# A chain membrane model with application in cluster analysis 

# Yuzhen Zhao, Xiyu Liu* and Wenxing Sun 

College of Business, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, 250014, China
Email: zhaoyuzhen_happy@126.com
Email: sdxyliu@163.com
Email: 373253360@qq.com
*Corresponding author


#### Abstract

Membrane computing is a kind of bio-inspired parallel distributed computing paradigm which can reduce computational complexity by the strategy of a space-time tradeoff. Traditionally, there are three kinds of membrane computing models ( P systems) based on the tree and the graph topological structures. In this paper, a new P system with chain topological structure is proposed which is called the chain P systems. In the chain P systems, membranes, objects and rules are all in the form of chains which can store more information and therefore further improve the computational efficiency. The computational power and efficiency of the chain $P$ systems are analysed. The graph clustering and the ROCK clustering algorithms based on the chain P systems are given as applications.
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## 1 Introduction

Biological systems, such as cells, tissues, and human brains, have deep computational intelligences. Biologically inspired computing, or bio-inspired computing in short, focuses on abstracting computing ideas from biological systems to construct computing models and algorithms. Membrane computing is a lately initiated research area of bio-inspired computing in 2002, which seeks to discover new computational facility from the dynamics of cells, particularly of the cellular membranes (Păun et al., 2010). The new models are distributed and parallel bio-inspired computing facilities, usually called P systems. There are three mainly investigated P systems, cell-like P systems, tissue P systems, and neural-like P systems (and their variants, see, e.g., Cabarle et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2014; Song and Wang, 2015; Song et al., 2016; Song and Pan, 2015; Zeng et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2014a, 2014b; Peng et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018; Song et al., 2019a, 2017). It has been proved that many P systems are universal, that is, they are able to do what a Turing machine can do efficiently (Song et al., 2019b; Wang et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). The parallel evolution mechanism of variants of P systems has been found to perform well in doing computation, even solving computational hard problems. Therefore, P systems have been introduced to many fields gradually (Wang et al., 2019a; Ju et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2015a; Liu et al., 2017; Liu and Xue, 2017; Wang et al., 2019b).

Researchers pay close attention to the computational efficiency of P systems, especially the judgment whether NP-complete problems have solutions or not in feasible time (Song et al., 2014a, 2014b; Pan et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). In previous studies, if a NP-complete problem has a solution, a specific object is output to show that; otherwise, another object or nothing is output to show that. However, the solutions need to be found out in many situations. For instance, the register allocation problem is an application of SAT problem. This problem aims to build a mapping relationship between the virtual registers and the physical registers, and realises the rational utilisation of physical register resources. In this case, we need to judge whether a good solution exists, while searching the solution by distributing the physical register resources according to the solution. In applications, many problems can be transformed into graph colouring problems, which is equivalent to SAT problems. To solve these problems, exact solutions are also essential.

For this purpose, the chain thought in DNA computing is introduced into the P systems and a new variant of P systems called the chain P system is proposed in this paper. In the chain $P$ systems, the concepts of membranes, objects and rules are expended to chains, and the operations of crossover, mutation and so forth are transplanted. The chain P systems can record more information and realise the same function with less computing resources. Each chain object represents one solution, and the redundant objects can be removed from the system which can be used to remove the wrong results. Objects which represent all possible results are output. Chain P systems which give uniform solutions to SAT problem and Hamilton Path problem (HPP), which work in a deterministic way, not using the membrane division rules, are constructed as examples in this paper.

The application of P systems is another research hotspot in the field. Although P systems have been used in many fields, the intensive coupling between membrane computing and the optimisation is still an open problem. For this purpose, two
applications in clustering: the graph clustering and the ROCK clustering based on the chain P systems are presented. These two applications also show the advantage of the chain $P$ systems.

The contributions of this paper focus on two folds: for membrane computing, a new variant of P systems is proposed which can decrease the computing resources; for clustering analysis, the new algorithms combined with the chain P systems are presented which can reduce the time complexity of data processing and satisfy the requirement of improving the processing speed of the big data.

The paper is organised as follows. The chain P system is proposed in Section 2. Section 3 analyses the computational power and efficiency of the chain $P$ systems. Section 4 and Section 5 give the graph clustering algorithm and the ROCK algorithm based on the chain P systems. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

## 2 The chain $P$ systems

Several concepts are defined firstly. If no other membranes are in a membrane, this membrane is called an elementary membrane. The ordered chain consisting of several linked membranes is called a chain membrane. Each membrane in the chain membrane is called a cell membrane. Each symbol in the alphabet is called an elementary object. The ordered object consisting of several linked symbols is called a chain object.

The formal description of the chain P system is as follows.

$$
\Pi=\left(O, \mu, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}, R, \rho, i_{\text {in }}, i_{\text {out }}\right)
$$

- $O$ is the alphabet which includes all elementary objects of the system.
- $\mu$ is the membrane structure.
- $\quad w_{j}(1 \leq j \leq m)$ is the initial chain objects in membrane $j$, and object $\lambda$ shows no object is in the current membrane.
- $\quad R$ is the set of the chain rules. A chain rule is composed of $n$ sub-rules with the form of $r_{j}=\left\{r_{j .1}, r_{j .2}, \ldots, r_{j . n}\right\}$ which is executed from left to right. If a certain sub-rule can not be executed, the execution of this chain rule is end, and the remaining sub-rules are no longer executed.
- $\quad \rho$ defines the partial ordering relationship of the rules, i.e., rules with higher orders are executed with higher priority.
- $\quad i_{i n}$ is the label of the membrane where the objects are put into.
- $i_{\text {out }}$ is the label of the membrane where the computational result is placed.

If $i_{\text {out }}=0$, the computational result is reserved in the environment.

### 2.1 The chain membranes

The membranes exist in the form of chain $\sigma_{i}=r_{i 1} * \sigma_{i 1} \otimes r_{i 2} * \sigma_{i 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{i n} * \sigma_{i n}$ (the * can be omitted if there is no ambiguity). Where, $\sigma_{i 1}, \sigma_{i 2}, \ldots, \sigma_{i n}$ represent the cell membranes in the chain membrane $\sigma_{i}, r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, \ldots, r_{i n}$ are integers, $r_{i j} * \sigma_{i j}$ represents this chain membrane $\sigma_{i}$ contains $\left|r_{i j}\right|$ copies of $\sigma_{i j}$. If $r_{i j}>0, \sigma_{i j}$ carries positive charge;
otherwise, $\sigma_{i j}$ carries negative charge. Figure 1 shows an example of the chain membranes.

Figure $1 p$ chain membranes


Notes: Where the chain membrane $\sigma_{1}$ contains $n$ cell membranes, the chain membrane $\sigma_{2}$ contains $m$ cell membranes, $\ldots$, and the chain membrane $\sigma_{p}$ contains $t$ cell membranes.

Figure 2 The chain membrane $\sigma_{1}$ contains $n$ cell membranes $\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \ldots, \sigma_{1 n}$


Notes: $\sigma_{1}$ contains $p-1$ child chain membranes $\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \ldots, \sigma_{p}$, then each child chain membrane $\sigma_{i}(2 \leq i \leq p)$ contains $n$ cell membranes $\sigma_{i 1}, \sigma_{i 2}, \ldots, \sigma_{i n}$, and the objects in $\sigma_{1 j}$ are the union of all objects in cell membranes $\sigma_{2 j}, \sigma_{3 j}, \ldots, \sigma_{p j}$.

Figure 3 The whole structure of the tree topological P systems


The whole system has two topological structures: tree and graph. In the tree topological P systems, the relationship among the chain membranes is inclusion, i.e., the cell membrane of the parent chain membrane contains the corresponding cell membranes of the child chain membranes. Figure 2 and Figure 3 show examples of the tree topological P systems structure. Figure 4 gives an example of the graph topological P systems structure.

Figure 4 The whole structure of the graph topological P systems


### 2.2 The chain objects

The objects exist in the form of chain $a=r_{1} * x_{1} \otimes r_{2} * x_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} \otimes x_{n}$ (the * can be omitted if there is no ambiguity). Where, $r_{j} * x_{j}$ represents this chain object a contains $\left|r_{j}\right|$ copies of $x_{j}$. If $r_{j}>0, x_{j}$ is a positive object; otherwise, $x_{j}$ is a negative object.

The structured objects can store a large amount of information. For instance, to calculate the value of $201+12$, two chain objects $a_{1}=2 x_{3} \otimes x_{1}$ and $a_{2}=x_{2} \otimes 2 x_{1}$ are constructed. Each object represents a number. By two rules $a_{3}=a_{1}+a_{2}$ and $10 x_{i} \rightarrow x_{i+1}$, $i \geq 1$, the new object $a_{3}=2 x_{3} \otimes 1 x_{2} \otimes 3 x_{1}$ is obtained which means the result is 213 . If the traditional unstructured objects are used, 213 objects are needed. The structured objects can improve the computational efficiency and reduce the space complexity.

### 2.3 The chain rules

There are two types of rules: rules on the chain objects and rules on the chain membranes. The traditional rules can also be used in the chain $P$ systems, and several new types of rules are designed.

### 2.3.1 Rules on objects

For arbitrary two chain objects $a_{1}=r_{1} * x_{1} \otimes r_{2} * x_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} * x_{n}$ and $a_{2}=h_{1} * x_{1} \otimes h_{2}$ $* x_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * x_{n}$ :

- Object addition rule $a_{3}=a_{1}+a_{2}$ :

The sum of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ is $a_{1}+a_{2}=\left(r_{1}+h_{1}\right) x_{1} \otimes\left(r_{2}+h_{2}\right) x_{2} \otimes\left(r_{n}+h_{n}\right) x_{n}, a_{3}$ is also a chain object.

- Object subtraction rule $a_{3}=a_{1}-a_{2}$ :

The difference of a1 and $a_{i 2}$ is $a_{1}-a_{2}=\left(r_{1}-h_{1}\right) * x_{1} \otimes\left(r_{2}-h_{2}\right) * x_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(r_{n}-h_{n}\right)$ * $x_{n}, a_{3}$ is also a chain object.

- Object crossover rule $\left(a_{1} \$_{t} a_{2}\right)$ :

Given a cross point $t$, $\operatorname{cross} a_{1}=r_{1} * x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t} * x_{t} \otimes r_{t+1} * x_{t+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} * x_{n}$ and $a_{2}=h_{1} * x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{t} * x_{t} \otimes h_{t+1} * x_{t+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * x_{n}$, the obtained objects are $a_{1}=r_{1} * x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t} * x_{t} \otimes h_{t+1} * x_{t+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * x_{n}$ and $a_{2}=h_{1} * x_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{t} * x_{t}$ $\otimes r_{t+1} * x_{t+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} * x_{n}$.

- Object variation rule a: $r_{t}^{*} x_{t} \rightarrow r_{t}^{\prime} * x_{t}^{\prime}$ :

Given a variation point $t, a$ varies to $r_{1} * x_{1} \otimes r_{2} * x_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t}^{\prime} * x_{t}^{\prime} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} * x_{n}$. Note that $x_{t}$ and $x_{t}^{\prime}$ can be the same one.

- Extended object variation rule $a: r_{t_{1}} * x_{t_{1}} \otimes r_{t_{2}} * x_{t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t_{m}} * x_{t_{m}} \rightarrow r_{t_{1}}^{\prime} * x_{t_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes r_{t_{2}}^{\prime}$ $* x_{t_{2}}^{\prime} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t_{m}}^{\prime} * x_{t_{m}}^{\prime}$ :

Given $m(m \geq 1)$ variation points $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}, a$ varies to $r_{t} * x_{t} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t_{1}}^{\prime} * x_{t_{1}}^{\prime} \otimes \ldots$ $\otimes r_{t_{2}}^{\prime} * x_{t_{2}}^{\prime} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{t_{m}}^{\prime} * x_{t_{m}}^{\prime} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{n} * x_{n}$.

### 2.3.2 Rules on membranes

For chain membrane $\sigma_{q+1}=r_{(q+1) 1} * \sigma_{(q+1) 1} \otimes r_{(q+1) 2} * \sigma_{(q+1) 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{(q+1) n} * \sigma_{(q+1) n}$ and its child membrane $\sigma_{q}=r_{q 1} * \sigma_{q 1} \otimes r_{q 2} * \sigma_{q 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes r_{q n} * \sigma_{q n}$ :

- Parent-child communication rule $\left[\sigma_{q}, \sigma_{q+1}\right]:(a, u p) ;\left(b^{\prime}\right.$, in $) \rightarrow(b$, down $) ;\left(a^{\prime}\right.$, in $)$ or $\left[\sigma_{q+1}, \sigma_{q}\right]:(b, d o w n) ;\left(a^{\prime}\right.$, in $) \rightarrow(a, u p) ;\left(b^{\prime}\right.$, in $), a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime} \in O^{*}:$
Object $a$ in $\sigma q$ evolves to $a^{\prime}$ and enters its parent membrane $\sigma_{q+1}$, at the same time, $b$ in $\sigma_{q+1}$ evolves to $b^{\prime}$ and enters its child membrane $\sigma_{q}$. Note that for the skin membrane, its parent membrane is the environment.
- Extended parent-child communication rule $\left[\sigma_{q 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{q n}, \sigma_{q+1}\right]:\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, u p\right) ;\left(b_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $b_{n}$, in $) \rightarrow(b$, down $) ;\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right.$, in $)$ or $\left[\sigma_{q+1}, \sigma_{q 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{q n}\right]:(b$, down $) ;\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right.$, in $)$ $\rightarrow\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, u p\right) ;\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right.$, in $), a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}, b, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in O:$
Objects $a_{1}, \ldots$, an in $\sigma_{q 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{q n}$ evolve to $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}$ and enter their parent membrane $\sigma_{q+1}$, at the same time, $b$ in $\sigma_{q+1}$ evolves to $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ and enters its child membranes $\sigma_{q 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{q n}$.


### 2.4 The system computational process

Rules are executed in non-deterministic maximally parallel manner in each membrane, i.e., at any step, if more than one rule can be executed but the objects in the membrane can only support some of them, a maximal number of rules will be executed. Each P system contains a global clock as the timer, and the execution time of one rule is set to a time unit. The computation halts if no rule can be executed in the whole system. The computational results are represented by the types and numbers of specified objects in a
specified membrane. Because objects in a P system evolve in maximally parallel, the system computes very efficiently.

## 3 Computational power and efficiency analysis

### 3.1 Computational power analysis

The computational power of the chain P systems is analysed by simulating the register machine.

It has been proved that a register machine with three registers can generate the set of the length of the recursively enumerable language. Therefore, a register machine with three registers $M=\left(m, H, l_{0}, l_{h}, l\right)$ is considered. The generated number stores in register 1 which number will not decrease. Registers 2 and 3 are empty when the register machine halts. The following chain P system is constructed to simulate $M$.

$$
\Pi_{r}=\left(O, \mu, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, R, \rho, i_{o u t}\right)
$$

where

- $O=\{a\} \cup\{l \in H\}$
- $\mu=\left[[]_{1}[]_{2}[]_{3}\right]_{4}$
- $w_{i}=\lambda, 1 \leq i \leq 3, w_{4}=l_{0}$
- $\rho=\left\{r_{1}=r_{2}, r_{3}>r_{4}\right\}$
- $i_{\text {out }}=1$
- $R$.

In $\Pi_{r}$, membranes 1, 2 and 3 are corresponding to registers 1,2 and 3 , and the number of objects $a$ in a membrane represents the value of the corresponding register. The instructions in the register machine are simulated by rules.

For each add instruction $l_{i}:\left(A D D(r), l_{j}, l_{k}\right), r=1,2,3$, the following rules are introduced:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}:\left[\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{r}\right]:\left(l_{i}, \text { down }\right)\left(l_{j}, \text { in }\right) \rightarrow(\lambda, \text { up })(\text { a, in }) \\
& r_{2}:\left[\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{r}\right]:\left(l_{i}, \text { down }\right)\left(l_{k}, \text { in }\right) \rightarrow(\lambda, \text { up })(a, \text { in })
\end{aligned}
$$

The two rules can simulate the add instruction. At one step, $r_{1}$ or $r_{2}$ is chosen non-deterministically to execute. If $r_{1}$ is chosen, $l_{i}$ evolves to $a$ and enters its child membrane $\sigma_{r}$, and $l_{j}$ enters $\sigma_{4}$ at the same step. Through this rule, the number of $a$ in membrane $r$ increases by 1 , and the next instruction changes to $l_{j}$. Similarly, if $r_{2}$ is chosen, the number of $a$ in membrane $r$ increases by 1 , and the next instruction changes to $l_{k}$.

For each sub instruction $l_{i}:\left(\operatorname{SUB}(r), l_{j}, l_{k}\right), r=1,2,3$, the following rules are introduced:

$$
r_{3}:\left[\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{r}\right]:\left(l_{i}, \text { down }\right)\left(l_{j}, \text { in }\right) \rightarrow(\text { a, up })(\lambda, \text { in })
$$

$$
r_{4}:\left[\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{r}\right]:\left(l_{i}, \text { down }\right)\left(l_{k}, \text { in }\right) \rightarrow(\lambda, \text { up })(\lambda, \text { in })
$$

The two rules can simulate the sub instruction. At one step, if the number of $a$ in membrane $r$ is not $0, r_{3}$ executes. Object $l_{i}$ is dissolved, and $a$ evolves to $l_{j}$ and enters $\sigma_{4}$ at the same step. Through this rule, the number of a decreases by 1 , and the next instruction changes to $l_{j}$. If the number of $a$ in membrane $r$ is $0, r_{3}$ can not execute, and $r_{4}$ obtains the chance to execute. Object $l_{i}$ is dissolved, and $l_{k}$ enters $\sigma_{4}$ at the same step. The number of $a$ in membrane $r$ is still 0 , and the next instruction changes to $l_{k}$.

The halt instruction is simulated when $l_{h}$ appears in membrane 4.
The chain P system $\Pi_{r}$ simulates the register machine $M$, therefore, $N(M)=N\left(\Pi_{r}\right)$.

### 3.2 Computational efficiency analysis

Uniform solutions to two NP problems (SAT problem and HPP) working in a deterministic way are used to show the computational efficiency of the chain P systems without the expansion of membranes.

### 3.2.1 SAT problem

SAT (the satisfiability of conjunctive normal form expression) problem is one of the most typical NP-complete problems. For a Boolean variable set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, a literal $l_{i}$ is $x_{i}$ or $\neg x_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A clause $C_{i}$ is a disjunction of literals $C_{i}=l_{n_{1}} \vee l_{n_{2}} \vee \ldots \vee l_{n_{r}}, 1 \leq$ $r \leq n$. A conjunctive normal form ( CNF , for short) is a conjunction of clauses $C_{1} \wedge C_{2} \wedge$ $\ldots \wedge C_{m}$. An assignment is a mapping $X \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ from each variable $x_{i}$ to its value (value 1 represents true and value 0 represents false.). For example, $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$, the conjunctive normal form is $\left(x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1} \vee x_{3}\right)$. The $x_{1} \vee \neg x_{2}$ and $x_{1} \vee x_{3}$ are the two clauses. The first clause contains two literals $x_{1}$ and $\neg x_{2}$, and the second clause contains two literals $x_{1}$ and $x_{3}$. If an assignment of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ can be found, which makes at least one literal true in each clause and then makes all m clauses true, this SAT problem is satisfiable. Otherwise, this SAT problem is unsatisfiable. In the above example, let $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=1$, the value of the conjunctive normal form is $(1 \vee 0) \wedge(1 \vee 0)=1 \wedge 1=1$. Therefore, the SAT problem is satisfiable.

The formal definition of SAT problem is as follows:

- Problem 1 - NAME: SAT.

Instance: A set of clauses $C=\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}\right\}$, which is built on a Boolean variable set $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$.

Question: Is there an assignment of Boolean variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ that can make the values of all clauses true?
$\operatorname{SAT}(n, m)$ denotes the set of all instances of the SAT problem having $n$ variables and $m$ clauses. In this section, a uniform solution working in a deterministic way is constructed by the chain P systems, which can solve all $\operatorname{SAT}(n, m)$ problems in linear time.

The instance parameters need to enter a chain P system, therefore the CNF needs to be encoded as object $a=d_{11} * a_{11} \otimes d_{12} * a_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{1 n} * a_{1 n} * d_{21} * a_{21} \otimes d_{22} * a_{22} \otimes \ldots$ $\otimes d_{2 n} * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m 1} * a_{m 1} \otimes d_{m 2} * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m n} * a_{m n}$. The coefficient $d_{i j}$ of $a_{i j}$ has three values: 0,1 and -1 . The value 0 represents the $i^{\text {th }}$ clause does not contain the literal
$x_{j}$ or $\neg x_{j}$, and the value 1 (resp. -1 ) represents the $i^{\text {th }}$ clause contains the literal $x_{j}$ (resp. $\neg x_{j}$ ).

The formal definition of the chain P system for $\operatorname{SAT}(n, m)$ problems is as follows.

$$
\Pi_{S A T}=\left(O, \mu, w, R, \rho, i_{\text {in }}, i_{o u t}\right)
$$

where

- $O=\left\{a_{j i}, v_{i}, s_{j}, \varphi\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$
- $\mu=\left[{ }_{1}\right]_{1}$
- $w=\lambda$
- $\rho=\left\{r_{1}>r_{2}\right\}$
- $i_{i n}=1$
- $i_{\text {out }}=1$
- $\quad R$.

Other objects used in $\Pi_{S A T}$ are explained. Object $v=h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}$ shows the assignment of all Boolean variables. The coefficient $h_{i}$ of $v_{i}$ has two values: 1 and -1 . The value 1 (resp. -1 ) represents the value of the variable $x_{i}$ is set to 1 (resp. 0 ). Object $s=h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \otimes d_{11} * a_{11} \otimes d_{12} * a_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{1 n} * a_{1 n} \otimes d_{21}$ $* a_{21} \otimes d_{22} * a_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{2 n} * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m 1} * a_{m 1} \otimes d_{m 2} * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m n} * a_{m n} \otimes t_{1} * s_{1}$ $\otimes t_{2} * s_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes t_{m} * s_{m}$ uses to obtain the satisfiable assignment. Object $\varphi$ has only one elementary object which is used to control the computational process.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}:\left\{r_{1.1}, r_{1.2}, r_{1.3}, r_{1.4}\right\} \\
& r_{1.1}: \\
& \left(h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}\right)_{a \varphi} \rightarrow h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \\
& \otimes\left(h_{1}+d_{11}\right) * a_{11} \otimes\left(h_{2}+d_{12}\right) * a_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}+d_{1 n}\right) * a_{1 n} \otimes\left(h_{1}+d_{21}\right) * a_{21} \\
& \otimes\left(h_{2}+d_{22}\right) * a_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}+d_{2 n}\right) * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{1}+d_{m 1}\right) * a_{m 1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+d_{m 2}\right) \\
& * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}+d_{n n}\right) * a_{m n} \otimes 0 * s_{1} \otimes 0 * s_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * s_{m} \\
& r_{1.2}: \\
& s: d * a_{i j} \otimes 0 * a_{i j} \otimes 1 * s_{1}(d= \pm 2) \\
& r_{1.3}: \\
& h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \otimes d_{11} * a_{11} \otimes d_{12} * a_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{1 n} * a_{1 n} \\
& \otimes d_{21} * a_{21} \otimes d_{22} * a_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{2 n} * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m 1} * a_{m 1} \otimes d_{m 2} * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \\
& \otimes d_{m n} * a_{m n} \otimes t_{1} * s_{1} \otimes t_{2} * s_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes t_{m} * s_{m} \rightarrow \lambda\left(t_{1} * \ldots * t_{m}=0\right) \\
& r_{1.4}: \\
& h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \otimes d_{11} * a_{11} \otimes d_{12} * a_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{1 n} * a_{1 n} \\
& \otimes d_{21} * a_{21} \otimes d_{22} * a_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{2 n} * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{m 1} * a_{m 1} \otimes d_{m 2} * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \\
& \otimes d_{m n} * a_{m n} \otimes t_{1} * s_{1} \otimes t_{2} * s_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes t_{m} * s_{m} \rightarrow h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(r_{2}:\left\{r_{2.1}, r_{2.2}, \ldots, r_{2 . n}\right\}\)
\(r_{2.1}:\)
\(h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \rightarrow h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n},-h_{1} * v_{1}\)
\(\otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}\left(h_{i}= \pm 1,1 \leq i \leq n\right)\)
\(r_{2.2}:\)
\(h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \rightarrow h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}, h_{1} * v_{1}-h_{2}\)
\(* v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}\left(h_{i}= \pm 1,1 \leq i \leq n\right)\)
\(r_{2 . n}:\)
\(h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n} \rightarrow h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}, h_{1} * v_{1}\)
\(\otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots-h_{n} * v_{n}, \varphi\left(h_{i}= \pm 1,1 \leq i \leq n\right)\)
```

Computation begins when $v=v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ and $a$ enter membrane 1. Object $v=v_{1} \otimes$ $v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ means the values of all $x_{i}$ are set to 1 in the given assignment.

## Generating stage

The priority of $r_{1}$ is higher than that of $r_{2}$, however, the promoter $\varphi$ is not in the membrane in the beginning, therefore, $r_{2}$ executes firstly. The chain rule $r_{2}$ contains $n$ sub-rules. At step $1, r_{2.1}$ divides the initial $v$ into $v_{1}=v_{1} v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ and $v_{2}=-v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes$ $\ldots \otimes v_{n}$ showing that the value of $x_{1}$ can be 1 or -1 in an assignment. Sub-rule $r_{2.2}$ divides $v_{1}$ into $v_{11}=v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ and $v_{12}=v_{1} \otimes-v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$, and divides $v_{2}$ into $v_{2}=-v_{1}$ $\otimes v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ and $v_{2}=-v_{1} \otimes-v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}$ at step 2 . This shows the value of $x_{2}$ can be 1 or -1 in an assignment. And so on, until $r_{2 . n}$ divides each $h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} *$ $v_{n}$ into $h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}$ and $h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} * v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes-h_{n} * v_{n}$ showing that the value of $x_{n}$ can be 1 or -1 in an assignment. Rule $r_{2}$ generates $2^{n}$ chain objects $v$ showing all combinations of the values of $x_{i}$. An object $\varphi$ is generated by $r_{2 . n}$ showing the generation stage is over.

## Checking stage

With $\varphi, r_{1}$ begins to execute. Each $v$ evolves to $s$ by $r_{1.1}$. In the generated $s, h_{1} * v_{1} \otimes h_{2} *$ $v_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * v_{n}$ shows the assignment of $x_{i},\left(h_{1}+d_{21}\right) * a_{21} \otimes\left(h_{2}+d_{22}\right) * a_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}\right.$ $\left.+d_{2 n}\right) * a_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{1}+d_{m 1}\right) * a_{m 1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+d_{m 2}\right) * a_{m 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}+d_{n n}\right) * a_{m n}$ shows the relationship between the assignment and the CNF, and $0 * s_{1} \otimes 0 * s_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * s_{m}$ shows the value of each clause in the initial. The coefficient of $v_{i}$ has two values: 1 and -1 , and the coefficient of $a_{i j}$ has three values: 0,1 and -1 . Therefore, the relationship between the values of the two coefficients and the satisfiability of the corresponding literal is as follows:

- $h_{j}=1, d_{i j}=1, h_{j}+d_{i j}=2$, the value of literal $x_{j}$ in clause $C_{i}$ is true
- $h_{j}=1, d_{i j}=0, h_{j}+d_{i j}=1$, the clause $C_{i}$ dose not contain the literal $x_{j}$
- $h_{j}=1, d_{i j}=-1, h_{j}+d_{i j}=0$, the value of literal $x_{j}$ in clause $C_{i}$ is false
- $h_{j}=-1, d_{i j}=1, h_{j}+d_{i j}=0$, the value of literal $x_{j}$ in clause $C_{i}$ is false
- $h_{j}=-1, d_{i j}=0, h_{j}+d_{i j}=-1$, the clause $C_{i}$ dose not contain the literal $x_{j}$
- $h_{j}=-1, d_{i j}=-1, h_{j}+d_{i j}=-2$, the value of literal $x_{j}$ in clause $C_{i}$ is true.

Therefore, the certain literal $x_{j}$ in clause $C_{i}$ is true when the coefficient value of $a_{i j}$ is 2 or -2 ; false otherwise.

Sub-rule $r_{1.2}$ changes the coefficient value of $s_{i}$ from 0 to 1 if the coefficient value of $a_{i j}$ is 2 or -2 to show that $C_{i}$ is true. A SAT problem is satisfiable when all $m$ clauses are true, i.e., $s$ with the coefficient values of all $s_{i}$ equal to 1 needs to be found. Sub-rule $r_{1.3}$ dissolves $s$ with the coefficient values of several $s_{i}$ equal to 0 , and $r_{1.4}$ evolves $s$ to $v$ abstracting the assignment.

Computational resources and complexity

- the initial chain objects number: $2 \in \Theta(1)$
- the membranes number: $1 \in \Theta(1)$
- the number of rules: $2 \in \Theta(1)$
- the number of sub-rules: $n+4 \in \Theta(n)$
- the computational steps: $n+4 \in \Theta(n)$.

The chain P system solves the SAT problem in linear time with linear computational resources, and all solutions can be obtained through it. The traditional P systems solve the SAT problem by membrane division rules, while the chain P system realises the computational process without the expansion of membranes (Song et al., 2015).

### 3.2.2 Hamilton path problem

HPP is one of the most typical NP-complete problems. The formal definition of HPP is as follows.

- Problem 2 - NAME: HPP.

Instance: A graph $\gamma=(N, E)$, where $N=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ is the set of nodes and $E=\left\{e_{i j}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$ is the set of edges.
Question: Is there a Hamilton path in $\gamma$ which length is $n$ visiting each node from $\gamma$ exactly once?
$H P P(n)$ denotes the set of all instances of the HPP having $n$ nodes. In this section, a uniform solution working in a deterministic way is constructed by the chain P systems, which can solve all $\operatorname{HPP}(n)$ in linear time.

The instance parameters need to enter a chain P system, therefore the edges in $\gamma$ need to be encoded as object $e_{j 1 j 2}^{\prime}$ which represents there is an edge between $a_{j 1}$ and $a_{j 2}$.

The formal definition of the chain P system for $\operatorname{HPP}(n)$ problems is as follows:

$$
\Pi_{H P P}=\left(O, \mu, w, R, i_{i n}, i_{o u t}\right)
$$

- $O=\left\{\beta_{q}, V_{i j}, \alpha_{i t}, e_{j \mid j 2}, 1 \leq q \leq n+1,1 \leq i, j \leq n, 0 \leq t \leq n\right\}$
- $\mu=\left[1[2]_{2}\right]_{1}$
- $w_{1}=w_{2}=\lambda$
- $\quad i_{i n}=1$
- $i_{\text {out }}=2$
- $R$.

Other objects used in $\Pi_{H P P}$ are explained. Object $v=\beta_{j} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}}$ $\otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}}$ is constructed by $2 n+1$ components, where $\beta_{j}$ represents the $j^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is going to be chosen, $V_{j i_{j}}$ represents the $j^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is $a_{i j}, \alpha_{j t_{j}}$ represents the occurrence number of $a_{j}$ in a path is $t_{j}$. Object $e_{j_{1} j_{2}}$ represents that there is not an edge between $a_{j 1}$ and $a_{j_{2}}$. Object $s=V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}}$ represents the final Hamilton path, where $V_{j i_{j}}$ represents the $j^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is $a_{i j}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}: r_{1}:\left\{r_{1.1}, r_{1.2}, \ldots, r_{1.5}\right\} \\
& r_{1.1}: \\
& \beta_{j} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{j i_{j}} \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}} \rightarrow \beta_{j+1} \\
& \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{j 1} \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1\left(t_{1}+1\right)} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}}, \beta_{j+1} \\
& \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes B_{j 2} \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2\left(t_{2}+1\right)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}}, \\
& \ldots \\
& \beta_{j+1} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{j n} \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n\left(t_{n}+1\right)} \\
& r_{1.2}: \\
& ()_{-e_{j 1}^{\prime} j_{2}} \rightarrow e_{j 1 j 2} \\
& r_{1.3}: \\
& \left(\beta_{n+1} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}}\right)_{e_{i j j_{j}+1}} \rightarrow \lambda \\
& r_{1.4}: \\
& \beta_{n+1} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{i 0} \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}} \rightarrow \lambda \\
& r_{1.5}: \\
& {\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right]:\left(\beta_{n+1} \otimes V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes \alpha_{1 t_{1}} \otimes \alpha_{2 t_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n t_{n}}, \text { down }\right) ;(\lambda, i n)} \\
& \rightarrow(\lambda, i n) ;\left(V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}}, i n\right) \\
& \left(1 \leq i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}, j \leq n, 0 \leq t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n} \leq n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_{2}$ :
$\lambda$
Computation begins when $v=\beta_{1} \otimes V_{10} \otimes V_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{10} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}$ and $e_{j_{i} j_{2}}$ enter membrane 1. Object $v=\beta_{1} \otimes V_{10} \otimes V_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{10} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}$ means each point in a path is not chosen and the occurrence number of each point is zero in the initial. The points in a path begin to be chosen from the first point.

## Generating stage

The chain rule $r_{1}$ contains four sub-rules. Sub-rule $r_{1.1}$ executes $n$ times to generate all candidate paths. At step 1, the initial $v$ is divided into $v_{1}=\beta_{2} \otimes V_{11} \otimes V_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0}$ $\otimes \alpha_{11} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}, v_{2}=\beta_{2} \otimes V_{12} \otimes V_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{10} \otimes \alpha_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}, \cdots$, $v_{n}=\beta_{2} \otimes V_{1 n} \otimes V_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{10} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 1}$ showing that the first point in a path can be $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$. At step $2, v_{1}$ is divided into $v_{11}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0}$ $\otimes \alpha_{12} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}, v_{12}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes V_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{11} \otimes \alpha_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}, \ldots$, $v_{1 n}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes V_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{11} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 1}$ showing that the second point in a path can be $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Similarly, $v_{i}$ is divided into $v_{i 1}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{i 1} \otimes \ldots$ $\otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}, v_{i 2}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{i 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{11} \otimes \alpha_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 0}$, $\cdots, v_{i n}=\beta_{3} \otimes V_{11} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{i n} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 0} \otimes \alpha_{11} \otimes \alpha_{20} \otimes \ldots \otimes \alpha_{n 1}$. And so on, until the $n^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is chosen. Sub-rule $r_{1.1}$ generates $n^{n}$ chain objects $v$ showing all combinations of paths.

## Checking stage

Sub-rule $r_{1.2}$ generates $e_{j_{i} j_{2}}$ which shows the edges not belonging to the graph. Sub-rule $r_{1.3}$ dissolves $v$ which contains the edges not belonging to the graph. Sub-rule $r_{1.4}$ dissolves $v$ which does not contain all nodes. In $v, \alpha_{i_{i}}$ shows the occurrence number of $a_{i}$ in this path is $t_{i}$. If a certain $t_{i}$ is $0, a_{i}$ dose not in this path and the corresponding $v$ is dissolved.

## Output stage

Sub-rule $r_{1.5}$ deals with the remaining $v$ which contains the final solutions. The corresponding $s$ enters membrane 2 which stores the final results.

Computational resources and complexity

- the initial objects number: $1+m \in \Theta(m)$
- the membranes number: $2 \in \Theta(1)$
- the number of rules: $1 \in \Theta(1)$
- the number of sub-rules: $5 \in \Theta(1)$
- the computational steps: $n+3 \in \Theta(n)$.
where $m$ is number of the edges in the graph. The chain P system solves the HPP in linear time with linear computational resources, and all solutions can be obtained through this system. The traditional P systems solve the HPP by membrane division rules, while the chain P system realises the computation process without the expansion of membranes (Liu et al., 2015b).


## 4 The graph clustering based on the chain $P$ systems

In this section, a general clustering problem that database $X=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ is clustered into $k$ clusters is considered. Data points are transformed into nodes and dissimilarities
among the data points are transformed into the edge weights of complete undirected graph. The smaller the weight is, the more similar the two data points are. The clustering problem is then transformed into a graph theory problem of finding the shortest path which length is $n$ visiting each node from the graph exactly once.

The process of the algorithm is as follows: A shortest path that connects all nodes is found; the edges with the $k-1$ biggest weights are selected then; the path is divided into $k$ parts from the edges selected above finally. The $k$ parts are the $k$ clusters.

The definition of the dissimilarity matrix is given informally firstly. Matrix $D_{n n}^{\prime}$ between any two data points is:

$$
D_{n n}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{11}^{\prime} f_{12}^{\prime} \ldots  \tag{1}\\
f_{11}^{\prime} f_{1 n}^{\prime} \\
f_{22}^{\prime} \\
\ldots \\
\ldots \\
f_{2 n}^{\prime} \\
f_{n 1}^{\prime} f_{n 2}^{\prime}
\end{array} \ldots f_{n n}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where, $f_{i j}^{\prime}$ is the dissimilarity between $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$. Specific calculational method is selected depending on the data type.

The matrix elements $f_{i j}^{\prime}$ are changed to integer $f_{i j}$ by expanding 100 times and rounding for membrane computing. By this, the dissimilarity matrix $D_{n n}$ is obtained:

$$
D_{n n}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{11} f_{12} \ldots f_{1 n}  \tag{2}\\
f_{21} f_{22} \ldots f_{2 n} \\
\ldots \\
f_{n 1} f_{n 2} \ldots \\
f_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The instance parameters need to enter a chain $P$ system, therefore the weight among the nodes needs to be encoded as object $f=d_{11} * f_{11} \otimes d_{12} * f_{12} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{1} n * f_{1 n} \otimes d_{21} * f_{21} \otimes$ $d_{22} * f_{22} \otimes \ldots d_{2 n} * f_{2 n} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{n 1} * f_{n 1} \otimes d_{n 2} * f_{n 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{n n} * f_{n n}$, where $d_{i j} * f_{i j}$ represents the weight between $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ is $d_{i j}$.

### 4.1 The chain P system for improving the graph clustering

The formal definition of the chain P system for the graph clustering is as follows.

$$
\Pi_{\text {graph }}=\left(O, \mu, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, \rho, i_{\text {in }}, i_{\text {out }}\right)
$$

where

- $O=\left\{V_{i j}, S, \alpha_{i}, p, f_{i j}, U_{i j}, c_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$
- $\mu=\left[1[3]_{3}[2]_{2}\right]_{1}$
- $w_{1}=w_{2}=\lambda, w_{3}=\left\{x=V_{11} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes 0 * S \otimes 0 * \alpha_{1} \otimes 0 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0\right.$ * $\left.\alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k)^{*} p, f\right\}$
- $\rho=\left\{r_{i, j}>r_{i, t} i=1,3, j<t\right\}$
- $i_{i n}=3$
- $i_{\text {out }}=2$
- $\quad R$.

Other objects used in $\Pi_{g r a p h}$ are explained. Object $x=V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes d * S \otimes h_{1}$ $* \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes h * p$ is constructed by $2 n+2$ components, where $V_{i j}$ represents the $i^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is $a_{j}, d * S$ represents the weight sum of a path is $d, h_{i} * \alpha_{i}$ represents the occurrence frequency of $a_{i}$ is $h_{i}, h^{*} p$ represents $h$ times mergence of the clusters are needed in a path. Object $y=h_{i i_{2}} * U_{i i_{2}} \otimes h_{i 2 i_{3}} * U_{i 2 i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}}$ $\otimes h * p$ is constructed by $n+1$ components, where $h_{i j} * U_{i j}$ represents the weight between $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ is $h_{i j}$, the meaning of $h * p$ is the same with that above. Object $c_{i j i_{j+1}}$ only constructs by one component, which represents that $a_{i_{j}}$ and $a_{i_{j+1}}$ belong to the same cluster.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}: \\
& r_{1.1} \text { : } \\
& h_{i l i_{2}} * U_{i i_{2}} \otimes h_{i i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots-1 * U_{i_{j} i_{j+1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes g * p \rightarrow h_{i l i_{2}} \\
& * U_{i_{1} i_{2}} \otimes h_{i 2 i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots-2 * U_{i_{j} i_{j+1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes(g-1) * p, c_{i j i_{j+1}} \\
& R_{1} \text { : } \\
& r_{1.1} \text { : } \\
& h_{i i_{2}} * U_{i i_{2}} \otimes h_{i l i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots-1 * U_{i_{j j} j_{j+1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1 i_{n}}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes g * p \rightarrow h_{i l i_{2}} \\
& * U_{i i_{2}} \otimes h_{i 2 i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots-2 * U_{i_{j} i_{j+1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes(g-1) * p, c_{i j j_{j+1}} \\
& r_{1.2}: \\
& h_{i i i_{2}} * U_{i i i_{2}} \otimes h_{i \mid i 3} * U_{i 2 i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes g * p \rightarrow\left(h_{i i i_{2}}-1\right) * U_{i \mid i 2} \\
& \otimes\left(h_{i 2 i_{3}}-1\right) * U_{i i_{2}} \otimes\left(h_{i 2 i_{3}}-1\right) * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{i_{n-1} i_{n}}-1\right) * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes g * p, g \geq 1 \\
& r_{1.3}: \\
& {\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right]\left(d_{i l i_{2}} * U_{i i i_{2}} \otimes d_{i 2 i_{j}} * U_{i_{2 i} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1 i_{n}}} \otimes 0\right.} \\
& \left.* p, c_{i j_{j+1}}, \text { down }\right)(\lambda, \text { in }) \rightarrow\left(c_{i j j_{j+1}}, \text { in }\right)(\lambda, u p) \\
& R_{2} \text { : } \\
& \lambda \\
& R_{3} \text { : } \\
& r_{3.1}:\left\{r_{3.1 .1}, r_{3.1 .2}, \ldots, r_{3.1 .(n+1)}\right\} \\
& r_{\text {3.1.1. }}: \\
& V_{11} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes 0 * S \otimes 0 * \alpha_{1} \otimes 0 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p \rightarrow \\
& V_{11} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes 0 * S \otimes 1 * \alpha_{1} \otimes 0 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p, \\
& V_{12} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes 0 * S \otimes 0 * \alpha_{1} \otimes 1 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p \\
& V_{1 n} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes 0 * S \otimes 0 * \alpha_{1} \otimes 0 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(r_{3.1 .2}:\)
\(\left(V_{1 i} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes d * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p\right)_{f}\)
\(\rightarrow V_{1 i} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes\left(d+d_{i 1}\right) * S \otimes\left(h_{1}+1\right) * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n}\)
\(\otimes(n-k) * p, V_{1 i} \otimes V_{22} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes\left(d+d_{i 2}\right) * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+1\right) * \alpha_{2}\)
\(\otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p\),
\(V_{1 i} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes\left(d+d_{i n}\right) * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n}\)
\(\otimes(n-k) * p\)
...
\(r_{3.1 . n}:\)
\(\left(V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{(n-1) i_{n-1}} \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes d * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n}\right.\)
\(\otimes(n-k) * p)_{f} \rightarrow V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{(n-1) i_{n-1}} \otimes V_{n 1} \otimes\left(d+d_{i_{n-1} 1}\right) * S \otimes\left(h_{1}+1\right)\)
\(* \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p, V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{(n-1) i_{n-1}} \otimes V_{n 2}\)
\(\otimes\left(d+d_{i_{n-1}}\right) * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+1\right) * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p\),
\(V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{(n-1) i_{n-1}} \otimes V_{n n} \otimes\left(d+d_{i_{n-1} n}\right) * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots\)
\(\otimes\left(h_{n}+1\right) * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p\)
\(r_{3.2}: h_{n}\)
\(V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes d * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k)\)
\(* p \rightarrow \lambda, h_{1} * h_{2} * \ldots * h_{n}=0\)
\(r_{3.3}:\left\{r_{3.3 .1}, r_{3.3 .2}\right\}\)
\(r_{3.3 .1}:\)
\(\left[\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right]\left(\left(V_{1 i_{1}} \otimes V_{2 i_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n i_{n}} \otimes 0 * S \otimes h_{1} * \alpha_{1} \otimes h_{2} * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * \alpha_{n} \otimes\right.\right.\)
\((n-k) * p) f, u p)(\lambda\), in \() \rightarrow(\lambda\), down \()\left(d_{i_{i} i_{2}} * U_{i_{1} i_{2}} \otimes d_{i_{2} i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{i_{n-1} i_{n}}\right.\)
\(\left.* U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} \otimes(n-k) * p, i n\right)\)
\(r_{3.3 .2}:\)
\(x \rightarrow \lambda\)
\(r_{3.4}\) :
\(x: d * S \rightarrow(d-1) * S, d>0\)
```

Computation begins when $f$ enters membrane 3 .

## Generating stage

In the initial, $x=V_{11} \otimes V_{21} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n 10} \otimes S_{0} * \alpha_{1} \otimes 0 * \alpha_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * \alpha_{n} \otimes(n-k) * p$ is in membrane 3. $V_{i, 1}$ represents that all points in a path are set to $a_{1}, 0 * S$ represents the
weight sum is set to 0 because no path is formed in the initial, $0 * \alpha_{i}$ represents that the occurrence frequency of each data point in the dataset is set to 0 , and $(n-k) * p$ represents $n-k$ times mergence of the clusters are needed in a path. Rule $r_{3.1}$ generates all solution space. Sub-rule $r_{3.1 .1}$ generates $n$ different $f$. Each $f$ carries $V_{11}, V_{12}, \ldots, V_{1 n}$ respectively, which means the first point in a path has n choices: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$. At the same time, the corresponding coefficient of $\alpha_{i}$ adds one. Sub-rule $r_{3.1 .2}$ is executed then. Each $f$ generates $n$ different $f$ again. Each $f$ carries $V_{21}, V_{22}, \ldots, V_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ respectively, which means the second point in a path has $n$ choices: $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$. At the same time, the corresponding coefficient of $\alpha_{i}$ adds one. And so on, until the $n^{\text {th }}$ point in a path is chosen. Rule $r_{3.1}$ generates $n^{n}$ objects $f$ showing all combinations of paths.

## Shortest path search stage

The path with the minimum weight sum is found which is called the shortest path. The weight sum of each path is recorded by the coefficient of $S$. When all weights of a path are add up, the coefficient of $S$ in all $f$ decreases simultaneously by $r_{3.4}$ until $0 * S$ appearing. The $f$ with $0 * S$ is changed to $y=d_{i i_{2}} * U_{i_{1} i_{2}} \otimes d_{i_{2} i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}} \otimes \ldots \otimes d_{i_{n-1} i_{n}} * U_{i_{n-1} i_{n}}$ $\otimes(n-k) * p$ and enters $\sigma_{1}$ by $r_{3.3 .1}$, other $f$ are dissolved by $r_{3.3 .2}$.

## Division stage

The $n$ points in a path are divided into $k$ parts from the edges with the $k-1$ biggest weights according to the preset number of clusters $k$. This can make the points in the same cluster closer, and the points in different clusters more distant. All coefficients of $U_{i_{i j} j_{j+1}}$ reduce at the same time by $r_{1.2}$. When the coefficient of a certain $U_{i j j_{j+1}}$ equals to -1 , an object $c_{i j_{j+1}}$ is produced by $r_{1.1}$. The coefficient of $U_{i_{j} j_{j+1}}$ reduces until $y=d_{i i_{2}} * U_{i i i_{2}} \otimes d_{i 2 i_{3}} * U_{i 2 i_{3}}+\ldots+d_{i 6 i_{7}} * U_{i 6 i_{7}}+5 * p$, are produced. These $c_{i j i_{j+1}}$ show that the weight between $a_{i_{j}}$ and $a_{i_{j+1}}$ is one of the $n-k$ shortest weights among all. Therefore, $a_{i_{j}}$ and $a_{i j+1}$ belong to the same cluster. All $c_{i_{j i j+1}}$ enter membrane 2 by $r_{1.3}$.

### 4.2 Time complexity analysis

The time complexity of this algorithm is $n+1+s_{\min }+1+d+1=O\left(n+s_{\min }+d\right)$, where, $s_{\text {min }}$ is the value of the minimum weight sum in all paths, and $d$ is the $(n-k)^{\text {th }}$ minimum weights in the shortest path.

The chain P system solves the graph clustering in linear time.

### 4.3 Test and analysis

To illustrate how the P system runs specifically, the following simple example is considered: cluster 7 integral points $(1,1),(2,1),(2,2),(3,4),(4,2),(4,3),(5,4)$ into two clusters. Obviously, $n=7, k=2$.

The dissimilarity matrix $D_{77}$ is constructed firstly. In this example, the Euclidean distance is used as the dissimilarity.

$$
D_{77}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 13 & 10 & 13 & 25  \tag{3}\\
1 & 0 & 1 & 10 & 5 & 8 & 18 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 13 \\
13 & 10 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\
10 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\
13 & 8 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
25 & 18 & 13 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Rules $r_{3.1}$ and $r_{3.2}$ are executed firstly generating 7! objects $f$ which means all combinations of paths.

Rules $r_{3.3}$ and $r_{3.4}$ find the $f$ representing the shortest path. This $f$ is changed to $y=d_{i_{1} i_{2}} * U_{i_{1} i_{2}} \otimes d_{i_{2} i_{3}} * U_{i_{2} i_{3}}+\ldots+d_{i_{6} i_{7}} * U_{i_{6} i_{7}}+5 * p$, and enters $\sigma_{1}$, other $f$ are dissolved. In this example, one of the four shortest paths is obtained randomly:

```
7 7-4-6-5-3-2-1
4 4-7-6-5-3-2-1
3 1-2-3-5-6-4-7
4 1-2-3-5-6-7-4.
```

Rules $r_{1.1}, r_{1.2}$ and $r_{1.3}$ generate $c_{i j_{j+1}}$ which means $a_{i j}$ and $a_{i j+1}$ are in one cluster, and put them into membrane 2 . If the first path is chosen, the two clusters can be $\{4,6,7\}$, $\{1,2,3,5\}$ or $\{4,5,6,7\},\{1,2,3\}$ because the weights between 6,5 and 5,3 are the same. Similarly, if the second path is chosen, the two clusters can be $\{4,6,7\},\{1,2,3$, $5\}$ or $\{4,5,6,7\},\{1,2,3\}$ because the weights between 6,5 and 5,3 are the same. If the third path is chosen, the two clusters are $\{4,6,7\},\{1,2,3,5\}$. If the fourth path is chosen, the two clusters are $\{4,6,7\},\{1,2,3,5\}$.

## 5 The ROCK clustering based on the chain $P$ systems

Boolean or categorical type database consists of transactions. Each transaction consists of some items and each item has a number of fixed values. In this section, a clustering problem for Boolean or categorical type database is considered: database $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.p_{n}\right\}$ with each transaction has $m$ items is clustered into $k$ clusters.

Three notions are introduced firstly (Guha et al., 2000).

- Neighbours: The neighbours of a data point are the data points which are similar to it. In this paper, the similarity between two transactions is set as the number of the same value items. If the number is larger than or equal to a certain threshold, the two transactions are called neighbours.
- Links: $\operatorname{Links}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$ is the number of the common neighbours between transactions $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$. The larger the $\operatorname{Links}\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$, the more common neighbours they have.
- Goodness measure: Goodness measure evaluates the similarity between clusters. link [ $C_{i}, C_{j}$ ] defines the number of links between clusters $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$, i.e., link $\left[C_{i}, C_{j}\right]$
$=\sum_{p_{q} \in C_{i}, p_{r} \in C_{j}} \operatorname{links}\left(p_{q}, p_{r}\right)$. In this paper, the goodness measure for merging clusters $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is defined as follow: $g\left(C_{i}, C_{j}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{link}\left[C_{i}, C_{j}\right]}{n_{i}+n_{j}}$. This goodness measure is equivalent to the average number of links in the two clusters. The pair of clusters with the maximum goodness measure is the best pair of clusters to be merged.
The process of the algorithm is as follows: Each transaction is seen as a cluster initially. The two clusters with the largest goodness measure are merged iteratively until $k$ clusters are left.

The instance parameters need to enter a chain P system, therefore the database needs to be encoded as object $p=h_{1} * a_{1} \otimes h_{2} * a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{m} * a_{m}, h_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ representing the database, where $1 * a_{i}$ (resp. $0 * a_{i}$ ) represents the $i^{\text {th }}$ item is contained (resp. not contained) in a transaction; and the number of clusters needs to be encoded as object $\xi$ showing the number of clusters needs to be obtained by its number.

### 5.1 The chain P system for improving the ROCK clustering

The formal definition of the chain P system for the ROCK clustering is as follows.

$$
\Pi_{R O C K}=\left(O, \mu, w, R, \rho, i_{\text {in }}, i_{\text {out }}\right)
$$

- $O=\left\{a_{i}, \xi, t_{j}, \gamma_{j}, \delta_{i l i_{2}}, \delta_{i i_{2}}^{\prime}, c_{i l i_{2}}, c_{i l i_{i} i_{j}}, d_{i i_{2}}, \gamma, \gamma^{\prime}, C_{i l i_{2}}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$
- $\mu=\left[{ }_{1}\right]_{1}$
- $w=\left\{1 * t_{1} \otimes 0 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{1}, 0 * t_{1} \otimes 1 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $0 * t_{1} \otimes 0^{*} t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{n}, 0 * c_{i j 1} \otimes 0 * c_{i j 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * c_{i j n} \otimes 0 * \delta_{i j} \otimes 2 *$ $\left.\gamma, C_{00}\right\}$
- $\rho=\left\{r_{i}>r_{j}, i<j\right\}$
- $i_{i n}=1$
- $i_{\text {out }}=1$
- $\quad R$.

Other objects used in $\Pi_{R O C K}$ are explained. Object $X=f_{1} * t_{1} \otimes f_{2} * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{n} * t_{n} \otimes * q$ $\otimes \gamma_{i}$ represents the order number and the total number of the transactions in $C_{i}$. Object $T=h_{1} * a_{1} \otimes h 2 * a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{m} * a_{m} \otimes d * \delta_{i j}$ is an auxiliary variable, where $h_{f} * a_{f}$ represents the total number of the $f^{\text {th }}$ item in transactions $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ is $h_{f}$, and $d * \delta_{i j}$ represents the similarity between $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ is $d$. Object $c_{i j}$ represents $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ are neighbours. Object $S=h_{1} * c_{i j 1} \otimes h_{2} * c_{i j 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * c_{i j n} \otimes d * \delta_{i j} \otimes q * \gamma$ is an auxiliary variable, where the first $n$ components represent $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ contain/do not contain the common neighbour: $p_{t}, d * \delta_{i j}$ represents the number of the common neighbours between $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ is $d$, and $q * \gamma$ represents the total number of the considered transactions is $q$. Object $D=q * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes d * \gamma^{\prime}$ represents the number of the common neighbours between $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is $q$ and the total transactions number in $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is $d$. Object $D^{\prime}=\mathrm{q} * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes d^{*} \gamma^{\prime}$ $\otimes h * d_{i j}$ represents the number of the common neighbours between $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is $q$, the
total transactions number in $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is $d$, and the goodness is $h$. Object $C_{i j}$ shows the goodness between $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ is the biggest one.

```
\(r_{1}:\left\{r_{1.1}, r_{1.2}, r_{1.3}, r_{1.4}, r_{1.5}, r_{1.6}, r_{1.7}\right\}\)
\(r_{1.1}:\)
()\(_{t i t j-T_{i j}} \rightarrow\left(h_{1}+g_{1}\right) * a_{1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+g_{2}\right) * a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{m}+g_{m}\right) * a_{m} \otimes 0 * \delta_{i j}\)
\(i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j\)
\(r_{1.2}:\)
\(p \rightarrow \lambda\)
\(r_{1.3}:\)
\(T_{i j}: 2 * a_{f} \otimes d * \delta_{i j} \rightarrow 0 * a_{f} \otimes(d+2) * \delta_{i j} \quad f, i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j\)
\(r_{1.4}\) :
\(h_{1} * a_{1} \otimes h_{2} * a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{m} * a_{m} \otimes d * \delta_{i j} \rightarrow \lambda \quad d<\theta\)
\(r_{1.5}\) :
\(h_{1} * a_{1} \otimes h_{2} * a_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{m} * a_{m} \otimes d * \delta_{i j} \rightarrow c_{i j}\)
\(r_{1.6}:\)
\(S_{i j}:\left(0 * c_{i j f} \otimes d * \delta_{i j}\right)_{c_{i} f_{j} f} \rightarrow 1 * c_{i j f} \otimes(d+1) * \delta_{i j} \quad i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j\)
\(r_{1.7}:\)
\(h_{1} * c_{i j 1} \otimes h_{2} * c_{i j 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * c_{i j n} \otimes d * \delta_{i j} \otimes q * \gamma \rightarrow d * \delta_{i j} \otimes q * \gamma, d * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes q\)
\(* \gamma^{\prime} \otimes 0 * d_{i j} \quad i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j\)
\(r_{2}:\)
\(D^{\prime}:(d+q) * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes q * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes h * d_{i j} \rightarrow d * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes q * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes(h+1) * d_{i j} \quad d \geq 0\)
\(r_{3}:\)
\(\left(d * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes q * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes 0 * d_{i j}, C_{p q}\right)_{-D^{\prime}: h * d_{i j}} \rightarrow d * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes g * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes-1 * d_{i j}, C_{i j} \quad h>0\)
\(r_{4}\) :
\(D^{\prime}: h * d_{i j} \rightarrow(h-1) * d_{i j} \quad h \geq 0\)
\(r_{5}:\left\{r_{5.1}, r_{5.2}, r_{5.3}, r_{5.4}\right\}\)
\(r_{5.1}:\)
\(\left(\xi, h_{1} * t_{1} \otimes h_{2} * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n} * t_{n} \otimes q * \gamma_{i} h_{1}^{\prime} * t_{1}+h_{2}^{\prime} * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes h_{n}^{\prime} * t_{n}\right.\)
\(\left.\otimes q^{\prime} * \gamma_{j}\right)_{C_{i j}} \rightarrow\left(h_{1}+h_{1}^{\prime}\right) * t_{1} \otimes\left(h_{2}+h_{2}^{\prime}\right) * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes\left(h_{n}+h_{n}^{\prime}\right) * t_{n} \otimes\left(q+q^{\prime}\right) * \gamma_{i}\)
\(r_{5.2}\) :
\(q * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes g * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes h * d_{i j} \rightarrow \lambda\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{5.3}: \\
& \left(q * \delta_{i t} \otimes g * \gamma, q^{\prime} * \delta_{j t} \otimes g^{\prime} * \gamma\right)_{C_{i j}} \rightarrow\left(q+q^{\prime}\right) * \delta_{i t} \otimes\left(g+g^{\prime}\right) * \gamma \\
& \cup\left(q * \delta_{i t} \otimes g * \gamma, q^{\prime} * \delta_{t j} \otimes g^{\prime} * \gamma\right)_{C_{i j}} \rightarrow\left(q+q^{\prime}\right) * \delta_{t i} \otimes\left(g+g^{\prime}\right) * \gamma \\
& r_{5.4}: \\
& \left(d * \delta_{i j} \otimes g * \gamma\right)_{-d * \delta_{j j}^{\prime} \otimes g * j^{\prime} \otimes 0 * d_{i j}} \rightarrow d * \delta_{i j} \otimes g * \gamma, d * \delta_{i j}^{\prime} \otimes g * \gamma^{\prime} \otimes 0 \\
& * d_{i j} \quad i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j
\end{aligned}
$$

In the initial, $1 * t_{1} \otimes 0 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{1}, 0 * t_{1} \otimes 1 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{2}$, $\ldots, 0 * t_{1} \otimes 0 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{n}, 0 * c_{i j} \otimes 1 * 0 \otimes c_{i j} * 2 \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * c_{i j n} \otimes 0 * \delta_{i j}$ $\otimes 2 * \gamma$ and $C_{00}$ are in membrane 1. Objects $1 * t_{1} \otimes 0 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{1}, 0 * t_{1} \otimes$ $1 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{2}, \ldots, 0 * t_{1} \otimes 0 * t_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 * t_{n} \otimes 1 * \gamma_{n}$ show each transaction is seen as one cluster in the initial, $0 * c_{i j 1} \otimes 0 * c_{i j 2} \otimes \ldots \otimes 0 * c_{i j n} \otimes 0 * \delta_{i j} \otimes$ $2 * \gamma$ shows the number of neighbours has not been obtained, and $C_{00}$ shows the two clusters need to be merged have not been obtained. Computation begins when $p$ and $\xi^{n-k}$ enter membrane 1 .

## Neighbours judging stage

Sub-rule $r_{1.1}$ generates $T_{i j}$ where the coefficient of $a_{f}$ in $T_{i j}$ is the sum of the coefficient of $a_{f}$ in $t_{i}$ and $t_{j}$. The $f^{\text {th }}$ item is the common item of $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ if the coefficient of $a_{f}$ is 2 . Sub-rule $r_{1.3}$ counts the number of the common items of $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ by the coefficient of $\delta_{i j}$. If the number of the common items of $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ is less than the previously set threshold, $T_{i j}$ is dissolved by $r_{1.4}$. Otherwise, $c_{i j}$ is generated by $r_{1.5}$ to record that $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ are neighbours.

## Links counting stage

If $c_{i f}$ and $c_{j f}$ exist at the same time, transaction $p_{f}$ is the common neighbour of $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$, the coefficient of $\delta_{i j}$ adds 1 to record this by $r_{1.6}$, i.e., the coefficient of $\delta_{i j}$ shows the number of links between $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$.

## Maximum goodness finding stage

Sub-rule $r_{1.7}$ generates $D$ and $D^{\prime}$. In $D^{\prime}$, the coefficient of $d_{i j}$ is the goodness of clusters $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ by $r_{2}$. The coefficients of all $d_{i j}$ are decreased at the same time by $r_{4}$, and the $C_{i j}$ representing the biggest goodness is obtained by $r_{3}$.

## Clustering merging stage

Rule $r_{5}$ merges clusters $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$. Sub-rule $r_{5.1}$ obtains the new cluster $C_{i}$ which contains the transactions in previous $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$. Sub-rule $r_{5.3}$ obtains the new number of common neighbours between the new $C_{i}$ and other clusters. Sub-rule $r_{5.4}$ generates the new $D^{\prime}$ which is used to measure the goodness of the new clusters.

Above process continues until only $k$ clusters are remained.

Table 1 The seven clusters obtained by the ROCK algorithm

| Cluster | The serial number of data points belonging to the corresponding cluster |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1,4,9,14,18-20,22,26,32,38,44,54,55,79,82,115,121,123,136,139,181,186$, $206,222,229,232,244,252,262,270,272,281,300,312,316,328,331,358,381$, $386,400,403,415,418,423,492,493,506,524,533,535,543,557,566,569,580$, $591,594,596,599,600,614,654,655,663,664,695,698,699,701,726,733,734$, $738,749,777,786,789,795,796,799,803,813,814,815,836,838,842,860,907$, $909,910,928,933,943,950,951,957,967,985,1000$ |
| 2 | $2,3,6-8,10-13,21,23-25,27,28,31,33-35,40-42,45-53,56,58-60,62-65,67-69$, $72,74,75,78,80,85,87-89,92-94,96-100,102-111,114,116,118,122,125,127$, 129-132, 134, 138, 140-142, 144, 145, 148-151, 153, 156-162, 164-170, 172, 174180, 184, 185, 187, 189, 190, 193, 195, 197-199, 201-203, 205, 207-212, 215-219, 221, 223, 225-228, 230, 233, 235, 237-243, 246, 248, 249, 251, 254-256, 258-264, 268, 269, 271, 273-278, 280, 282, 284-289, 292-297, 302, 304, 305, 307-309, 311, $313,315,318,321,323-327,329,330,332-335,337,338,340-343,345,348,352-$ $355,357,359,360,364,365,367,369,371-373,375,376,378-380,383,384,387$, 389-393, 395, 397, 398, 401, 402, 404-411, 413, 414, 416, 419, 421, 422, 424, 425, $427,428,431,432-434,436,438-449,451-453,455,457-464,466-472,478,480-$ 482 , 486, 487, 489, 490, 494-496, 498-505, 512, 517-523, 526-528, 530-532, 534, $537,539,541,544,546,547,550,553,555,556,559-561,565,567,570,571,573-$ $576,578,579,581,582-586,590,593,595,597,598,602,604-606,608,609,615$, $616,618,619,621,622,624,626,629,630,632,634-638,640,641-645,647-649$, 651-653, 656, 658, 659, 661, 662, 665-671, 675, 678-680, 682, 684, 686, 688-693, $696,697,703-707,709,710,711,713-719,721,722,725,727,729-731,735,736$, $739,742-748,751,752,754-757,759-763,765,769-773,776,778,779-781,783-$ $785,788,790-794,797,800-802,804-809,811,812,816-818,820,821,823-826$, 829, 833-835, 837, 839, 840, 843, 845, 847-849, 851, 852, 856, 858, 859, 861-866, 868, 870-873, 875-880, 883-885, 887-890, 892-901, 905, 911, 913, 916, 917, 923, $930,934,937,941,948,952,956,960,977,979,982,990,991,997,999$ |
| 3 | $5,15,17,57,66,81,84,86,95,101,124,126,128,146,147,152,191,204,250$, $267,279,298,301,310,346,349,351,361-363,370,382,388,396,412,420,429$, $456,497,507,508,516,536,542,545,552,564,568,592,601,603,607,611-613$, 617, 631, 639, 657, 673, 694, 700, 702, 712, 724, 728, 732, 758, 766, 775, 798, 846, 854, 882, 902-904, 906, 908, 912, 914, 915, 919-922, 925-927, 931, 932, 936, 938, 939, 942, 944, 946, 947, 949, 953-955, 961, 962, 965, 966, 969-971, 974, 976, 980, 983, 984, 986, 987-989, 992, 993, 995, 996 |
| 4 | $16,29,37,43,61,70,83,90,91,112,117,120,143,154,163,171,182,183,188$, $196,214,220,231,234,253,257,266,283,290,291,339,350,356,366,374,377$, $394,399,430,465,474,476,477,484,488,510,511,514,515,525,529,538,548$, $551,577,587-589,610,620,625,628,660,672,676,677,687,708,720,740,741$, $764,768,782,787,822,831,844,853,855,867,869,929,935,994$ |
| 5 | $30,36,39,71,73,76,77,113,119,133,135,137,155,173,192,194,200,213,224$, $236,245,247,265,299,303,314,317,319,320,322,344,347,368,417,426,435$, $437,454,473,475,479,483,485,491,509,513,540,549,554,558,562,563,572$, $623,627,646,650,674,681,683,723,737,750,753,767,774,810,819,827,828$, $830,832,841,850,857,881,886,924,959,998$ |
| 6 | 306 |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 336,385,450,633,685,874,891,918,940,945,958,963,964,968,972,973,975 \text {, } \\ & 978,981 \end{aligned}$ |

### 5.2 Time complexity analysis

The time complexity of this algorithm is $(1+1+1+1+1+1+1)+\left(1+1+g_{\max }+(1\right.$ $+1+1+1)) *(n-k)=O\left(g_{\max } * n\right)$, while the time complexity of the conventional ROCK algorithm is $O\left(n^{2}+n m_{\max } m_{a}+n^{2} \log n\right)$ (Guha et al., 2000). Where, $g_{\max }$ is the value of the maximum goodness, $m_{\max }$ is the maximum number of the neighbours, and $m_{a}$ is the average number of the neighbours.

The chain P systems solve the ROCK algorithm in linear time.

### 5.3 Test and analysis

Mushroom database of UCI dataset contains 8,124 data points. Each data point contains 22 properties. All data points are divided into two classes: edible and poisonous. In this paper, the first 1,000 data points are used. The 1,000 data points are numbered from 1 to 1000 following the order. Two data points with 18 or more same property values are seen as neighbours. The seven clusters obtained are listed in Table 1.

As shown in the table, the sizes of the first cluster, the third cluster, the fourth cluster and the fifth cluster are almost the same (there sizes are 102, 122, 85 and 80 respectively). The second cluster is the largest cluster with the size of 591 and the sixth cluster is the smallest cluster with the size of 1 . There is a big difference between cluster sizes. All clusters obtained are pure clusters, i.e., in any cluster, all data points are either all poisonous or all edible. The error rate is $0 \%$.

## 6 Conclusions

In this paper, a new chain $P$ system is proposed. The chain membranes, objects and rules are presented. Computational power and efficiency are analysed. It is proved that the chain $P$ systems are universal, and they can solve the NP problems without the expansion of membranes. The graph clustering algorithm and the ROCK algorithm based on the chain P system are given as applications. Results show that improvements of conventional algorithms by chain P systems are non-trivial. For the future research, it is worth investigating other variants of P systems according to the structure and function of the biological membrane. It is also worth improving other data mining algorithms by using P systems, such as spectral clustering, support vector machines, and genetic algorithms, etc. (Han et al., 2012).
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