in the last decade the ecological associations and the increasing participation in the market of organic agroforestry products forced political authorities to manage forests in an ecologically

compatible way involving semiochemicals / infochemicals, release of beneficial insects reared from local genetic stock in insect farms and ecologically sound forest management.

Though the pertinent laws are in force, it seems that Greece still overexploits its limited groundwater reserves resulting in high contamination percentages and intrusion of seawater. Moreover, the lack of the required water infrastructure does not allow the usage of its extensive surface water potential (Karavitis, 2008). The latter makes the country highly dependent on the annual rainfall and temperature patterns, meaning that any water shortage or any unexpected temperature variation may initiate, major impacts on environment (forests, species, etc.) and society. Greece is characterised as drought prone, given that severe droughts have occurred in consequent time periods (e.g., 1989–90, 1993, 2000, 2003 and 2007) (Karavitis, 1998, 1999; Karavitis et al., 2014; Loukas et al., 2007; Livada and Assimakopoulos, 2007; Tsakiris and Vangelis, 2004; Tsesmelis et al., 2019; Vasiliades et al., 2009) affecting all kind of life (humans, animals, plants). Unfortunately, it is still not clear whether the impacts of these extreme events are intensified due to the extreme water deficiency or due to the lack of local or country level contingency planning and drought management (Karavitis 1992, 1998, 1999; Karavitis et al., 2012; Tsesmelis et al., 2019).

#### 4 Conclusions

Constraints such as the lack of resources by the government, bureaucracy, lack of investments and a forest certification system, weak private forest sector and inefficient logging system were detected. Likewise, the lack of effective monitoring of biodiversity, lack of specialised and adequately staffed services, lack of stable funding, knowledge gaps, poorly performing system administration, lack of the required water infrastructure and the ineffective law enforcement simultaneously with the intermittent productive relationships between public administration, academia and other authorities were detected as the most common constraints across the examined sectors towards sustainability. One main vision of the national forest strategy (NFS) is to “Ensure sustainability and increase the contribution of forest ecosystems to the country’s economy through multifunctionality, adaptability and strengthening their socio-economic role” (Article 2), a vision totally compatible with the SDG 15 and its targets. From now on, it must be proven in time that all these proposed actions will be implemented. The recent legislation of NFS, adopting the Mediterranean forestry model, could increase the contribution of forests in the country’s GDP. Any new forestry-related legislation submitted for voting should consider both the SDGs and the national strategy vision.

#### References

- Allen, C., Metternicht, G. and Wiedmann, T. (2018) ‘Initial progress in implementing the sustainable development goals (SDGs): a review of evidence from countries’, *Sustainability Science*, Vol. 13, pp.1453–1467.
- Bowen, G. (2009) ‘Document analysis as a qualitative research method’, *Qualitative Research Journal*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp.27–40, <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>.
- CBD (2010) *Decision X/2, The Strategic Plan For Biodiversity 2011–2020 And The Aichi Biodiversity Targets*, pp.18–29 October 2010, Nagoya, Japan.

- Colangelo, M., Camarero, J.J., Ripullone, F., Gazol, A., Sánchez-Salguero, R., Oliva, J. and Redondo, M.A. (2018) 'Drought decreases growth and increases mortality of coexisting native and introduced tree species in a temperate floodplain forest', *Forests*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp.1–17.
- Corbin, J. and Strauss, A. (2008) *Basics Of Qualitative Research: Techniques And Procedures For Developing Grounded Theory*, 3rd ed., Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Dale, V.H., Joyce, L.A., McNulty, S., Neilson, R.P., Ayres, M.P., Flannigan, M.D., Hanson, P.J., Irland, L.C., Lugo, A.E., Peterson, C.J., Simberloff, D., Swanson, F.J., Stocks, B.J. and Wotton, B.M. (2001) 'Climate change and forest disturbances: climate change can affect forests by altering the frequency, intensity, duration, and timing of fire, drought, introduced species, insect and pathogen outbreaks, hurricanes, windstorms, ice storms, or landslides', *BioScience*, Vol. 51, No. 9, pp.723–734, September 2001, [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2001\)051\[0723:CCAFD\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0723:CCAFD]2.0.CO;2).
- Dimopoulos, P., Bergmeier, E. and Fishcer, P. (2006) 'Natura 2000 habitat types of Greece evaluated in the light of distribution, threat and responsibility', *Biology and Environment*, Vol. 106B, No. 3, pp.175–187.
- Dimopoulos, P., Raus, T., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, T., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. and Tzanoudakis, D. (2013) 'Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist', *Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem*, Vol. 31, pp.1–370, Freie Universität Berlin, Athens Hellenic Botanical Society, Englera.
- Dimopoulos, P., Raus, T., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, T., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. and Tzanoudakis, D. (2016) 'Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist supplement', *Willdenowia*, Vol. 46, No. 3, pp.301–347.
- EC (1999) Council regulation No 1257/1999 on support for rural development from the European agricultural guidance and guarantee fund (EAGGF) and amending and repealing certain regulations', *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L 160/80 (26/6/1999).
- EC (2005) 'Council regulation No 1698/2005 on support for rural development by the European agricultural fund for rural development (EAFRD)', [online] <https://www.Eur-lex.europa.eu> (accessed 15 January 2021).
- EC (2019) 'The post-2020 common agricultural policy: environmental benefits and simplification', *European Commission, Agriculture and Rural Development* [online] [https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/publication/post-2020-common-agricultural-policy-environmental-benefits-simplification\\_en](https://knowledge4policy.ec.europa.eu/publication/post-2020-common-agricultural-policy-environmental-benefits-simplification_en) (accessed 15 January 2021).
- Eliasson, Å., Jones, R.J.A., Nachtergaele, F., Rossiter, D.G., Terres, J.M., Van Orshoven, J. and Le Bas, C. (2010) 'Common criteria for the redefinition of intermediate less favoured areas in the European Union', *Environmental Science and Policy*, Vol. 13, No.8, pp.766–777.
- EUROSTAT (2021) (Online datacode: env\_wat abs), [online] [https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php?title=Water\\_statistics](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statisticsexplained/index.php?title=Water_statistics) (accessed 15 January 2021).
- FAO/FRA (2015) *Global Forest Resources Assessment*, Country Report, Greece Rome 2014.
- Fauna Europaea Web Service (2004) *Fauna Europaea Version 1.1* [online] <http://www.faunaeur.org> (accessed 15 January 2021).
- Feyen, L., Dankers, R., Bódis, K., Salamon, P. and Barredo, J.I. (2012) 'Fluvial flood risk in Europe in present and future climates', *Climatic Change*, Vol. 112, pp.47–62.
- Gao, X. and Giorgi, F. (2008) 'Increased aridity in the Mediterranean region under greenhouse gas forcing estimated from high resolution simulations with a regional climate model', *Global and Planetary Change*, Vol. 62, Nos. 3–4, pp.195–209.
- Georgiadis, N.M. and Cooper, R.J. (2007) 'Development of a forest certification standard compatible with PEFC and FSC's management requirements', *A case study from Greece, Forestry, Oxford Journals*, Vol. 80, No. 2, pp.113–135.
- Greek Biotope/Wetland Centre (EKBY) (2020) [online] [http://www.ekby.gr/ekby/el/EKBY\\_biodiversity\\_el.html](http://www.ekby.gr/ekby/el/EKBY_biodiversity_el.html) (accessed 21 June, 2020).
- Greek Supreme Court (2006) *Decision #647/13* July 2006.

- Hanson, P.J. and Weltzin, J.F. (2000) 'Drought disturbance from climate change: response of United States forests', *Science of the Total Environment*, Vol. 262, No.3, pp.205–220, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(00\)00523-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00523-4).
- Jactel, H., Koricheva, J. and Castagneyrol, B. (2019) 'Responses of forest insect pests to climate change: not so simple', *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, Vol. 35, pp.103–108.
- Karavitis, C.A. (1992) *Drought Management Strategies For Urban Water Supplies: The Case Of Metropolitan Athens*, Thesis PhD, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Karavitis, C.A. (1998) 'Drought and urban water supplies: the case of metropolitan Athens', *Water Policy*, Vol. 1, No. 5, pp.505–524.
- Karavitis, C.A. (1999) 'Decision support systems for drought management strategies in metropolitan Athens', *Water International*, Vol. 24, No.1, pp.10–21.
- Karavitis, C.A. (2008) *Technical Report on Contract No. 10889/11/07 /2007 with the Water Resources Management Sector, Agricultural University of Athens (AUA), Technical Support to the Central Water Agency of Greece for the Development of a Drought Master Plan for Greece and an Immediate Drought Mitigation Plan*, Ministry of Planning, Public Works and the Environment, Athens, Greece (in Greek).
- Karavitis, C.A., Skondras, N.A., Tsesmelis, D.E., Stamatakos, C.G., Alexandris, S.G. and Fassouli, V.P. (2012) 'Drought impacts archive and drought vulnerability index', in Gregorič, G. (Ed.): *Drought Management Centre for South-East Europe – DMCSEE, Summary of the Result of the Project, Co-Financed by the SEE Transnational Cooperation Programme* (contract no. SEE /A/091/2.2/XSlovenian Environmental Agency, Ljubljana, Slovenia, [online] [http://www.met.hu/doc/DMCSEE/DMCSEE\\_final\\_publication.pdf](http://www.met.hu/doc/DMCSEE/DMCSEE_final_publication.pdf) (accessed 28 December 2020).
- Karavitis, C.A., Tsesmelis, D.E., Skondras, N.A., Stamatakos, D., Alexandris, S., Fassouli, V., Vasilakou C.G., Oikonomou, P.D., Gregorič, G., Grigg, N.S. and Vlachos, E.C. (2014) 'Linking drought characteristics to impacts on a spatial and temporal scale', *Water Policy*, Vol. 16, No. 6, pp.1172–1197.
- Karavoltos, S., Sakellari, A., Mihopoulos, N., Dassenakis, M. and Scoullou, M.J. (2008) 'Evaluation of the quality of drinking water in regions of Greece', *Desalination*, Vol. 224, Nos. 1–3, pp.317–329, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.06.013>.
- Kazana, V., Kazaklis, A., Stamatiou, C., Koutsona, P., Boutsimea, A. and Fotakis, D. (2015) 'SWOT analysis for sustainable forest policy and management: a Greek case study', *International Journal of Information and Decision Sciences*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp.32–50.
- Kizos, T., Marin-Guirao, J.I., Georgiadi, M., Dimoula, S., Karatsolis, E., Mpartzas, A., Mpelali, A. and Papaioannou, S. (2011) 'Survival strategies of farm households and multifunctional farms Greece', *Geographical Journal*, Vol. 177, No. 4, pp.335–346.
- Koulelis P.P. (2019) 'Restructuring the Greek forest sector in order to facilitate rural development in Greece', *Springer Book Collective Volume Entitled "Innovative Approaches and Applications for Sustainable Rural Development"*, published by the Springer's Earth System Sciences book series. pp.23–35, Springer Earth System Sciences ISBN 978-3-030-02311-9 ISBN 978-3-030-02312-6 (eBook), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02312-6>.
- Koulelis, P.P. (2011) 'Greek timber industries and wood product markets over the last century: development constraints and future directions', *Annals of Forest Research*, Vol. 54, No. 2, pp.229–240.
- Koulelis, P.P. (2016) 'Forest products consumption and trade deficit in Greece during the financial crisis: a quantitative statistical analysis', *Open Journal of Business and Management*, April, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp.258–265.
- Koutroulis, A.G., Vrochidou, A. and Tsanis, I.K. (2010) 'Spatiotemporal characteristics of meteorological drought for the Island of Crete', *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp.206–226.
- Koutsouris, A. (2009) 'Social learning and sustainable tourism development; local quality conventions in tourism: a Greek case study', *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, Vol. 17, No. 5, pp.567–581.

- Kovats, R.S., Valentini, R., Bouwer, L.M., Georgopoulou, E., Jacob, D., Martin, E., Rounsevell, M. and Soussana, J.F. (2014) 'Europe', in Barros, V.R., Field, C.B., Dokken, D.J., Mastrandrea, M.D., Mach, K.J., Bilir, T.E., Chatterjee, M., Ebi, K.L., Estrada, Y.O., Genova, R.C., Girma, B., Kissel, E.S., Levy, A.N., MacCracken, S., Mastrandrea, P.R. and White, L.L. (Eds.): *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, pp.1267–1326, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Legakis, A. and Maragkou, P. (2009) *The Red Book of Endangered Animals of Greece*, p.528, Hellenic Zoological Society, Athens.
- Livada, I. and Assimakopoulos, V.D. (2007) 'Spatial and temporal analysis of drought in Greece using the standardized precipitation index (SPI)', *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, Vol. 89, pp.143–153.
- Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L. and Tzabiras, J. (2007) 'Evaluation of climate change on drought impulses in Thessaly, Greece', *European Water*, Vol. 17–18, pp.17–28.
- Micha, E., Areal, F.J., Tranter, R.B. and Bailey, A.P. (2015) 'Uptake of agri-environmental schemes in the less-favoured areas of Greece: the role of corruption and farmers' responses to the financial crisis', *Land Use Policy*, Vol. 48, pp.144–157.
- Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change (2014) *National Strategy and Action Plan for Biodiversity* [online] <https://www.cbd.int/doc/world/gr/gr-nbsap-01-en.pdf> (accessed 15 January 2021).
- Nastis, S.A. and Papanagioutou, E. (2009) 'Dimensions of sustainable rural development in mountainous and less favored areas: evidence from Greece', *Journal of the Geographical Institute "Jovan Cvijic", SASA*, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp.111–131.
- Nature and Biodiversity of Greece (2020) [online] <http://biodiversity-info.gr/> (accessed on 15 June 2020).
- Oxouzi, E., Melfou, K., Galea, M. and Papanagioutou, E. (2012) 'Economic performance and crop farm efficiency in mountainous and other less favoured areas in Greece', *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 18, No. 6, pp.846–853.
- Petrakis, P., Roussis, V., Vagias, C. and Tsoukatou, M. (2010) 'The interaction of pine scale with pines in Attica, Greece', *European Journal of Forest Research*, Vol. 129, pp.1047–1056.
- Petrakis, P., Spanos, K., Kalapnida, M. and Lahlou, E. (2011) 'Insect biodiversity reduction of pinewoods in southern Greece caused by the pine scale (*Marchalina hellenica*)', *Forest Systems*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp.27–41.
- Ramsfield, T., Bentz, B., Faccoli, M., Jactel, H. and Brockerhoff, E. (2016) 'Forest health in a changing world: effects of globalization and climate change on forest insect and pathogen impacts', *Forestry*, Vol. 89, No. 3, pp.245–252.
- Rapley, T. (2007) *Doing Conversation, Discourse and Document Analysis*, Sage, London.
- Schuldt, B., Buras, A., Arend, M., Vitasse, Y., Beierkuhnlein, C., Damm, A., Gharun, M., Grams, T.E.E., Hauck, M., Hajek, P., Hartmann, H., Hiltbrunner, E., Hoch, G., Holloway-Phillips, M., Körner, C., Larysch, E., Lübbe, T., Nelson, D.B., Rammig, A. and Kahmen, A. (2020) 'A first assessment of the impact of the extreme 2018 summer drought on central European forests', *Basic and Applied Ecology*, Vol. 45, pp.86–103, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baec.2020.04.003>.
- Solomou, A. (2013) *Effects of Management Practices in Succession of Olive Groves Ecosystems*, PhD Thesis, University of Thessaly, Department of Agriculture Crop Production and Rural Environment, p.291.
- Solomou, A., Karetos, G., Skoufogianni, E., Martinos, K. and Sfouggaris, A. (2015) 'Assessment of Greek Forests Protection and Management. 10.1007/978-3-319-20110-8\_10', in Zhelezov, G. (Ed.): *Sustainable development in mountain regions: Southeastern Europe*, 2nd ed., *Sustainable Development in Mountain Regions: Southeastern Europe*, 2nd ed., pp.1–404, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20110-8>.

- Solomou, A., Martinos, K., Skoufogianni, E. and Danalatos, N. (2016) 'Medicinal and aromatic plants diversity in Greece and their future prospects: a review', *Agricultural Science*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp.9–20.
- Solomou, A.D. and Sfougaris, A. (2011) 'Comparing conventional and organic olive groves in central Greece: plant and bird diversity and abundance', *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp.297–316.
- Solomou, A.D. and Sfougaris, A. (2021) Contribution of agro-environmental factors to yield and plant diversity of olive grove ecosystems (*Olea europaea* L.) in the Mediterranean landscape', *Agronomy*, Vol. 11, p.161.
- Soulis, K.X., Kalivas, D.P. and Apostolopoulos, C. (2018) 'Delimitation of agricultural areas with natural constraints in Greece: assessment of the dryness climatic criterion using geostatistics', *Agronomy*, Vol. 8, No. 9, p.161.
- Spanos, I., Meliadis, I., Platis, P., Mantzanas, K., Samara, T. and Meliadis, M. (2015) *Forest Land Ownership Change in Greece, COST Action FP1201 FACESMAP Country Report*, European Forest Institute Central-East and South-East European Regional Office, Vienna, p.31, [Online publication].
- The Green Tank (2020) *Priority in nature: Evaluating the implementation of the National Biodiversity Strategy*, Report in the program Active citizens fund of EEA Grants (Implementing body: Green Tank), pp.86.
- Trumbore, S., Brando, P. and Hartmann, H. (2015) 'Forest health and global change', *Science*, Vol. 349, pp.814–818.
- Tsakiris, G. and Vangelis, H. (2004) 'Towards a drought watch system based on spatial SPI', *Water Resources Management*, Vol. 18, pp.1–12.
- Tsanis, I.K., Koutroulis, A.G., Daliakopoulos, I.N. and Jacob, D. (2011) 'Severe climate-induced water shortage and extremes in Crete', *Climatic Change*, Vol. 106, No. 4, pp.667–677.
- Tsesmelis, D.E., Oikonomou, P.D., Vasilakou, C.G., Skondras, N.A., Fassouli, V., Alexandris, S.G., Grigg, N.S. and Karavitis, C.A. (2019) 'Assessing structural uncertainty caused by different weighting methods on the standardized drought vulnerability index (SDVI)', *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp.515–533, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-019-01648-4>.
- Tsiaras, S. (2018) 'Examining ground for implementation of common forestry policy in the European Union: opportunities and barriers', *Journal of Environmental Protection and Ecology*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp.1175–1181.
- Tsiaras, S. and Andreopoulou, Z. (2015) 'Sustainable development perspectives in a less favoured area of Greece', *Journal of Environmental Protection and Ecology*, Vol.16, No. 1, pp.164–172.
- Tsiaras, S. and Andreopoulou, Z. (2020) 'Forest policy evaluation in European countries using the PROMETHEE method', in Mladenović, N., Sifaleras A. and Kuzmanović, M. (Eds.): *Advances in Operational Research in the Balkans, Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics*, pp.95–109, Springer, Cham.
- Tsiaras, S. and Spanos, I. (2017) 'Tree crops cultivation: a sustainable alternative for the development of mountainous, less favoured areas', *Journal of Environmental Protection and Ecology*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp.271–281.
- UN (2018) 'Voluntary national review on the implementation of the 2030 agenda for sustainable development Greece', 1 July 2018, *General Secretariat of the Government Office of Coordination, Institutional, International and European Affairs*, ISBN: 978-618-80745-1-4 [online] [https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/19378Greece\\_VNR\\_Greece\\_2018\\_pdf\\_FINAL\\_140618.pdf](https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/19378Greece_VNR_Greece_2018_pdf_FINAL_140618.pdf) (accessed 15 January 2021).
- UN (2019) *Report of the Secretary-General on SDG Progress 2019 Special Edition*, United Nations, New York 2019 [online] [https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/24978Report\\_of\\_the\\_SG\\_on\\_SDG\\_Progress\\_2019.pdf](https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/24978Report_of_the_SG_on_SDG_Progress_2019.pdf) (accessed 15 January 2021).

- UN (2020) ‘Global indicator framework for the sustainable development goals and targets of the 2030 agenda for sustainable development’, *Refinement 2020* [online] [https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/Global%20Indicator%20Framework%20after%202020%20review\\_Eng.pdf](https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/Global%20Indicator%20Framework%20after%202020%20review_Eng.pdf) (accessed 15 January 2021).
- UN General Assembly (2015) *Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015* [online] [http://www.un.org/ga/search/view\\_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E](http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E) (2015) (accessed Nov 2017), New York.
- UN General Assembly (2017) *Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 6 July 2017* [online] <https://undocs.org/A/RES/71/313> (accessed 15 January 2021).
- Van Swaay, C., Cuttelod, A., Collins, S., Maes, D., Munguira, M.L., Šašić, M., Settele, J., Verovnik, R., Verstrael, T. and Warren, M. (2010) *European Red List of Butterflies*, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Vasiliades, L., Loukas, A. and Patsonas, G. (2009) ‘Evaluation of a statistical downscaling procedure for the estimation of climate change impacts on droughts’, *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, Vol. 9, pp.879–894.
- Vidal, J.P. and Wade, S. (2009) ‘A multimodel assessment of future climatological droughts in the United Kingdom’, *International Journal of Climatology*, Vol. 29, No. 14, pp.2056–2071.
- Wong, W.K., B., Stein, E., Torill, H., Ingjerd, and Hege, H. (2011) ‘Climate change effects on spatiotemporal patterns of hydroclimatological summer droughts in Norway’, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.1205–1220.
- Zindros, A., Radoglou, K., Milios, E. and Kitikidou, K. (2020) ‘Tree line shift in the olympus mountain (Greece) and climate change’, *Forests*, Vol. 11, No. 9, p.985.